Chapter 6

Summary

SUMMARY

Diatoms constitute an important part of the microphytobenthic community in the intertidal sand flat. Intertidal sandflats are dynamic environments, where the tidally generated water movement and the associated processes of deposition and resuspension of sediment affect the composition and distribution of diatoms. In many studies, diatoms were only investigated in the top few centimeters of the sediment (Rizynk et al. 1978; Colijn and Dijkema 1981; Varela and Penas 1985; Lukatelich and McComb 1986). However, the presence of diatoms at a depth of 20 cm has also been reported (Steele and Baird 1968; Colijn and Dijkema 1981; de Jonge and Colijn 1994), based on chlorophyll a estimations. In intertidal sandflats, a number of factors may be responsible for displacing the diatoms from the surface sediment layers to the deeper layers. Temporal and spatial variations in the viable diatom population of the microphytobenthic community revealed the presence of diatoms up to a depth of 15 cm all along the intertidal zone, from the low tide to the high tide zone. Their rejuvenation in culture revealed that their viability was not affected by the conditions prevailing at this depth. This depth harbored not only the pennate (epipsammic and epipelic) diatoms, some of which are permanent residents of this area, but also the centric diatoms of planktonic origin. The occurrence of diatoms such as Amphora and *Navicula* throughout the year in the sediments indicated that the two pennate forms were natives of this area. The appearance of the centric diatom, *Thalassiosira* only upon incubation indicated the presence of their resting stages. These resting stages must have been carried to the study area with coastal sediments and redeposited in intertidal sediments.

A number of physical, biological and chemical factors may be responsible for the temporal and spatial variation in the diatom abundance, diversity, diatom richness and evenness. Grain size fractions which, served as predictors of some diatoms, differed with depths at the low, mid and high tide zones. This depicts that factors other than grain size have a role to play in the temporal and vertical distribution of diatoms.

Wind stimulated the resuspension of the sediment along with pennate diatoms up to 5 cm depth only at the low tide zone. The depth up to which chlorophyll *a* could act as indicators of both, the pennate and centric diatom abundance reduced from 10 cm depth at the low tide zone to 5 cm at the mid tide zone and 0 cm at the high tide zone.



Fig. 6.1 Variations in the microphytobenthic diatoms across the intertidal zone

In the 0-5 cm depth, the ability of the pennate diatoms to remain attached to the substrate may play an important role in this contribution whereas in centric diatoms they may be the ones brought onto the sediments by the incoming tides. In the 5 to 10 cm depth at the low tide zone, the positive correlation with chlorophyll *a* revealed that resuspension was not effective up to this depth and the stock was securely placed.

However, a negative correlation of chlorophyll *a* with diatoms in the 10-15 cm depth and below 5 cm depth at the low and mid tide zones respectively, even when viable diatoms were found in appreciable numbers, suggests survival of these diatoms below physical disturbance level through the adoption of survival strategies such as heterotrophy or resting stage formation. The non-significant relationship at the high tide zone throughout the 15 cm depth may be due to the effects of desiccation when exposed for longer durations. However, they were viable as primary producers, when resurfaced and could play an important role in the benthic community (Fig. 6.1).

Vertical migratory behavior of benthic diatoms is one of the adaptive strategies employed for a life in intertidal habitats. This self-initiated migration helps diatoms to move to the surface during low tides for photosynthesis and move down during high tides (Round and Palmer 1966; Round 1979; Joint et al. 1982; Paterson 1989). There exists a controversy regarding the reason for the vertical migration and the factors affecting it. Irradiance and tidal rhythm are the two variables considered to be governing the vertical migration (Round and Palmer 1966). Experiments carried out to delineate the influence of these factors in a tropical intertidal sand flat revealed that rising to the sediment surface for fulfilment of their light requirements for photosynthesis was the first priority. If not fulfilled during the low tide exposure, diatoms could withstand the tidal effects and stay up at the surface even during the high tide coverage. In summer, the surface cell abundance of epipelic diatoms was high only during the morning low tide whereas in winter it was found to be the highest during the mid-morning high tide and continued to be so even during the following evening low tide. This ability of microphytobenthos to migrate vertically within the surface sediment when their requirements are fulfilled may be considered as a form of behavioral photoacclimation, allowing cells to avoid potentially damaging irradiance and temperature conditions (Kromkamp et al. 1998; Perkins et al. 2001). In the laboratory experiments wherein the effects of tides were removed, the endogenous clock continued to operate in a similar fashion as that in the field when provided with 12 h light: 12 h dark condition whereas continuous darkness brought in a tidal rhythm. Expression of a tidal rhythm may be attributed to an innate behavior. This behavior is otherwise superimposed by the diel rhythm in the presence of light. In continuous light, diatoms preferred to stay up at the surface longer than that observed in field. This indicates that there is an optimum duration up to which the diatoms can remain exposed to light. The above observations reveal that irradiance has an overriding effect over tides. Temporal differences in the irradiance and the resulting changes in diatom migration can have implications in the littoral primary productivity.

In this entire process of vertical migration, an important factor that needs consideration is the impact of physical forcing on the sediment caused by wind or tidal currents. Turbulence and shear stress generated by the incoming tide lead to suspension of the diatom cells into the overlying waters (Baillie and Welsh 1980; Delgado et al. 1991; de Jonge and Van Beusekom 1992, 1995; de Jonge and Van den Bergs 1987). This may be an additional factor responsible for the lowering of the surface diatom population, other than their positive geotrophic movement during immersion. However, the entire suspended population will not be lost to water column, since a part of it will start resettling at the beginning of emersion. This process will in turn contribute to the rise in cell numbers at the sediment surface during subsequent exposure, along with the upward migration from the deeper sediment layers.

Presence of diatoms in the water column is also reflected in the microfouling population of different types of substrata immersed in the sub-surface estuarine waters of the present study area. Diatoms, the early autotrophic colonizers, are an important constituent of the biofouling community in the marine environment. The diatom populations in the surrounding environment and that in the fouling community revealed that the diversity is not evenly reflected. Pennate diatoms were abundant in the fouling film than centric diatoms while the reverse was evident in case of the water column. This difference is attributed to the capability of the pennate diatoms to attach to surfaces with the help of a raphe. The diatom populations, both in the water and the biofilm were dominated by the pennate diatom, Navicula delicatula. The distribution of N. delicatula in the water column and the biofilm was found to be independent of the distribution of the other diatoms. In the surrounding waters, 32 genera (20 centrics, 12 pennates) including 50 species (28 centrics, 22 pennates) were encountered. The abundance and diversity changed with the substratum. It was found to be higher on polystyrene than on stainless steel. Species such as *Coscinodiscus* concinnus and cymbella sp. were found exclusively on polystyrene whereas Pinnularia sp. was encountered only on stainless steel (Fig. 6.2). Such substratum influences can differ with organisms and would need careful consideration in determining the factors that govern the diversity of microbial films.

Although, a dominant pennate benthic diatom of the microphytobenthic community, *Navicula delicatula* representing the water column as the most abundant form indicates that it can extend its niche from the intertidal habitat to the ambient waters. Most of the pennate diatoms which are primarily encountered on the bottom sediments, are often found in the water column (de Jonge et al 1992; Tomas 1997) through resuspension and it seems reasonable to assume that their primary production in the water column is as effective as it is on the tidal flats (de Jonge et al. 1995).



Fig. 6.2 Variations in the fouling diatom community over polystyrene and stainless steel

However, the other dominant diatom, *Amphora coffeaeformis* restricted its distribution to the intertidal sediments. Such a distribution reveals species-specific differences in habitat selection.

Space as a resource is important to periphytic diatoms. However, limited availability of space as compared to the vast diversity of species, leads to intense competition for this resource. Thus, diversity is controlled by the competitive strategies employed by each member of the community, whether a pioneer or a late arrival. In this struggle for existence, the fittest species can carve a better niche for itself, by exhibiting competitive traits. The community structure is influenced by gain and loss processes and competitive ability of each member. In field, the gain factors are immigration of fresh recruit and their multiplication while the loss factors are grazing, death and sloughing off. The study carried out to evaluate variations in the marine periphytic diatom diversity by eliminating fresh recruitment and grazing, components of the gain and loss processes respectively revealed three cases. These cases illustrated the influences of intergeneric competition (case I), simultaneous inter and intrageneric competition (case II) and competitive exclusion or co-existence (case III) (Fig. 6.3).



Fig. 6.3 Variations in the periphytic diatom community during case I, case II and case III

In case I, where *Navicula delicatula* and *Amphora coffeaeformis* were the major players, exclusion of *N. delicatula* was observed below the substratum carrying capacity levels, indicating a role of nutrient adequacy or allelopathy. Laboratory

experiments revealed that the competitive influence of the population of A. coffeaeformis over N. delicatula is independent of the initial cell density, with capability to overtake its competitor species even at 1% initial inoculum. Time required by A. coffeaeformis to overtake N. delicatula reduced from 7 days (1% initial inoculum) to 3 days (20% initial inoculum). In case II, intrageneric competition was observed among three species of Amphora i.e., A. turgida, A. hyalina and A. coffeaeformis wherein the success of A. turgida was not influenced by the nutrient availability whereas that of other two species was nutrient dependent. A. turgida, A. hyalina and A. coffeaeformis can co-exist in nutrient enriched conditions, where the common nutrient supply is sufficient, whereas in nutrient limiting conditions, according to the resource competition theory, only one of the three species of Amphora, i.e., A. turgida proved to be a successful competitor. This species could possibly sequester the limiting nutrients at a faster rate thus making it unavailable to the other species of Amphora i.e., A. hyalina and A. coffeaeformis. This species was found to co-exist with N. delicatula, which could be due to a difference in nutrient requirements. A simultaneous intergeneric competition between N. delicatula and three species of Amphora was not nutrient dependent. In case III, capability of *Nitzschia longissima* to eliminate the other components was positively influenced by nutrient availability. Paucity of nutrients supported richer diversity. These three cases also illustrated that, competitive traits of a periphytic diatom species is switched on at an appropriate cell density ratio of the competitor and target species. Such traits will determine the community variations in oligo, meso and eutrophic conditions.

Studies also showed that exposure to low temperature can result in morphological changes in diatoms. Low temperature influences the survival capabilities, which differed with species. *A. coffeaeformis* turned out to be a better survivor to low

temperature than *N. delicatula*. This study has implications in cryopreservation of these diatoms (Fig. 6.4).



Fig. 6.4 Influence of low temperature on N. delicatula and A. coffeaeformis

Study of life cycle in *Amphora coffeaeformis* and *Navicula delicatula* revealed that sexual reproduction other than a mode of regaining normal cell size could be induced on sudden exposure to stress conditions such as salinity variations in pennate diatoms. This may be a type of survival strategy adopted to overcome stress conditions.

Generally morphological characters are used to classify diatoms to species level. Frustule morphology, however, can change with environmental and culture conditions. Also, considerable time and effort are required to identify a particular species when different morphological characteristics are difficult to distinguish under the light microscope. An alternative to microscopy identification is the use of molecular probes, which can bind to either internal or external sites on the target species and be visualized using fluorescence techniques. The specificity of the antigen-antibody reaction provides a powerful tool for the study of individual microorganisms in their natural environment. *Navicula delicatula* is a pioneer and most dominant diatom encountered in the biofilms. Antibodies directed against cell surface antigens of *N. delicatula* were developed for an easier and quicker identification and to trace the relative abundance of this pennate diatom.

The antiserum could successfully label 100% of *N. delicatula* cells from culture, which was used to develop antisera. No cross reactivity was observed with unialgal



Fig. 6.5 Results of immunofluorescence technique used for identification of *N*. *delicatula* in culture and in field samples

that this method is not completely reliable for population studies and needs further validation. However, an important and interesting fact, which surfaced, is the occurrence of more than one 'serotype' of a particular species in the natural population. This indicates genetic diversity among the species and gives scope for population genetic studies and needs further attention.

Bibliography

BIBLIOGRAPHY

*Admiraal W (1984) The ecology of estuarine sediment-inhabiting diatoms. Prog Phycol Res 3: 269-322

*Admiraal W, Peletier H (1979) Influence of organic compounds and light limitation on the growth rate of estuarine benthic diatoms. Br Phycol J 14: 197-206

Admiraal W, Peletier H, Zomer H (1982) Observations and experiments on the population dynamics of epipelic diatoms from an estuarine mud flat. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 14: 471-487

Anil AC, Tulaskar DC, Khandeparker DC, Wagh AB (1997) Cryopreservation of *Balanus amphitrite* nauplii. Cryobiology 34: 131-140.

*Aleem AA (1950) Distribution and ecology of British marine littoral diatoms. J Ecol 38: 75-106

Aleem AA (1973) Contributions to the study of littoral diatoms on the west coast of Sweden. Bot Mar 16: 193-200

*Anderson DM (1993) Identification of harmful algal species using molecular probes. Sixieme conference internationale sur le phytoplankton toxique, Nantes, France, 18-22 October

Anderson OR (1975) The ultrastructure and cytochemistry of resting cell formation in *Amphora coffaeformis* (Bacillariophyceae). J Phycol 11: 272-281

Anderson OR (1976) Respiration and photosynthesis during resting cell formation in *Amphora coffeaeformis* (Ag) (Kutz.). Limnol Oceanogr 21: 452-456

Baillie PW (1986) Oxygenation of intertidal estuarine sediments by benthic microalgal photosynthesis. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 22: 143-159

Baillie PW, Welsh BL (1980) The effect of tidal resuspension on the distribution of intertidal epipelic algae in an estuary. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 10: 165-180

Bates SS, Leger C, Keafer B, Anderson DM (1993) Discrimination between domoicacid producing and non-toxic forms of the diatom *Pseudonitzschia pungens* using immunofluorescence. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 100: 185-195

Beardall J, Morris I (1976) The concept of light intensity adaptation in marine phytoplankton: some experiments with *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. Mar Biol 37: 377-387

Ben-Amotz A, Gilboa A (1980) Cryopreservation of marine unicellular algae. I. A survey of algae with regard to size, culture age, photosynthetic activity and chlorophyll-to-cell ratio. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 2: 157-161

*Berk SG, Mitchell R, Bobbie RJ, Mickels JS, White DC (1981). Microfouling on metal surfaces exposed to seawater. Int Biodeterior Bull 17: 29-37.

Bertrand AM, Freddy E, De Boucaud MT (1996) A method for the cryoconservation of *Dunaliella salina* (Chlorophyceae): effect of glycerol and cold adaptation. J. Phycol. 32: 346-352

Bhattathiri PMA, Devassy VP, Bhargava RMS (1976) Production at different trophic levels in the estuarine system of Goa. Ind J Mar Sci 5: 83-86

Bhosle NB (1993) Some aspects of microfouling and corrosion of the materials in the tropical marine environment. In: Ittekkot V, Nair RR (eds) Monsoon Biogeochemistry. Mitt Geol Palaont Institute, University Hamburg pp157-183

Bhosle NB, Nandakumar K, Venkat K, Dhople VM, Sawant SS, Wagh AB, Kelkar PG (1989) Biological and biochemical characterization of microfouling on aluminium panels placed in the Arabian Sea. Proc Ind Nat Sci Acad 55: 51-56.

Bhosle NB, Nandakumar K, Wagh AB (1990a) Influence of particulate matter on microfouling biomass in the Arabian Sea. Biofouling 2: 65-74

Bhosle NB, Prabha DS, Wagh AB (1990b) Carbohydrate sources of microfouling material developed on aluminium and stainless steel panels. Biofouling 2: 151-164

*Bishop JH, Silva SR, Silva VM (1974). A study of microfouling on antifouling coatings using electron microscopy. J Oil Colour Chemists Assoc 57: 30-35

Blinn DW, Fredericksen A, Korte V (1980). Colonization rates and community structure of diatoms on three different rock substrata in a lotic system. Br Phycol 15: 303-310

*Brafield AE (1964) The oxygen content of interstitial water in sandy shores. J Anim Ecol 33: 97-116

Brand LE, Guillard RRL (1981) The effects of continuous light and light intensity on the reproduction rates of twenty-two species of marine phytoplankton. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 50: 119-132

*Bray JR, Curtis JT (1957) An ordination of the upland forest communities of southern Wisconsin. Ecol Monogr 27: 325-349

Brown TE, Richardson FL (1968) The effect of growth environment on the physiology of algae: light intensity. J Phycol 4: 38-54

Bush LF (1966) Distribution of sand fauna in beaches at Miami, Florida. Bull Mar Sci 16: 58

Buzzelli E, Gianna R, Marchiori E, Bruno M (1997) Influence of nutrient factors on production of mucilage by *Amphora coffeaeformis var. Perpusilla*. Cont Shelf Res 17: 1171-1180

*Cadee GC, Hegeman J (1974) Primary production of the benthic microalgae living on tidal flats in the Dutch Wadden Sea. Nether J Sea Res 8: 260-291

*Campbell L (1988) Identification of marine chroococcoid cyanobacteria by immunofluorescence. In: Yentsch CM, Mague FC, Horan PK (eds) Immunochemical approaches to coastal, estuarine and oceanographic questions, Springer-Verlag, New York pp 208-229

Campbell L, Carpenter EJ (1987) Characterization of phycoerythrin-containing *Synechococcus* spp. populations by immunofluorescence. J Plank Res 9: 1167-1181

Campbell L, Iturriaga R (1988) Identification of *Synechococcus* spp. in the Sargasso Sea by immunofluorescence and fluorescence excitation spectroscopy performed on individual cells. Limnol Oceanogr 33: 1196-1201

Campbell L, Carpenter EJ, Iacono VJ (1983) Identification and enumeration of marine Chroococcoid cyanobacteria by immunofluorescence. Appl Environ Microbiol 46: 553-559

Campbell L, Shapiro LP, Haugen E (1994) Immunochemical Characterization of eukaryotic ultraplankton from the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. J Plank Res 16: 35-51

Canavate JP, Lubian LM (1994) Tolerance of six marine microalgae to the cryoprotectants dimethylsulfoxide and methanol. J Phycol 30: 559-565

Canavate JP, Lubian LM (1995a) Some aspects on the cryopreservation of microalgae used as food for marine species. Aquaculture 136: 277-290

Canavate JP, Lubian LM (1995b) Relationship between cooling rates, cryoprotectant concentrations and salinities in the cryopreservation of marine microalgae. Mar Biol 124: 325-334

Caron DA, Sieburth JM (1981) Disruption of the primary fouling sequence of fibreglass reinforced plastic submerged in the marine environment. Appl Env Microbiol 41: 268-273

Carreto JI, Catoggio JA (1976) Variation in pigment contents of the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. Mar Biol 36: 105-112

Chandramohan P, Jaya Kumar S, Sanil Kumar V, Ilangovan D (1997) Fine particle deposition at Vainguinim Tourist beach, Goa, India. J Coast Res 14: 1074-1081

Characklis WG, Cooksey KE (1983) Biofilm and microbial fouling. Adv Appl Microbiol 29: 93-148

Cheng IJ, Levinton JS, McCartney M, Martinez D, Weissburg MJ (1993) A bioassay approach to seasonal variations in the nutritional value of sediment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 94: 275-285

*Chepurnov VA, Mann DG (1997). Variation in the sexual behaviour of natural clones of *Achnanthes longipes* (Bacillariophyta). Eur J Phycol 32:147-154

Chiba S, Saino T (2002) Interdecadal change in the upper water column environment and spring diatom community structure in the Japan Sea: as early summer hypothesis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 231: 23-35

Cooksey KE (1981) Requirement of calcium in adhesion of a fouling diatom to glass. Appl Environ Microbiol 41: 1378-1382

Cooksey KE, Cooksey B (1980) Calcium is necessary for motility in the diatom *Amphora coffeaeformis*. Plant Physiol 65: 129-131

*Cooksey KE, Cooksey B (1984). The sensing by *Amphora coffeaeformis* (Bacillariophyceae) of a glucose concentration gradient: demonstration by directional changes. In: Abstracts annual meeting of the phycological society of America, Montana state University, Bozeman, MT, USA pp 9

Cooksey KE, Cooksey B (1986). Adhesion of fouling diatoms to surfaces; some biochemistry. In: LV Evans and KD Hoagland (eds) Algal Biofouling. pp 41-53

Cooksey B, Cooksey KE, Miller CA, Paul JH (1980) Attachment of diatoms to surfaces: field and laboratory experiments. In: Berkley RCW, Lynch JM, Melling J, Rutter PR, Vincent E (eds) The Proceedings of Microbial Adhesion to Surfaces Symposium. Chichester, U.K., Ellis-Horwood pp 526-528

Cooksey B, Cooksey KE, Miller CA, Paul JH, Rubin RW, Webster D (1984) The attachment of microfouling diatoms. In: Costlow JD, Tipper RC (eds) Marine Biodeterioration: an interdisciplinary study. Naval Institute Press, Annapolis, MD pp 167-169

*Cohn SA, Schoeller A, Spurck TP, Pickette Heaps JD, Edgar LE (1984). Sexual reproduction in penante diatom *Navicula cuspidata*. 2. Auxospore development and initial cell formation. Abstracts annual meeting of the phycological society of America. pp 27

*Colijn F, Dijkema KS (1981) Species composition of benthic diatoms and distribution of chlorophyll on an intertidal sand flat in the Dutch Wadden Sea. Nether J Sea Res 15: 196-216

Connor MS, Edgar RK (1982) Selective grazing by the mud snail *Ilyanassa obsoleta*. Oecologia 53: 271-275

Corpe WA (1970) Attachment of marine bacteria to solid surfaces. In: Manley RS (ed) Adhesions in biofouling systems, Academic Press N. Y. London, pp 73-87.

Daniel A (1955) The primary film as a factor in settlement of marine foulers. J Madras Univ; B25(2): pp189-200

Darley W, Dunn E, Holmes K, Larew H (1976) A ¹⁴C method for measuring epibenthic microalgal productivity in air. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 25: 207-217

Davidovich NA, Bates SS (1998) Sexual reproduction in the pennate diatoms *Pseudonitzschia multiseries* and *P. pseudodelicatissima* (Bacillariophyceae). J Phycol 34: 126-137

Decho AW (1994) Molecular scale events influencing the macro-scale cohesiveness of exopolymers. In: Krumbein WE, Paterson DM, Stal LJ (eds) Biostabilization of sediments. BIS Verlag, Oldenburg, pp 135-148

De Jonge VN (1979) Quantitative separation of benthic diatoms from sediments using density gradient centrifugation in the colloidal silica ludox-TM. Mar Biol 51: 267-278

De Jonge VN (1980) Fluctuations in the organic carbon to chlorophyll-*a* ratios for estuarine benthic diatom populations. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 2: 345-353.

De Jonge VN (1985) The occurrence of "epipsammic" diatom populations: a result of interaction between physical sorting of sediment and certain properties of diatom species. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 24: 607-622

De Jonge VN (1995) Wind-driven tidal and annual gross transport of mud and microphytobenthos in the EMS estuary, and its importance for the ecosystem. In: KR Dyer, CF D'Elia (ed). Changing Fluxes of estuaries: implications from science to management, International symposium series, Olsen & Olsen, Fredensberg pp 29-40

De Jonge VN, Beusekom Van JEE (1992) Contribution of resuspended microphytobenthos to total phytoplankton in the EMS estuary and its possible role for grazers. Nether J Sea Res 30: 91-105

De Jonge VN, Beusekom Van JEE (1995) Wind and tide-induced resuspension of sediment and microphytobenthos from tidal flats in the EMS estuary. Limnol Oceanogr 40: 766-778

De Jonge VN, Colijn F (1994) Dynamics of microphytobenthos biomass in the Ems estuary. Mar Eco Prog Ser 104: 185-196

De Jonge VN, Van den Bergs J (1987) Experiments on the resuspension of estuarine sediments containing benthic diatoms. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 24: 725-740

Delgado M (1989) Abundance and distribution of microphytobenthos in the bays of Ebro Delta (Spain). Estuar Coast Shelf Science 29: 183-194

Delgado M, de Jonge VN, Peletier H (1991) Effect of sand movement on the growth of benthic diatoms. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 145: 221-231

Demers S, Therriault JC, Bourget E, Bah A (1987) Resuspension in the shallow sublittoral zone of a macrotidal estuarine environment. Wind influence. Limnol Oceanogr 32: 327-339

Desikachary TV (1977) First list of diatoms of the Indian Ocean region, A working paper. University of Botany Laboratory, Madras, India. pp. 140

Desikachary TV (1987) Diatom flora of some sediments from the Indian Ocean Region. In Atlas of diatoms Fascicle II. TT maps and publications private limited, 328, GST Road, Chrompet, Madras pp 1-10

Desey J, Coste M (1991) A test of methods for assessing water quality based on diatoms. Ver Int Verein Theor angewandte Limnology 24: 2112-2116

Devassy VP (1983) Plankton ecology of some estuarine and marine regions of the west coast of India. Ph.D Thesis, University of Kerala, Kerala, India.

Devassy VP, Goes JJ (1988) Phytoplankton community structure and succession in a tropical estuarine complex (central west coast of India). Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 27: 671-685

Drebes G (1977) Sexuality. In: Werner D (ed) The biology of diatoms. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp 250-253

Dunn RS, McLachlan J (1973) Cryopreservation of echinoderm sperm. Can J Zool 51: 666-669

Dunsmore DG, Twomey A, Whittlestone WG, Morgan HW (1981) Design and performance of system for cleaning product contact surfaces of food equipment: A review Food Prot 44: 220-240

Durbin EG (1974) Studies on the autecology of the marine diatom *Thalassiosira nordenskioldii* Cleve. I. The influence of day length, light intensity and temperature on growth. J Phycol 10: 220-225

Edgar LA, Pickett-Heaps JD (1983) Diatom locomotion. Prog Phycol Res 3: 47-88

Edyvean RGJ (1986) Diatom communities on steel protected from corrosion in seawater. In: Evans LV, Hoagland KD (eds.) Algal Biofouling. Elsevier Press

Edyvean RGJ, Rands GA, Moss BL (1985) A comparison of diatom colonization on natural and artificial substrata in seawater. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 20: 233-238

Eilertsen Chr H, Sandberg S, Tollefsen H (1995) Photoperiodic control of diatom spore growth: a theory to explain the onset of phytoplankton blooms. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 116: 303-307

Farrant J (1965) Mechanism of cell damage during freezing and thawing and its prevention. Nature 205: 1284-1287

*Farrant J, Walter CA, Lee H, Morris GJ, Clarke A (1977) Structural and functional aspects of biological freezing techniques. J Microscopy 111: 17-34.

Faure-Fremiet E (1951) The tidal rhythm of the diatom *Hantzschia amphioxys*. Biological Bulletin 100: 173-177

Fenchel T, Straarup BJ (1971) Vertical distribution of photosynthetic pigments and the penetration of light in marine sediments. Oikos 22: 172-182

Fenwick C, Day JG (1992) Cryopreservation of *Tetraselmis suecica* cultured under different nutrient regimes. J Appl Phycol 4: 105-109

Ferriera S, Seeliger U (1985). The colonisation process of algal epiphytes on *Ruppia maritima* L. Bot Mar 28: 245-2449

*Fielding PJ, Damstra KSTJ, Branch GM (1988) Benthic diatom biomass, production and sediment chlorophyll in Langebaan Lagoon, South Africa. 27: 413-426

Fletcher M (1988) The significance of bacterial adhesion mechanisms in the formation of marine microbial surface films. In: Thompson MF, Sarojini R, Nagabhushanam R (ed) Marine Biodeterioration: Advanced techniques applicable to Indian Ocean, Oxford Publ Co. Pvt Ltd. New Delhi pp 299-308

Folk RL (1968) Petrology of sedimentary rocks. Austin Hemphills, 170pp

Ford TE, Walch M, Mitchell R, Kaufman MJ, Vestal JR, Ditner SA, Lock MA (1989) Microbial film formation on metals in an enriched Arctic river. Biofouling 1: 301-311

French FW, Hargraves PE (1980) Physiological characteristics of plankton diatom resting spores. Mar Biol Lett 1: 185-195

French FW, Hargraves PE (1985) Spore formation in the life cycles of the diatoms *Chaetoceros diadema* and *Leptocylindrus danicus*. J Phycol 21: 477-483

Gabrielson JO, Lukatelich RJ (1985) Wind-related resuspension of sediments in the Peel-Harvey estuarine system. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 20: 135-145

Ganapati PN, Lakshmana Rao MV, Subba Rao DV (1959) Tidal rhythms of some diatoms and dinoflagellates inhabiting intertidal sands of Visakhapatnam Beach. Cur Sci 11: 450-451

Garrison DL (1984) Planktonic diatoms. In: Steidinger KA, Walker LM (eds) Marine plankton life cycle strategies. CRC press, Boca Raton, Florida

Giovannoni SJ, Britschgi TB, Moyer CL (1990) Genetic diversity in Sargasso Sea bacterioplankton. Nature 345: 60-63

Gold C, Feurtet-Mazel A, Michel C, Boudou A (2002) Field transfer of periphytic diatom communities to assess short-term structural effects of metals (Cd, Zn) in rivers. Water Res 36: 3654-3664

Gray JS, Aschan M, Carr MR, Clarke KR, Green RH, Pearson TH, Rosenberg R, Warwick RM (1988) Analysis of community attributes of the benthic macrofauna of Frierfjord/Langesundfjord and in a mesocosm experiment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 46: 151-165

Greeney WJ, Bella DA, Curl Jr H (1973) A theoretical approach to interspecific competition in phytoplankton communities. Amer Natural 107: 405-425

*Grontved J (1960) On the production of microbenthos and phytoplankton in some Danish fjords. Meddelelser fra Danmarks Fiskeri-og Havundersologelser 3: 55-92

*Grout BWW, Morris GJ. (1987) Freezing and cellular organization. In: Grout BWW, Morris GJ (eds). The effects of low temperatures on biological systems. Edward Arnold, London pp 147-173

Guillard RRL, JH Ryther (1962) Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I *Cycotella nana* Husted and *Detonula confervacea* (Cleve) Gran. Can J of Microbiol 8: 229-239.

Hambright KD, Zohary T (2000) Phytoplankton species diversity control through competition exclusion and physical disturbance. Limnol Oceanogr 45: 110-122

Happey-Wood CM, Jones P (1988) Rhythms of vertical migration and motility in intertidal benthic diatoms with particular reference to *Pleurosigma angulatum*. Diatom Res 3: 83-93

Hargraves PE, French F (1975). Observations on the survival of the diatom resting spores. Beih. Nova Hedwigia 53: 229-38

Hargraves PE, French FW (1983) Diatom resting spores: Significance and strategies. In: Fryxell GA (ed) Survival strategies of the algae, Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, pp 49-68

Harper MA (1960) Movement and migration of diatoms on sand grains. Br Phycol J 4: 97-103

Harper MA (1969) Movement and migration of diatoms on sand grains. Br Phycol J 4: 97-103

*Harper MA, Harper JF (1967) Measurements of diatom adhesion and their relationship with movement. Br Phycol Bull 3: 195-207

Hasle GR (1978) Settling, the inverted microscope method. In: Sournia (ed) Phytoplankton manual, Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, pp 88-96

Heckman CW (1985) The development of vertical migration patterns in the sediments of estuaries as a strategy for algae to resist drift with tidal currents. Internationale Revue der Gesamten Hydrobiologie 70: 151-164

Heurck H (1896) A treatise on the Diatomaceae. London, William Wesley & Son, 28, Essex street-strand, WC

Hillebrand H, Sommer U (2000) Diversity of benthic microalgae in response to colonization time and eutrophication. Aquat Bot 67: 221-236

Hillebrand H, Durselen C, Kirschtel D, Pollingher U, Zohary T (1999) Biovolume calculation for pelagic and benthic microalgae. J Phycol 35: 403-424

Hitchcock GL (1980) Diel variation in chlorophyll *a*, carbohydrate and protein content of the marine diatom *Skeletonema costatum*. Mar Biol 57: 271-278

Hoagland KD, Rosowski JR, Gretz MR, Roemer SC (1993) Diatom extracellular polymeric substances: function, fine structure, chemistry and physiology. J Phycol 29: 537-556

Hoban MA, Fryxell GA, Buck KR (1980). Biddulphioid diatoms: resting spores in Antarctic *Eucampia* and *Odontella*. J Phycol 16: 591-602

Holmes R, Mahall B (1982) Preliminary observations on the effects of flooding and desiccation upon the net photosynthetic rates of high intertidal estuarine sediments. Limnol Oceanogr 27: 954-958

Hopkins JT (1963) A study of the diatoms of the Ouse estuary, Sussex. I The movement of the mud-flat diatoms in response to some chemical and physical changes. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 43: 653-663

Horbund HM, Freiberger A (1970) Slime films and their role in the marine fouling. Ocean Engg 1: 631-634

Howell P, Pfiester LA (1983). Sexual reproduction of *A. veneta* Kutz (Bacillariophyta) with a special look at the initial cell and its perizonium. J Phycol 19:11

Huettel M, Rusch A (2000) Transport and degradation of phytoplankton in permeable sediment. Limnol Oceanogr 45: 534-549

Hutchinson GE (1961) The paradox of the plankton. Amer Natural 95: 137-147.

Hwang SW, Horneland W (1965) Survival of algal cultures after freezing by controlled and uncontrolled cooling. Cryobiol 1: 305-311

Imai I, Itakura S (1999) Importance of cysts in the population dynamics of the red tide flagellate *Heterosigma akashiwo* (Rhaphidophyceae). Mar Biol 133: 755-762

*Imai I, Itakura S, Itoh K (1990) Distribution of diatom resting cells in sediments of Harima-Nada and northern Hiroshima Bay, the Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Bull Coast Oceanogr 28: 75-84

*Imai I, Itoh K, Antaku M (1984) Extinction dilution method for the enumeration of dormant cells of red tide organisms in marine sediments. Bull Plankt Soc Japan 31: 123-124

Ishikawa A, Tamaguchi A (1994) The role of cysts on population dynamics of *Scrippsiella* spp. (Dinophyceae) in Onagawa Bay, northeast Japan. Mar Biol 119: 39-44

Itakura S, Imai I, Itoh K (1997) "Seed bank" of coastal planktonic diatoms in bottom sediments of Hiroshima Bay, Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Mar Biol 128: 497-508

*Iwata N, Kurokura H, Hirano R (1989) Cryopreservation of Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, sperm, *Suisan Zoshoka* HI, pp163-166

Jeffrey SW, Hallegraeff GM (1990) Phytoplankton ecology of Australian waters. In: Clayton MN, King RJ (eds), Biology of Marine Plants. Longman Cheshire, Melbourne, (cited from: Jeffrey et al., Phytoplankton pigments in oceanography: guidelines to modern methods (1997) pp 310-348

Jewson DH (1992) Size reduction, reproductive strategy and the life cycle of a centric diatom. Phil Trans R Soc, Lond. B 335:191-213

Johnson RG (1965) Temperature variation in the infaunal environment of a sand flat. Limnol Oceanogr 10: 114-120

Joint IR, Gee JM, Warwick RM (1982) Determination of fine scale vertical distribution of microbes and meiofauna in an intertidal sediment. Mar Biol 72: 157-164

Jones SE, Lock MA (1993). Seasonal determinations of extracellular hydrolytic activities in heterotrophic and mixed heterotrophic / autotrophic biofilms from two contrasting rivers. Hydrobiol 257: 1-16

Joseph I, Panigrahi A, Chandra PK (2000) Tolerance of three marine microalgae to the cryoprotectants dimethylsulfoxide, methanol and glycerol. J Phycol 29: 243-247

Janssen M, Hust M, Rhiel E, Krumbein WE (1999) Vertical migration behaviour of diatom assemblages of Wadden Sea sediments (Dangast, Germany): a study using cryo-scanning electron microscopy. Internatl Microbiol 2: 103-110

Karande AA (1987) Fouling biofilm development in harbour waters. In: Natarajan P (ed.) Advances in aquatic biology and fisheries pp 105-116

Karande AA (1989) Marine biofouling research – The need for an integrated research approach. In: KVK Nair and VP Venugopalan (eds.) Marine biology and power plants proceedings of marine biodegradation with reference to power plant cooling systems. pp. 1-19

Karsten U, Wiencke C, Kirst GO (1990) The beta-dimethyl-sulfoniopropionate (DMSP) content of macroalgae from Antarctica and southern Chile. Bot Mar 33: 143-146.

Kelkar PG (1989) Studies on diatoms from the offshore region of Arabian Sea with special reference to fouling diatoms. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Goa, Goa, India

Kelly MG, Whitton BA (1995) The trophic diatom index: a new index for monitoring eutrophication in rivers. J Appl Phycol 7: 433-444

*Kenis PR, Hoft JW, Range CD (1974) Water film thickness on open ocean solid surfaces. Mar Tech Soc J 8: 48-52

King GM (1986) Characterization of beta-glucosidase activity in intertidal marine sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 51: 373-380

Kingston MB (1999) Wave effects on the vertical migration of two benthic microalgae: *Hantzschia virgata* var. intermedia and *Euglena proxima*. Estuaries 22: 81-91

Kornman BA, de Deckere EMGT (1998) Temporal variation in sediment erodibility and suspended sediment dynamics in the Dollard estuary. In: Black KS, Paterson DM, Cramp A (eds) Sedimentary processes in the intertidal zone. Geological society, London, p 231-241

Kromkamp JC, Barranguet J, Peene (1998) Determination of microphytobenthos PSII quantum efficiency and photosynthetic activity by means of variable chlorophyll fluorescence. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 162: 45-55

Kruskal JB, Wish M (1978) Multidimensional scaling. Sage publications, Beverly Hills, California

Kurokura H, Kumai H, Nakamura M (1986) Hybridization between female red sea bream (*Evyanis japonica*) by means of sperm cryopreservation. Proc First Asian Fish Forum. pp 113-116.

Kurokura H, Yagi N, Hirano R (1989) Studies on cryopreservation of sea urchin sperm. Suisan Zoshoku HI 215-219

*Levitt J (1980) Responses of plant to environmental stresses. Vol. I (Kozlowskii T. T., ed.), Academic press, New York pp 163

Levy H, Zamir A (1994) Long term cryoconservation of the halotolerant alga *Dunaliella*. Trends Gen 10: 3

Lovelock JE, Bishop MWH (1959) Prevention of freezing damage to living cells by dimethyl sulfoxide. Nature (Lond.) 183: 1394-1395

Lukatelich RJ, McComb AJ (1986) Distribution and abundance of benthic microalgae in a shallow southwestern Australian estuarine system. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 27: 287-297

MacIntyre HL, Geider RJ, Miller DC (1996) Microphytobenthos: The ecological role of the "Secret Garden" of the unvegetated, shallow-water marine habitats. I Distribution, abundance and primary production. Estuaries 19: 2A: 186-201

McClatchie S, Juniper SK, Knox GA (1982) Structure of a mudflat diatom community in the Avon-Heathcote Estuary, New Zealand. New Zeal J Mar Freshwat Res 16: 299-309

Maki JS, Little BJ, Wagner P, Mitchell R (1990) Biofilm formation on metal surfaces in Antartic waters. Biofouling 2: 27-38

Mann DG (1982). Auxospore formation in *Licmophora* (Bacillariophyta). Plant syst Evol 139: 289-94

Mann DG, Stickle AJ (1989) Meiosis, nuclear cyclosis and auxospore formation in *Navicula* sensu stricto (Bacillariophyta). Br Phycol 24: 167-181

Mann DG, Stickle AJ (1993). Patterns of sexual reproduction in diatoms. Hydrobiol 269/270: 11-20

Maranda L, Wang R, Masuda K, Shimizu Y (1989) Investigation of the source of Domoic acid in Mussels. In:. Graneli EB, Sundstrom L, Edler, Anderson D (eds) Toxic marine phytoplankton. Elsevier science publishing co., Inc pp 301-304

*Marshall KC (1972) Mechanism of adhesion of marine bacteria to surfaces. Proc 3rd International Congress on Marine Corrosion and Fouling ed. RF Acker, BF Browns, JR Depalma and IWP Iveroon North Western University Press

Marszalek DS, Gerchakov SM, Udey LR (1979) Influence of substrate composition of marine microfouling. Appl Env Microbiol 38: 987-995

Mathew T, Nair B (1981) Algae associated with fouling at the Vizhinjam Bay, south west coast of India. J Mar Sci 10: 148-153

Mazur P (1964) Basic problems in cryobiology. In: Timmerhans KD (ed) Advances in cryogenic engineering. Vol 9. Plenium Press, New York. pp 28-37

Mazur P (1970) Cryobiology: the freezing of biological system. Science (Wash. D.C.) 168: 942-949

McGrath MS, Daggett PM (1977) Cryopreservation of flagellar mutants of *Chlamydomonas reinhardii* Can J Bot 55: 1794-1796

McIntire CD, Wulff BL (1969) A laboratory method for the study of marine benthic diatoms. Limnol Oceanogr 14: 667-678

McLellan MR, Cowling AJ, Turner M, Day JG (1991). Maintenance of algae and protozoa. In: Kirsop BE, Doyle A (eds) Maintenance of microorganisms and cultured cells (a manual of laboratory methods). Academic press, pp 183-208

Meadows PS, Anderson JG (1968) Microorganisms attached to marine sand grains. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 48: 161-175 Mendoza H, Lopez-Rhodas V, Gonzalez-Gil S, Aguilera, E Costas A (1995). The use of polyclonal antisera and blocking of antibodies in the identification of marine dinoflagellates: species-specific and clone-specific antisera against *Gymnodinium* and *Alexandrium* 186: 103-115

*Meyer MA (1985) Cryopreservation of a marine diatom. Ph.D. thesis, Texas A&M., University, College station, 112 pp.

Mickelson MJ, Maske H, Dugdale RC (1979) Nutrient-determined dominance in multispecies chemostat cultures of diatoms. Limnol Oceanogr 24: 298-315

Miller DC, Bock MJ, Turner EJ (1992) Deposit and suspension feeding in oscillatory flows and sediment fluxes. J Mar Res 50: 489-520

Mitbavkar S, Anil AC (2002) Diatoms of the microphytobenthic community: population tructure in a tropical intertidal sand flat. Mar Biol 140: 41-57

Mizuno M (1987) Morphological variation of the attached diatom *Cocconeis scutellum* (Bacillariophyceae). J Phycol 23: 591-597

Mizuno P (2000). Sexual auxosporulation of the marine diatom *Navicula directa* var. Meiosis, nuclear cyclosis and auxospore formation in *Navicula* sensu stricto (Bacillariophyta). Br Phycol 24: 167-181

Morris GJ (1981) Cryopreservation. Institute of terrestrial ecology, Cambridge, England

Morris GJ (1976a) The cryopreservation of *Chlorella*. I. Interactions of rate of cooling, protective additive and warming rate. Archs Microbiol 107: 57-62.

Morris GJ (1976b) The cryopreservation of *Chlorella*. I. Effect of temperature on freezing tolerance. Archs Microbiol 107: 309-312

Murray RE, Priscu JC, Cooksey KE (1986) Stimulation of bacterial DNA synthesis by algal exudates in an attached algal-bacterial consortium. Appl Env Microbiol 52: 1177-182

Murray RE, Priscu JC, Cooksey KE (1987) Influence of physical disruption on growth of attached bacteria. Appl. Env. Microbiol 53: 2996-2998

Nelson DM, Brand LE (1979) Cell division periodicity in 13 species of marine phytoplankton on a light : dark cycle. J Phycol 15: 67-75

Neushul M, Foster MS, Coon DA, Woessner JW, Harger BWW (1976) An in situ study of recruitmant, growth and survival of subtidal marine algae. Techniques and preliminary results. J Phycol 12: 397-408

Nielsen T, Ekelund NGA (1995) Influence of solar ultraviolet radiation on photosynthesis and motility of marine phytoplankton. FEMS Micobiol Ecol 18: 281-288

Nielsen T, Bjoern LO, Ekelund NGA (1995) Impact of natural and artificial UVB radiation on motility and growth rate of marine dinoflagellates. J Photochem Photobiol-B:-Biol. 27: 73-79

O'Brien NJ (1974) The dynamics of nutrient limitation of phytoplankton algae: a model reconsidered. Ecology 55: 135-141

Odum EP (1971) Fundamentals of ecology. 2nd edition. WB Saunders company, Philadelphia and London, 546 pp

*O' Neil TB, Wilcox GL (1971) The formation of primary film in materials submerged in sea at port Hueneme. Calif Pac Soc 25: 1-12

Pace ML, Shimmel S, Darley WM (1979) The effect of grazing by a gastropod, Nassarius obsoletus, on the benthic microbial community of a salt marsh mudflat. Estuar Coast Mar Sci 9: 121-134

Paasche E (1968) Marine plankton algae grown with light-dark cycles. II *Ditylum* brightwellii and Nitzschia turgidula. Physiol Pl 21: 66-77

Paine RT (1966) Food web complexity and species diversity. Amer Natural 100: 65-75

Palmer JD, Round FE (1965) Persistent, vertical migration rhythms in benthic microflora. I The effect of light and temperature on the rhythmic behaviour of *Euglena obtusa*. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 45: 567-582

Palmer JD, Round FE (1967) Persistent vertical migration rhythms in benthic microflora. VI. The tidal and diurnal nature of the rhythm in the diatom *Hantzschia virgata*. Biol Bull Mar Biol Lab Woods Hole 132: 44-55

*Pamatmat MM (1968) Ecology and metabolism of a benthic community on an intertidal sand flat. Int Rev ges Hydrobiol 53: 211-298

Pangu PN (1993) Some ecological studies on algal components of the fouling communities in estuarine environments along the central west coast of India. Ph D Thesis submitted to Goa Univ. pp. 406

Parsons TR, Maita Y, Lalli CM (1984) A manual of chemical and biological seawater analysis. Pergamonon Press, New York

Paterson DM (1986) The migratory behaviour of diatom assemblages in a laboratory tidal micro-ecosystem examined by low temperature scanning electron microscopy. Diatom Res 1: 227-239

Paterson DM (1989) Short-term changes in the erodibility of intertidal cohesive sediments related to the migratory behavior of epipelic diatoms. Limnol Oceanogr 34: 223-234

Paul RW, Kuhn DL, Platkin JL, Cairns J, JG Croxdale (1977). Evaluation of natural and artificial substrate colonizations by scanning electron microscopy. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society. 96: 506-519

Pedersen K (1990) Biofilm development on stainless steel and PVC surfaces in f\drinking water. Water Res 24: 239-243

Perkins RG, Underwood GJC, Brotas V, Snow GC, Jesus B, Ribeiro L (2001) Responses of microphytobenthos to light: primary production and carbohydrate allocation over an emersion period. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 223: 101-112

Perkins EJ (1960) The diurnal rhythm of the littoral diatoms of the river Eden estuary Fife. J Ecol 48: 725-728

Petersen R (1975) The paradox of the plankton: an equilibrium hypothesis. Amer Natural 109: 35-49

Pejrup M (1986) Parameters affecting fine-grained suspended sediment concentrations in a shallow micro-tidal estuary, HoBugt, Denmark. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 22: 241-254

Pielou EC (1969) The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. Journal of Theoretical Biology 13: 131-144

Pielou EC (1984) The interpretation of ecological data. John Wiley and Sons, New York

Pinckney JY, Piceno Y, Lovell CR (1994) Short term changes in the vertical distribution of benthic algal biomass in intertidal muddy sediments. Diatom Res 99: 143-153

Pinckney J, Zingmark RG (1991) Effects of tidal stage and sun angles on intertidal benthic microalgal productivity. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 76: 81-89

Pinckney J, Zingmark RG (1993) Modelling intertidal benthic microalgal production in estuaries. J Phycol 29: 396-407

Pitt RE (1990) Cryobiological implications of different methods of calculating the chemical potential of water in partially frozen suspending media. Cryo-Letters (Cambridge, UK) 11: 227-240

Pomeroy LR (1959) Algal productivity in salt marshes of Georgia. Limnol Oceanogr 4: 386-397

Prabha Devi (1995a) Biochemical and molecular characterization of the marine microfouling material developed on various substrata. Ph D Thesis submitted to Goa Univ. pp. 310

Prabha Devi (1995b). Abundance of bacterial and diatom fouling on various surfaces. Proc Ind Natl Sci Acad 61: 231-240 Pratt DM (1966) Competition between *Skeletonema costatum* and *Olithodiscus luteus* in Narragansett Bay and in culture. Limnol Oceanogr 11: 447-455

Qasim SZ, Sen Gupta R (1981) Environmental characteristics of the Mandovi-Zuari estuarine system in Goa. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 13: 557-578

Raghukumar S, Anil AC, Khandeparker LD, Patil JS (2000) Thraustochytrids protists as a component of marine microbial films. Mar Biol 136: 603-609

Ragothaman G, VNR Rao (1978). Studies on the diatom *Amphora coffeaeformis* Agardh: Salinity changes on growth and auxospore formation. Ind J Mar Sci 7: 62-65

Rao VNR (1990) Microfouling and its impact on submerged surfaces. Microfouling and power plants. In: Nair KVK, Venugopalan VP (eds.) Proceedings of the specialists meeting on marine biodeterioration with reference to power plant cooling systems held at IGCAR, Kalpakkam on 26–28 April 1989. pp 113-121

Rasmussen MN, Henriksen K, Jensen A (1983) Possible causes of temporal fluctuations in primary production of the microphytobenthos in the Danish Wadden Sea. Mar Biol 73: 109-114

Raveendran TV, Sankaran PD, Wagh AB (1991). Composition of microfouling on aluminium and fibreglass panels exposed in Agatti waters (Lakshaweep island). Ind J Mar Sci.20: 75-77

Redekar PD (1997) Studies on some phytoplankton organisms from Zuari estuary of coastal waters of Goa with special reference to fouling aspects of Biodeterioration studies. Ph D Thesis submitted to Goa Univ pp 297

Redekar PD, Wagh. AB (2000a) Cryopreservation studies on the marine diatom *Navicula subinflata* Grun. Seaweed research and utilization, Namakkal 22: 183-187

Redekar PD, Wagh AB (2000b). Studies on fouling diatoms from the Zuari estuary Goa (west coast of India). Seaweed Res Util 22: 113-119

Reid RO, Kajiura K (1957) On the damping of gravity waves over a permeable seabed. Trans Am Geophys Un 38: 662-666

Renard P (1991) Cooling and freezing tolerances in embryos of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*: Methanol and sucrose effects. Aquaculture 92: 43-57

*Renard P, Cochard JC (1989) Effect of various cryoprotectants on Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg, nila clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* Reeve, and King scallop *Pecten maximus* (L.) embryos: Influence of the biochemical and osmotic effects. Cryo-Letteres 10: 169-180

Rendall DA, Wilkinson M (1983). The seasonal and spatial distribution of attached *Melosira* species in he upper Clyde estuary, Scotland. Bot Mar 26: 249-254

Richerson P, Armstrong R, Goldman CR (1970) Contemporaneous disequilibrium, a new hypothesis to explain the "paradox of the plankton." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 67: 1710-1714

Risgaard-Petersen N, Rysgaard S, Nielsen LP, Reusbech NP (1994) Diurnal variation of denitrification and nitrification in sediments colonized by benthic microphytes. Limnol Oceanogr 39: 573-579

Riznyk RZ, Phinney HK (1972) The distribution of intertidal phytosammon in an Oregon estuary. Mar Biol 13: 318-324

Riznyk RZ, Edens JI, Libby RC (1978) Production of epibenthic diatoms in a southern California impounded estuary. J Phycol 14: 273-279

Rodrigues C (1984) Community structure of intertidal fauna at Dona Paula beach (Goa, India). Ph D thesis University of Poona

Roman MR, Tenore KR (1978) Tidal resuspension in Buzzards Bay, Massachussets. I. Seasonal changes in the resuspension of organic carbon and chlorophyll *a*. Estuar Coast Mar Sci 6: 37-46

*Round FE (1965) The epipsammon: a relatively unknown freshwater algal association. Br Phycol Bull 2: 456-462

Round FE (1971) Benthic marine diatoms. Oceanogr. Mar Biol A Rev 9: 83-139

Round FE 1972. The problem of reduction of cell size during diatom cell division. Nova Hedwigia 23: 291-303

Round FE (1978) On the rhythmic movement of the diatom *Amphora ovalis*. Brit Phycol J 13: 311

Round FE (1979a) A diatom assemblage living below the surface intertidal sand flats. Mar Biol 54: 219-223

Round FE (1979b) Occurrence and rhythmic behaviour of *Tropodeneis lepidoptera* in the epipelon of Barnstable Harbor, Massachusetts, USA. Mar Biol 54: 215-217

Round FE (1981) The ecology of algae. Cambridge, University press

Round FE, Eaton JW (1966) Persistent vertical migration rhythms in benthic microflora. The rhythm of epipelic algae in a freshwater pond. J Ecol 54: 609-615

*Round FE, Happey CM (1965) Persistent vertical migration rhythms in benthic microflora. IV. A diurnal rhythm of the epipelic diatom association in non-tidal flowing water. Br Phycol Bull 2: 463-471

Round FE, Palmer JD (1966) Persistent and vertical migration rhythms in benthic microflora II Field and laboratory studies on diatoms from the banks of the river Avon. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 46: 191-244

Round FE, Crawford RM, Mann DG (1990). The Diatoms: Biology and morphology of the Genera, Cambridge University Press, New York, 747 pp

Rusch A, Huettel M (2000) Advective particle transport into permeable sediments– evidence in an intertidal sandflat. Limnol Oceanogr 45: 525-533

Saks NM (1978) The preservation of salt marsh algae by controlled liquid

Satyanarayan Rao K, Balaji M (1988) Biological fouling at Port Kakinada, Godavari estuary, India. In: Thompson MF, Nagabushanam R, Sarojini R (eds.) Marine Biodeterioration: Advanced techniques applicable to the Indian Ocean. New Delhi, India Oxford and IBH pp 551-574

*Schmid AM (1979) Influence of environmental factors on the development of the valve in diatoms. Protoplasma 99: 99-115

Schoeller A, Cohn SA, Spurck TP, Pickette Heaps JD, Edgar LE (1984). Sexual reproduction in penante diatom *Navicula cuspidata*. 1. Gametogenesis and zygote formation. Abstracts annual meeting of the phycological society of America. pp 28

*Scholin CA, Hallegraeff GM, Anderson DM (1993) Molecular evolution and global dispersal of the *Alexandrium tamarense / Catennella* species complex. Sixieme conference internationale sur le phytoplancton toxique, Nantes, France, 19-22 Ooctobre

Serodio J, Catarino F (2000) Modelling the primary productivity of intertidal microphytobenthos: time scales of variability and effects of migratory rhythms. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 192: 13-30

Shaffer GP, Sullivan MJ (1988) Water column productivity attributable to displaced benthic diatoms in well-mixed shallow estuaries. J Phycol 24: 132-140

Shantakumaran LN (1989) The problem of marine fouling – a partial overview. In: Nair KVK, Venugopalan VP. Proceedings of marine biodeterioration with reference to power plant cooling systems held at IGCAR, Kalpakkam on 26-28, pp 19-34

Shapiro LP, Campbell L (1989). Immunochemical recognition of phytoplankton species. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 57: 219-224.

Shapiro LP, Campbell L, Haugen EM (1998). Immunochemical approaches in the study of phytoplankton. In: Molecular approaches to the study of the ocean. Cooksey KE, Chapman and Hall, London

Sharma MO, Bhosle NB, Wagh AB (1990) Methods for the removal and estimation of microfouling biomass. Ind J Mar Sci 19: 174-176

Sicko-Goad L (1986) Rejuvenation of *Melosira granulata* (Bacillariophyceae) resting cells from the anoxic sediments of Douglas Lake, Michigan. II. Electron microscopy. J Phycol 22: 28-35

Sieburth J Mc N (1979) Sea microbes. Oxford Univ. Press, New York pp 491

Skerman TM (1956) The nature and development of primary films on surfaces submerged in the sea. New Zealand Sci Tech 38B: 44-57 Smita M, Anil AC (2000) Diatom colonization on stainless steel panels in estuarine waters of Goa, west coast of India. Ind J Mar Sci 29: 273-276

Smita M, Desai D, Khandeparker L, Anil AC, Wagh AB (1997) Fouling diatom community with reference to substratum variability in a tropical marine environment. In: Proc Emerging Nonmetallic Materials for the Marine Environment (US Pacific Rim workshop, Honolulu, HI), Section 4. pp 38-54

*Smith AU (1950) Prevention of haemolysis during freezing and thawing of red blood-cells. Lancet, ii : 910-911

Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1981) Biometry, 2nd edn. WH Freeman and Company, New York

Sommer U (1999) Competition and coexistence. Nature 402: 366-367

Sommer U (1984) The paradox of the plankton: Fluctuations of phosphorus availability maintain diversity of phytoplankton in flow-through cultures. Limnol Oceanogr 29: 633-636

Songdong S, Jixun D (2000) The study of cell viability of *Porphyra Yeloensis* with various recovery treatments. J Ocen 30: 292-296

Stewart FM, Levin BR (1973) Partitioning of resources and the outcome of interspecific competition: a model and some general considerations. Amer Natural 107: 198-171

Steele JH, Baird IE (1968) Production ecology of a sandy beach. Limnol Oceanogr 13: 14-25

Steele JH, Munro ALS (1970) Environmental factors controlling the epipsammic flora on beach and sublittoral sands. J Mar Biol Ass UK 50: 907-918

Steeman Nielsen, Jørgensen EG (1968) The adaptation of plankton algae. I. General part. Physiologia Pl 21: 401-413

Strickland JDH, Parsons TR (1965) A manual of seawater analysis. 2nd edn. Queen's printer and controller of stationery, Ottawa, Canada

Strickland JDH (1958) Solar radiation penetrating the ocean. A review of measurements, data and methods of measurement with particular reference to photosynthetic productivity. J Fish Res Bd Can 15: 453-493

Subrahmanyan R (1946) A systematic account of the marine phytoplankton diatoms of the Madras coast. Proc Ind Acad of sci 24: 85-197

Subrahmanyan R (1958) Ecological studies on the marine phytoplankton on the west coast of India. Mem Indian Bot Soc 1: 145-151

Sullivan MJ (1975) Diatom communities from a Delaware salt marsh. J Phycol 11: 384-390

Taguchi S (1976) Short-term variability of photosynthesis in natural marine phytoplankton populations. Mar Biol 37: 97-207

Taasen JP, Hoisaeter T (1981) The shallow-water soft-bottom benthos in Lindaspollene, western Norway. 4. Benthic marine diatoms, seasonal density fluctuations. Sarsia 66: 293-316

Taylor MJ (1987) Physico-chemical principles in low temperature biology. In: Grout BWW, Morris G. J. (eds). The effect of low temperature on biological systems. Edward Arnold, London, pp 3-71

Taylor F (1993) The species problem and its impact on harmful phytoplankton studies. In. Toxic phytoplankton blooms in the sea, edited by Smayda TJ, Shimizu Y, Elsevier science publishers, New York, pp 81-86

Ter Braak CJF, Van Dam H (1989) Inferring pH from diatoms: a comparison of old and new calibration methods. Hydrobiol 178: 209-223

Throndsen J (1978) The dilution-culture method. In: Sournia A (ed) Phytoplankton manual. UNESCO Monographs on oceanographic methodology 6, Paris pp 218-224

Tilman D (1976) Ecological competition between algae: experimental confirmation of resource-based competition theory. Science 192: 463-465

Tilman D (1982) Resource competition and community structure. Monographs in population biology. Princeton, New Jersey, USA

Tilman D (1999) The ecological consequences of changes in biodiversity: A search for general principles. Ecology 80: 1455-1474.

Toledo JD, Kurokura H (1990) Cryopreservation of the euryhaline rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis* embryos. Aquaculture 91: 385-394

Tomas CR (1997) Marine diatoms. In: Tomas CR (ed.) Identifying marine phytoplankton. Academic press, New York pp 5-385

Tsuru S (1973) Preservation of marine and fresh water algae by means of freezing and freeze drying. Cryobiology 10: 445-452

Valiela I (1984) Marine ecological processes. Springer-Verlag, New York

Van Duyl FC, de Winder B, Kop AC, Wollenzien U (1999) Tidal coupling between carbohydrate concentrations and bacterial activities in diatom-inhabited intertidal mudflats. Mat Ecol Prog Ser 191: 19-32

Varela M, Penas E (1985) Primary production of benthic microalgae in an intertidal sand flat of the Ria de Arosa, NW Spain. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 25: 111-119

Venugopalan VP, Rao TS, Sargunam CA, Nair KVK (1994). Some observations on the biological and biochemical aspects of biofilm development in Kalpakkam coastal waters. Recent Dev in biofouling control pp 11-20

Verduin J (1957) Daytime variations in phytoplankton photosynthesis. Limnol Oceanogr 2: 333-336

Wainright SC (1990) Sediment-to-water fluxes of particulate material and microbes by resuspension and their contribution to the planktonic food web. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 62: 271-281

Wang Y, Lu J, Mollet JC, Gretz MR, Hoagland KD (1997) Extracellular matrix assembly in diatoms (Bacillariophyceae). II. 2, 6-dichlorobenzonitrile inhibition of motility and stalk production in the marine diatom *Achnanthes longipes*. Pl Physiol (Rockv) 113: 1071-1080

Wood AM, Leatham T (1992) The species concept in phytoplankton ecology. J Phycol 28: 723-729

*Yamochi S (1989) Distribution of benthic cells of *Skeletonema costatum* and *Heterosigma akashiwo* in Osaka Bay. Bull Plankt Soc Japan 36: 47-51

Yentsch CS, Reichert CA (1963) The effects of prolonged darkness on photosynthesis, respiration and chlorophyll in the marine flagellate *Dunaliella euchlora*. Limnol Oceanogr 8: 338-342

Zobell CE (1943) The effect of solid surfaces upon bacterial activity. J Bact 46: 39-56

Zoltai PT, Zottola EA, McKay LL (1981) Scanning electron microscopy of microbial attachment to milk contact surfaces. J Food Prot 44: 201-208

* Not referred to in original

Chapter 2A

Chapter 2A Appendix 1 Two-way ANOVA evaluating the variations in diatom abundance at the low tide zone with respect to months and core sections (0-15 cm) (* = P ≤ 0.001 ; ** = p ≤ 0.005 ; **** = p ≤ 0.025 ; **** = p ≤ 0.025 ; **** = p ≤ 0.05 ; ***** = p ≤ 0.01 ; NS = not significant)

Navicula				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	48.7	3.05	5.7*
Depth	2	7.67	3.84	7.2**
Month X Depth	32	26.5	0.83	1.6****
Within subgroup error	102	54.5	0.53	
Total	152	137.5		
Amphora	10		2.60	
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	49.6	3.11	10.97*
Depth	2	4.02	2.0	7.09**
Month X Depth	32	40.3	1.26	4.44*
Within subgroup error	102	28.9	0.28	
Total	152	123		
Grammatophora				
Grammatophora	df	55	MS	Fe
Month	16	21.9	1 27	0.26*
Depth	10	21.0	1.57	9.30° 7.32**
Month X Denth	2	2.11	0.53	2.6*
Within subgroup error	102	14.0	0.33	5.0
Total	152	55 7	0.14	
10tai	132	55.1		
Pleurosigma				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	5.4	0.34	5.95*
Depth	2	0.44	0.23	3.91***
Month X Depth	32	9.65	0.31	5.42*
Within subgroup error	102	5.79	0.057	
Total	152	21.5		
Nitzschia		~ ~		
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	1.7	0.11	3.46*
Depth	2	0.34	0.17	5.5****
Month X Depth	32	2.5	0.078	2.56*
Within subgroup error	102	3.13	0.03	
Total	152	7.68		
Thalassiothrix				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	8.37	0.53	8.9*
Depth	2	2.32	3.36	19.8*
Month X Depth	32	10.33	0.32	5.5*
Within and group or or	-			
within subgroup error	102	6	0.058	

Cocconeis	10	00	MC	Г
Manda		88	MS	FS
Month	16	27.7	1.73	13.8*
Depth	2	4	2	14.8*
Month X Depth	32	20.87	0.65	4.8*
Within subgroup error	102	13.8	0.13	
Total	152	66.5		
Fragilaria				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	23.6	1.47	36.17*
Depth	2	0.58	0.29	7.17**
Month X Depth	32	0.59	0.3	7.38*
Within subgroup error	102	4.16	0.04	
Total	152	37.9		
Rellerochen				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	0.43	0.026	3.6*
Depth	2	0.11	0.056	7.68*
Month X Denth	32	0.85	0.026	3.6*
Within subgroup error	102	0.74	0.007	2.0
Total	152	2.12	0.007	
Thalassiosira				
1 1111155105111	df	22	MS	Fe
Month	16	25.6	1.6	6 76*
Donth	2	23.0	1.0	0.70*
Depui Manth V Danth	22	12.2	0.11	23.0
Within automatic amon	52 102	13.98	0.3	2.1
within subgroup error	102	24.10	0.24	
10tai	132	78		
Biddulphia	10			
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	44.3	2.77	14.4*
Depth	2	4.2	2.1	11*
Month X Depth	32	15.4	0.48	2.5*
Within subgroup error	102	19.6	0.19	
Total	152	83.5		
Coscinodiscus				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	11.2	0.7	12.2*
Depth	2	0.8	0.41	7.1**
Month X Depth	32	7.98	0.25	4.3*
Within subgroup error	102	5.86	0.06	
Total	152	25.6		
Melosira	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	9.8	0.61	Q 7*
Denth	2	7.0 A A 8	224	25.7
Month X Denth	$\frac{2}{32}$	157	0.40	7 70*
Within subgroup areas	52 102	6.42	0.47	1.10
within subgroup error	102	0.42	0.00	
Iotal	152	30.37		

	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	12.9	0.81	9.6*
Depth	2	0.29	0.15	1.75^{NS}
Month X Depth	32	2.07	0.06	0.77^{NS}
Within subgroup error	102	8.54	0.08	
Total	152	23.8		
Streptotheca				
^	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	29.9	1.87	8.9*
Depth	2	0.48	0.24	3.16 ^{NS}
Month X Depth	32	22.25	0.7	3.32*
Within subgroup error	102	21.4	0.21	
Total	152	74.0		
Chaetoceros				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	16	3.44	0.22	20.1*
Depth	2	0.15	0.076	7.14**
Month X Depth	32	2.45	0.076	7.17*
Within subgroup error	102	1	0.011	
Total	152	7.13		

Two-way ANOVA evaluating the variations in diatom abundance at mid tide zone with respect to months and core sections (0-15 cm) (* = $p \le 0.001$; ** = $p \le 0.005$; *** = $p \le 0.025$; **** = $p \le 0.05$; **** = $p \le 0.01$; NS = not significant)

Navicula				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	9.46	1.18	3.75****
Depth	2	6.14	3.07	9.72****
Month X Depth	16	10.98	0.69	2.18**
Within subgroup error	54	17.04	0.32	
Total	80	43.62		
Amphora				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	8.5	1.06	4.15****
Depth	2	1.93	0.96	3.77*
Month X Depth	16	16.14	1.01	3.94****
Within subgroup error	54	13.81	0.26	
Total	80	40.38		
Grammatophora				
•	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	3.71	0.46	39.83****
Depth	2	0.53	0.26	22.76****
Month X Depth	16	9	0.56	48 37****
Within subgroup error	54	0.63	0.01	10.57
Total	80	13.86	0.01	
Pleurosigma				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	14.82	1.85	38.70****
Depth	2	5.78	2.89	60.36****
Month X Depth	16	9.61	0.60	12.55****
Within subgroup error	54	2.58	0.05	
Total	80	32.79		
Nitzschia				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	7.61	0.95	13.10*****
Depth	2	17.08	8.54	117.55*****
Month X Depth	16	10.32	0.64	8.88****
Within subgroup error	54	3.92	0.07	-
Total	80	38.93		
Thalassiothrix				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	44.54	5.57	38.72****
Depth	2	0.06	0.03	0.20 NS
Month X Depth	16	15.42	0.96	6.70*****
Within subgroup error	54	7.76	0.14	-
Total	80	67 78		
1 U MI	00	01.10		

Cocconeis				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	32.31	4.04	42.58****
Depth	2	2.02	1.01	10.62****
Month X Depth	16	28.45	1.78	18.75****
Within subgroup error	54	5.12	0.09	
Total	80	67.90		
Fragilariopsis				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	2.57	0.32	37.96****
Depth	2	1.45	0.72	85.52****
Month X Depth	16	5.13	0.32	37.96****
Within subgroup error	54	0.46	0.01	
Total	80	9.60		
Bellerochea				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	27.82	3.48	40.02****
Depth	2	0.49	0.24	2.79 NS
Month X Depth	16	2.28	0.14	1 64 NS
Within subgroup error	54	4.69	0.09	1.01110
Total	80	35.28	,	
Thalassiosira				
1	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	21.96	2 75	13 3/4****
Denth	2	21.90	10.02	48 71****
Month X Denth	16	20.04 AA AA	2 78	13 50****
Within subgroup error	54	11 11	0.21	15.50
Total	80	97.55	0.21	
Piddulahia				
Биашрпи	df	22	MS	Fe
Month	8	13 77	1 72	16 07****
Dopth	0	6.10	1.72	20 50****
Month X Depth	- 16	25.63	1.60	15 78****
Within subgroup error	54	23.03 5 AQ	0.10	13.70
Total	80	51.07	0.10	
Coscinodiscus				
Coloniouileul	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	10.41	1.30	45.35****
Depth	2	0.37	0.19	6.45****
Month X Denth	16	6.59	0.41	14.36****
Within subgroup error	54	1.55	0.03	11.50
Total	80	18.93	0.00	
1.0001	00	10.75		
Melosira	10	66	MC	Г
Month	0	55	MS	FS 20 27****
Nonth	8	13.13	1.64	29.27****
Deptn	2	2.72	1.36	24.30****
Month X Depth	16	16.92	1.06	18.86****
Within subgroup error	54	3.03	0.06	
Total	80	35.79		

Achnanthes				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	4.31	0.54	66.39****
Depth	2	1	0.50	61.48****
Month X Depth	16	11.54	0.72	88.78****
Within subgroup error	54	0.44	0.01	
Total	80	17.29		
Streptotheca				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	15.69	1.96	61.76****
Depth	2	0.46	0.23	7 23****
Month X Depth	16	12.08	0.76	23 78****
Within subgroup error	54	1 72	0.03	
Total	80	29.95	0.00	
Cyclotella				
cyclolellu	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	26.42	3.30	.38.96****
Denth	2	0.97	0.49	5 72***
Month X Denth	16	5.01	0.31	3 60****
Within subgroup error	54	4 58	0.08	5.07
Total	80	36 97	0.00	
10001	00	50.71		
Pinnularia	df	22	MS	Fa
N. (1		35	MS	<u>FS</u>
Month	8	30.74	3.84	43.98****
Depth	2	1./3	0.8/	9.93*****
Month X Depth	16	6.78	0.42	4.85*****
Within subgroup error	54	4.72	0.09	
Total	80	43.98		
Cymbella				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	2.86	0.36	16.83****
Depth	2	0.41	0.21	9.66*****
Month X Depth	16	6.94	0.43	20.42****
Within subgroup error	54	1.15	0.02	
Total	80	11.35		
Tabellaria				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	13.70	1.71	41.45****
Depth	2	3.57	1.79	43.19****
Month X Depth	16	6.76	0.42	10.22****
Within subgroup error	54	2.23	0.04	
Total	80	26.27		
Raphoneis	df	SS	MS	Fe
Month	8	46.96	5.87	<u>84</u> 20****
Denth	2	0.20	0.07	04.30 · · · ·
Month V Denth	- 16	0.03	0.02	0.24 INS
Within subgroup arrest	54	0.90	0.00	0.00 103
within subgroup error	34 80	J./0 51.74	0.07	
Total	80	51./4		

Two-way ANOVA evaluating the variations in diatom abundance at high tide zone with respect to months and core sections (0-15 cm) (* = $p \le 0.001$; ** = $p \le 0.005$; *** = $p \le 0.025$; **** = $p \le 0.05$; **** = $p \le 0.01$; NS = not significant)

Navicula				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	31.57	3.95	24.44****
Depth	2	1.41	0.71	4.37**
Month X Depth	16	8.29	0.52	3.21****
Within subgroup error	54	8.72	0.16	
Total	80	49.99		
Amphora				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	5.05	0.63	3.59****
Depth	2	18.03	9.02	51.27****
Month X Depth	16	18.03	1.13	6.41****
Within subgroup error	54	9.50	0.18	
Total	80	50.60		
Grammatophora				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	1.09	0.14	29.60*****
Depth	2	0.27	0.14	29.60*****
Month X Depth	16	2.18	0.14	29 60*****
Within subgroup error	54	0.25	0	29.00
Total	80	3.79	Ũ	
Pleurosigma				
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8			
Depth	2			
Month X Depth	16			
Within subgroup error	54			
Total	80			
Nitzschia				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	11.67	1.46	31.18****
Depth	2	3.21	1.60	34.29*****
Month X Depth	16	10.82	0.68	14.45*****
Within subgroup error	54	2.53	0.05	
Total	80	28.23		
Thalassiothrix				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	8.18	1.02	43.62****
Depth	2	4.80	2.40	102.44****
Month X Depth	16	5.08	0.32	13.56****
Within subgroup error	54	1.27	0.02	
Total	80	10.33	0.0 <b>-</b>	

Cocconeis	10	~~	2.60	
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	34.63	4.33	80.50*****
Depth	2	7.58	3.79	70.50*****
Month X Depth	16	16.88	1.06	19.63*****
Within subgroup error	54	2.90	0.05	
Total	80	62		
Fragilariopsis				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	0.94	0.12	30.78****
Depth	2	0.54	0.27	70.32*****
Month X Depth	16	1.88	0.12	30.78****
Within subgroup error	54	0.21	0	
Total	80	3.56	~	
Rellerochea				
Denerveneu	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	1 43	0.18	36 07****
Denth	2	1.41	0.71	147 66****
Month X Denth	- 16	2.86	0.71	36 07****
Within subgroup error	54	2.80	0.18	30.07
Total	24	0.27	0	
Total	80	3.98		
Thalassiosira				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	25.80	3.22	28.42****
Depth	2	5.50	2.75	24.25*****
Month X Depth	16	21.76	1.36	11.99*****
Within subgroup error	54	6.13	0.11	
Total	80	59.18		
Biddulphia				
<b>I</b>	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	5.02	0.63	190 21****
Denth	2	0.72	0.36	108 91****
Month X Denth	- 16	12 18	0.76	230 86****
Within subgroup error	54	0.18	0	230.00
Total	80	18.10		
Coscinodiscus				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	0.90	0.11	84.14****
Depth	2	0.23	0.11	84 14****
Month X Denth	16	1.80	0 11	84 14****
Within subgroup error	54	0.07	0	01.11
Total	80	3	v	
10001	00	J		
Melosira	10	00		F
<b>N</b> <i>G</i> <b>(1</b> )	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	15.84	1.98	22.93*****
Depth	2	9.35	4.68	54.18****
Month X Depth	16	13.87	0.87	10.04****
Within subgroup error	54	4.66	0.09	
Total	80	43 72		

	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	2 91	0 36	9 92****
Denth	2	0.72	0.36	9.7 <i>2</i> 9.76****
Month X Denth	16	7 12	0.30	17 67****
Within subgroup arror	54	1.42	0.40	12.02
Total	54 80	1.98	0.04	
10141	80	15.05		
Streptotheca				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	27.35	3.42	91.21****
Depth	2	5.29	2.65	70.58*****
Month X Depth	16	10.14	0.63	16.91*****
Within subgroup error	54	2.02	0.04	
Total	80	44.80		
Cvclotella				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	0.66	0.08	22.13*****
Depth	2	0.17	0.08	22.13*****
Month X Depth	16	1.33	0.08	22.13*****
Within subgroup error	54	0.20	0	
Total	80	2.36	-	
Pinnularia				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	17.66	2 21	50 90*****
Denth	2	5 30	2.65	61 07*****
Month X Denth	16	8.63	0.54	17 //*****
Within subgroup arror	54	2.24	0.34	12.44
Total	34 80	2.54	0.04	
1000	00	55.72		
Cymbella	10	00		
	dt	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	20.26	2.53	6.82****
Depth	2	2.59	1.29	3.48*
Month X Depth	16	5.44	0.34	0.91 NS
Within subgroup error	54	20.06	0.37	
Total	80	48.34		
Tabellaria				
	df	SS	MS	Fs
Month	8	4.85	0.61	88.91****
Depth	2	0.30	0.15	22.23*****
Month X Depth	16	2.43	0.15	22.23*****
Within subgroup error	54	0.37	0.01	_ <b></b>
Total	80	7.95	0.01	
		1.20		
Raphoneis	46	99	MO	P
	dt	55	MS	Fs
Month	8	5	0.62	22.29*****
Depth	2	4.99	2.49	88.97****
Month X Depth	16	10	0.62	22.29****
Within subgroup error	54	1.51	0.03	
Total	80	21.49		

MANOVA for the temporal variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora coffeaeformis* (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll a (d) in the low tide incubated cores of case I at different incubation conditions

 $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.025; ***p \le 0.01; ****p \le 0.005; *****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	1	0.24	0.24	-
Incubation time	3	2.24	0.75	-
Depths	3	7.40	2.47	-
Conditions X Incubation time	3	0.41	0.14	13.81****
Conditions X Depths	3	0.07	0.02	2.27 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	2.18	0.24	24.5****
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.09	0.01	-
(h) Queen a face intiger	10	55	MC	Г
(b) Source of Variation	<i>aj</i>	88	MS	F
Loophations	1	2.63	2.63	
Dopths	3	0.38	0.13	
Depuis	5	7.70	2.37	
Conditions X Incubation time	3	1.07	0.36	4.79*
Conditions X Depths	3	1.42	0.47	6.35**
Incubation time X Depths	9	3.3	0.37	4.91*
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.67	0.07	
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	1	0.09	0.09	-
Incubation time	3	0.57	0.19	-
Depths	3	8.53	2.84	-
Conditions X Incubation time	3	0.25	0.08	4*
Conditions X Depths	3	0.13	0.04	2.1 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	2.02	0.22	10.73*****
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.19	0.02	-
(d) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	1	0.03	0.03	-
Incubation time	3	0.12	0.04	-
Depths	3	0.25	0.08	-
Conditions X Incubation time	3	0.04	0.01	3.19 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	3	0.04	0.01	3.08 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.03	0.003	$0.88^{NS}$
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.03	0.004	-

MANOVA for the temporal variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora coffeaeformis* (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll a (d) in the high tide incubated cores of case I at different incubation conditions

 $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.025; ***p \le 0.01; ****p \le 0.005; *****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	1	0.003	0.003	-
Incubation time	3	1.82	0.61	-
Depths	3	5.59	1.86	-
Conditions X Incubation time	3	0.06	0.02	$2.74^{NS}$
Conditions X Depths	3	0.07	0.02	2.84 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	1.88	0.21	26.69*****
Conditions X Incubation time X D	epths 9	0.07	0.008	-
(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	1	1.07	1.06	
Incubation time	3	1.2	0.4	
Depths	3	6.47	2.16	
Conditions X Incubation time	3	0.24	0.08	0.86 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	3	1.60	0.53	5.68**
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.49	0.45	4.89*
Conditions X Incubation time X D	epths 9	0.84	0.09	
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	1	0.13	0.13	-
Incubation time	3	0.36	0.12	-
Depths	3	5.24	1.75	-
Conditions X Incubation time	3	0.7	0.23	17.98*****
Conditions X Depths	3	0.05	0.018	1.38 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.63	0.07	5.41***
Conditions X Incubation time X D	epths 9	0.12	0.013	-
(d) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	1	0.016	0.016	-
Incubation time	3	0.032	0.011	-
Depths	3	0.13	0.05	-
Conditions X Incubation time	3	0.002	0.0008	0.15 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	3	0.02	0.006	1.11 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.03	0.003	$0.58^{NS}$
Conditions X Incubation time X D	epths 9	0.051	0.005	-

MANOVA for the temporal and depthwise variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora* coffeaeformis (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll a (d) of case I in 12 h light: 12 h dark incubation for low and high tide incubated cores

 $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.025; ***p \le 0.01; ****p \le 0.005; *****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.78	0.78	-
Incubation time	3	1.57	0.52	-
Depths	3	5.32	1.77	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.33	0.11	1.24 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	0.05	0.015	0.17 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	1.15	0.13	1.44 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.80	0.09	-
(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	2.37	2.36	
Incubation time	3	0.18	0.06	
Depths	3	2.09	0.69	
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.1	0.04	$0.52^{NS}$
Tides X Depths	3	0.03	0.01	0.16 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.48	0.05	$0.84^{NS}$
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.57	0.06	
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	1.06	1.06	-
Incubation time	3	0.46	0.15	-
Depths	3	5.43	1.81	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.15	0.05	$0.67^{\rm NS}$
Tides X Depths	3	0.10	0.034	0.45 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.97	0.11	1.45 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.67	0.074	-
(d) Source of variation	đf	55	MS	Б
Tides		0.0012	0.0013	-
Incubation time	3	0.082	0.0015	-
Depths	3	0.03	0.01	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.045	0.015	8 87****
Tides X Depths	3	0.22	0.072	42.09****
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.022	0.0024	$1.42^{NS}$
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.015	0.0017	-

MANOVA for the temporal and depthwise variation in total diatom abundance (a); Amphora coffeaeformis (b); Navicula delicatula (c); Chlorophyll a (d) of case I in total dark incubation for low and high tide incubated cores  $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.005; ****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	2.04	2.04	-
Incubation time	3	1.63	0.54	-
Depths	3	7.75	2.58	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	1	0.33	5.14**
Tides X Depths	3	0.03	0.01	0.16 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	1.68	0.19	2.87 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.58	0.06	-
(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.89	0.89	
Incubation time	3	0.92	0.31	
Depths	3	14.99	4.99	
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.32	0.1	$0.77^{NS}$
Tides X Depths	3	0.09	0.029	0.21 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.4	0.044	0.33 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	1.21	0.13	
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.94	0.94	-
Incubation time	3	0.62	0.21	-
Depths	3	8.24	2.75	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.67	0.22	6.62**
Tides X Depths	3	0.19	0.062	1.85 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	1	0.11	3.39*
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.3	0.033	-
(d) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.069	0.069	-
Incubation time	3	0.046	0.015	-
Depths	3	0.03	0.01	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.018	0.006	0.66 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	0.16	0.055	5.92**
Incubation time X Depths	9	0.025	0.0028	0.3 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	0.083	0.0092	-

MANOVA for the temporal variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora coffeaeformis* (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll a (d) in the low tide incubated cores of case II at different incubation conditions

 $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.025; ***p \le 0.01; ****p \le 0.005; *****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(a) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions         2         0.34         0.17         -           Incubation time         3         0.14         0.048         -           Depths         3         1.08         0.36         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.95         0.16         1.35 ^{NS} Conditions X Depths         6         0.61         0.1         0.87 ^{NS} Conditions X Depths         9         4.2         0.47         3.99***           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.10         0.12         -           (b) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         6         1.5         0.25         1.92 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.43         0.07         0.55 ^{NS} Incubation time X Depths         9         4.6         0.51         3.95***           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.33         0.13         -           (c) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F	$(p \le 0.05, p \le 0.025, p \le 0.01,$	$p \le 0.003, p \le 0.003$	$\leq 0.001$ , $NS - 100$	significant)	
Conditions       2 $0.34$ $0.17$ -         Incubation time       3 $0.14$ $0.048$ -         Depths       3 $1.08$ $0.36$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $0.95$ $0.16$ $1.35^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $4.2$ $0.47$ $3.99^{ex}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $2.10$ $0.12$ -         (b) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       2 $2.52$ $1.26$ -         Incubation time       3 $0.37$ $0.12$ -         Depths       3 $3.96$ $1.32$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $0.43$ $0.07$ $0.58^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9       4.6 $0.51$ $3.95^{ex}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $2.33$ $0.13$ -         (c) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       2 $1.01$ $0.51$	(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Incubation time         3         0.14         0.048         -           Depths         3         1.08         0.36         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.95         0.16 $1.35^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths         9         4.2         0.47 $3.99^{++e}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.10         0.12         -           (b) Source of variation         df         SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         3         0.37         0.12         -           Depths         3         0.37         0.12         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         1.5         0.25 $1.92^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.43         0.07 $0.55^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths         9         4.6         0.51 $3.95^{e+ee}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.33         0.13         -           (c) Source of variation         df         SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.95         0.16 $1.55^{NS}$ <	Conditions	2	0.34	0.17	-
Depths       3       1.08       0.36       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95       0.16       1.35 ^{NS} Conditions X Depths       6       0.61       0.1       0.87 ^{NS} Conditions X Depths       9       4.2       0.47       3.99***         Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.10       0.12       -         (b) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       3       0.37       0.12       -         Depths       3       3.96       1.32       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.43       0.07       0.55 ^{NS} Incubation time X Depths       6       0.43       0.07       0.55 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.33       0.13       -         (c) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95       0.16       1.55 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.33       0.05       0.54 ^{ASA} Incubation time       9       3.6       0.4       3.92 ^{****}	Incubation time	3	0.14	0.048	-
Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.95         0.16 $1.35^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths         6         0.61         0.1 $0.87^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths         18         2.10         0.12         -           (b) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.10         0.12         -           (b) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         2         2.52         1.26         -           Incubation time         3         0.37         0.12         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.43         0.07         0.55^{NS}           Incubation time X Depths         9         4.6         0.51         3.95***           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.33         0.13         -           (c) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.33         0.05         0.54^{NS}           Conditions X Incubation time         2         6.62         3.3	Depths	3	1.08	0.36	-
Conditions X Depths         6         0.61         0.1 $0.87^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         9         4.2         0.47         3.99***           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.10         0.12         -           (b) Source of variation         d/         SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         2         2.52         1.26         -           Incubation time         3         0.37         0.12         -           Depths         3         3.96         1.32         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.43         0.07         0.55^{NS}           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         9         4.6         0.51         3.95***           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.33         0.13         -           (c) Source of variation         d/         SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.95         0.16         1.55^{NS}           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         9         3.6         0.4         3.92***           Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         9         3.6	Conditions X Incubation time	6	0.95	0.16	1.35 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths       9       4.2       0.47       3.99***         Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.10       0.12       -         (b) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions       2       2.52       1.26       -         Incubation time       3       0.37       0.12       -         Depths       3       3.96       1.32       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       1.5       0.25       1.92 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       9       4.6       0.51       3.95 ^{****} Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.33       0.13       -         (c) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       3       0.76       0.25       -         Depths       3       3.26       1.08       -       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95       0.16       1.55 ^{VS} Conditions X Incubation time       7.9       2.63       -       -         Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       1.83       0.1       -	Conditions X Depths	6	0.61	0.1	$0.87^{NS}$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Incubation time X Depths	9	4.2	0.47	3.99***
(b) Source of variation         df         SS         MS         F           Conditions         2         2.52         1.26         -           Incubation time         3         0.37         0.12         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         1.5         0.25 $1.92^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.43         0.07 $0.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         9         4.6         0.51 $3.95^{S+**}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.33         0.13         -           (c) Source of variation         df         SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         3         0.76         0.25         -           Incubation time         3         0.76         0.25         -           Depths         3         3.26         1.08         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.33         0.05 $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths         9         3.6         0.4 $3.92^{S+**}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         1.83         -	Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	2.10	0.12	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Incubation time       3       0.37       0.12       -         Depths       3       3.96       1.32       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       1.5       0.25       1.92 ^{NS} Conditions X Depths       6       0.43       0.07       0.55 ^{NS} Incubation time X Depths       9       4.6       0.51       3.95***         Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.33       0.13       -         (c) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.33       0.13       -         (c) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95       0.16       1.55 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.33       0.05       0.54 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       1.83       0.1       -         (d) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       3       7.9       2.63       -         Depths       3       13.30       4.44       -	Conditions	2	2.52	1.26	-
Depths       3       3.96 $1.32$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $1.5$ $0.25$ $1.92^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths       6 $0.43$ $0.07$ $0.55^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $4.6$ $0.51$ $3.95^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $2.33$ $0.13$ -         (c) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $2.33$ $0.13$ -         (c) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       2 $1.01$ $0.51$ -         Incubation time       3 $0.76$ $0.25$ -         Depths       3 $3.266$ $1.08$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $0.95$ $0.16$ $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $1.83$ $0.1$ -         (d) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       3 $7.9$	Incubation time	3	0.37	0.12	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Depths	3	3.96	1.32	-
Conditions X Depths         6         0.43         0.07 $0.55^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths         9         4.6         0.51 $3.95^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18 $2.33$ $0.13$ -           (c) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions         2 $1.01$ $0.51$ -           Incubation time         3 $0.76$ $0.25$ -           Depths         3 $3.26$ $1.08$ -           Conditions X Incubation time         6 $0.95$ $0.16$ $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths         9 $3.6$ $0.4$ $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18 $1.83$ $0.1$ -           (d) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         2 $6.62$ $3.3$ -           (d) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         6 $7.9$ $1.32$ $1.2$	Conditions X Incubation time	6	1.5	0.25	1.92 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths       9       4.6       0.51 $3.95^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.33       0.13       -         (c) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions       2       1.01       0.51       -         Incubation time       3       0.76       0.25       -         Depths       3       3.26       1.08       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95       0.16 $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.33       0.05 $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9       3.6       0.4 $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       1.83       0.1       -         (d) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions       1 cubation time       3       7.9       2.63       -         Incubation time       6       2.40       0.4       0.37^{NS}         Incubation time       9       37.3       4.14       3.87^{***}         Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       19.26       1.07       -	Conditions X Depths	6	0.43	0.07	0.55 ^{NS}
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         2.33         0.13         -           (c) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions         2         1.01         0.51         -           Incubation time         3         0.76         0.25         -           Depths         3         3.26         1.08         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         0.95         0.16 $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths         6         0.33         0.05 $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths         9         3.6         0.4 $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         18         1.83         0.1         -           (d) Source of variation $df$ SS         MS         F           Conditions X Incubation time         3         7.9         2.63         -           Depths         3         13.30         4.44         -           Conditions X Incubation time         6         7.9         1.32 $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths         9         37.3         4.14 $3.87^{***}$	Incubation time X Depths	9	4.6	0.51	3.95***
(c) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions21.010.51-Incubation time30.760.25-Depths33.261.08-Conditions X Incubation time60.950.16 $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths60.330.05 $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths93.60.4 $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $1.83$ 0.1-(d) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions X Incubation time37.92.63-Depths313.304.44-Conditions X Incubation time67.9 $1.32$ $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time62.400.4 $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time937.34.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.261.07(c) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.261.07(c) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions X Incubation time67.171.19 $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time <td>Conditions X Incubation time X Depths</td> <td>18</td> <td>2.33</td> <td>0.13</td> <td>-</td>	Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	2.33	0.13	-
Conditions       2       1.01       0.51       -         Incubation time       3       0.76       0.25       -         Depths       3       3.26       1.08       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95       0.16 $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths       6       0.33       0.05 $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9       3.6       0.4 $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $1.83$ 0.1       -         (d) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       2       6.62 $3.3$ -         Incubation time       3       7.9       2.63       -         Depths       3       13.30       4.44       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       7.9       1.32 $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time       9       37.3       4.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       9       37.3       4.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       19.26       1.07       -     <	(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Incubation time       3       0.76       0.25       -         Depths       3       3.26 $1.08$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95 $0.16$ $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths       6       0.33 $0.05$ $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $3.6$ $0.4$ $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $1.83$ $0.1$ -         (d) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions       2 $6.62$ $3.3$ -         Incubation time       3 $7.9$ $2.63$ -         Depths       3 $13.30$ $4.44$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $7.9$ $1.32$ $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time       9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $19.26$ $1.07$ -         (e) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       2 $1.35$ $0.68$ -<	Conditions	2	1.01	0.51	-
Depths       3 $3.26$ $1.08$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $0.95$ $0.16$ $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths       6 $0.33$ $0.05$ $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $3.6$ $0.4$ $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $1.83$ $0.1$ -         (d) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions       2 $6.62$ $3.3$ -         Incubation time       3 $7.9$ $2.63$ -         Depths       3 $13.30$ $4.44$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $7.9$ $1.32$ $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time       6 $2.40$ $0.4$ $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $19.26$ $1.07$ -         (e) Source of variation $df$ SS       MS       F         Conditions X Incubation time       3 $2.55$	Incubation time	3	0.76	0.25	-
Conditions X Incubation time       6       0.95       0.16 $1.55^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths       6       0.33       0.05 $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9       3.6       0.4 $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $1.83$ 0.1       -         (d) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions       2       6.62 $3.3$ -         Incubation time       3       7.9 $2.63$ -         Depths       3       13.30       4.44       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       7.9 $1.32$ $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time       6       2.40 $0.4$ $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $19.26$ $1.07$ -         (e) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions       2 $1.35$ $0.68$ -         Incubation time       3 $2.55$ $0.85$ -	Depths	3	3.26	1.08	-
Conditions X Depths       6 $0.33$ $0.05$ $0.54^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $3.6$ $0.4$ $3.92^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $1.83$ $0.1$ -         (d) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions       2 $6.62$ $3.3$ -         Incubation time       3 $7.9$ $2.63$ -         Depths       3 $13.30$ $4.44$ -         Conditions X Incubation time       6 $7.9$ $1.32$ $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths       6 $2.40$ $0.4$ $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths       9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18 $19.26$ $1.07$ -         (c) Source of variation       df       SS       MS       F         Conditions       2 $1.35$ $0.68$ -         Incubation time       3 $2.55$ $0.85$ -         Depths       3 $4.50$ $1.50$ - <tr< td=""><td>Conditions X Incubation time</td><td>6</td><td>0.95</td><td>0.16</td><td>1.55^{NS}</td></tr<>	Conditions X Incubation time	6	0.95	0.16	1.55 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths93.60.43.92***Conditions X Incubation time X Depths181.830.1-(d) Source of variationdfSSMSFConditions26.623.3-Incubation time37.92.63-Depths313.304.44-Conditions X Incubation time67.91.32 $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths62.400.4 $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths937.34.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.26 $1.07$ -(e) Source of variationdfSSMSFConditions21.350.68-Incubation time32.550.85-Depths34.501.50-Conditions X Incubation time67.171.19 $7.89^{*****}$ Conditions X Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths182.730.15-	Conditions X Depths	6	0.33	0.05	0.54 ^{NS}
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths181.830.1-(d) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions26.623.3-Incubation time37.92.63-Depths313.304.44-Conditions X Incubation time67.91.32 $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths62.400.4 $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths937.34.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.26 $1.07$ -(e) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions21.350.68-Incubation time32.550.85-Depths34.501.50-Conditions X Incubation time67.171.19 $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths9 $1.42$ 0.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $2.73$ $0.15$ -	Incubation time X Depths	9	3.6	0.4	3.92***
(d) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions26.623.3-Incubation time37.92.63-Depths313.304.44-Conditions X Incubation time67.91.32 $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths62.400.4 $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths937.34.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.26 $1.07$ -(e) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions21.350.68-Incubation time32.550.85-Depths34.501.50-Conditions X Incubation time67.171.19 $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Depths61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths182.730.15-	Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	1.83	0.1	-
IncubationIncubationIncubationIncubationConditions2 $6.62$ $3.3$ -Incubation time3 $7.9$ $2.63$ -Depths3 $13.30$ $4.44$ -Conditions X Incubation time6 $7.9$ $1.32$ $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths6 $2.40$ $0.4$ $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $19.26$ $1.07$ -(e) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions2 $1.35$ $0.68$ -Incubation time3 $2.55$ $0.85$ -Depths3 $4.50$ $1.50$ -Conditions X Incubation time6 $7.17$ $1.19$ $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Depths6 $1.47$ $0.25$ $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time9 $1.42$ $0.16$ $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths9 $1.42$ $0.16$ $1.04^{NS}$	(d) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Incubation time37.92.63Depths313.304.44-Conditions X Incubation time67.91.32 $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths62.400.4 $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths937.34.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.26 $1.07$ -(e) Source of variationdfSSMSFConditions21.350.68-Incubation time32.550.85-Depths34.501.50-Conditions X Incubation time67.171.19 $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths182.730.15-	Conditions	2	6.62	3 3	-
Depths313.304.44Conditions X Incubation time67.91.32 $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths62.400.4 $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths937.34.14 $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.26 $1.07$ -(e) Source of variationdfSSMSFConditions21.350.68-Incubation time32.550.85-Depths34.501.50-Conditions X Incubation time67.171.19 $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths182.73 $0.15$ -	Incubation time	3	7.9	2.63	-
Conditions X Incubation time67.9 $1.32$ $1.23^{NS}$ Conditions X Depths6 $2.40$ $0.4$ $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $19.26$ $1.07$ $-$ (e) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions2 $1.35$ $0.68$ $-$ Incubation time3 $2.55$ $0.85$ $-$ Depths3 $4.50$ $1.50$ $-$ Conditions X Incubation time6 $7.17$ $1.19$ $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Depths6 $1.47$ $0.25$ $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths9 $1.42$ $0.16$ $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $2.73$ $0.15$ $-$	Depths	3	13.30	4.44	-
Conditions X Depths62.400.4 $0.37^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $19.26$ $1.07$ -(e) Source of variationdfSSMSFConditions2 $1.35$ $0.68$ -Incubation time3 $2.55$ $0.85$ -Depths3 $4.50$ $1.50$ -Conditions X Incubation time6 $7.17$ $1.19$ $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Depths6 $1.47$ $0.25$ $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths9 $1.42$ $0.16$ $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $2.73$ $0.15$ -	Conditions X Incubation time	6	7.9	1.32	1.23 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths9 $37.3$ $4.14$ $3.87^{***}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $19.26$ $1.07$ -(e) Source of variationdfSSMSFConditions2 $1.35$ $0.68$ -Incubation time3 $2.55$ $0.85$ -Depths3 $4.50$ $1.50$ -Conditions X Incubation time6 $7.17$ $1.19$ $7.89^{****}$ Conditions X Depths6 $1.47$ $0.25$ $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths9 $1.42$ $0.16$ $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $2.73$ $0.15$ -	Conditions X Depths	6	2.40	0.4	0.37 ^{NS}
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths1819.261.07-(e) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions21.350.68-Incubation time32.550.85-Depths34.501.50-Conditions X Incubation time67.171.197.89*****Conditions X Depths61.470.251.62 ^{NS} Incubation time X Depths91.420.161.04 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time X Depths182.730.15-	Incubation time X Depths	9	37.3	4.14	3.87***
(e) Source of variation $df$ SSMSFConditions21.350.68-Incubation time32.550.85-Depths34.501.50-Conditions X Incubation time67.171.197.89****Conditions X Depths61.470.25 $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths91.420.16 $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths182.730.15-	Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	19.26	1.07	-
Incubation         Instruction         Instruction	(e) Source of variation	df	88	MS	F
Incubation time       3       2.55       0.85       -         Depths       3       4.50       1.50       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       7.17       1.19       7.89****         Conditions X Depths       6       1.47       0.25       1.62 ^{NS} Incubation time X Depths       9       1.42       0.16       1.04 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.73       0.15       -	Conditions	2	1 35	0.68	
Depths       3       4.50       1.50       -         Conditions X Incubation time       6       7.17       1.19       7.89****         Conditions X Depths       6       1.47       0.25       1.62 ^{NS} Incubation time X Depths       9       1.42       0.16       1.04 ^{NS} Conditions X Incubation time X Depths       18       2.73       0.15       -	Incubation time	3	2 55	0.85	_
Conditions X Incubation time67.171.197.89*****Conditions X Depths61.470.251.62^NSIncubation time X Depths91.420.161.04^NSConditions X Incubation time X Depths182.730.15-	Denths	3	4 50	1.50	_
Conditions X Depths61.47 $0.25$ $1.62^{NS}$ Incubation time X Depths9 $1.42$ $0.16$ $1.04^{NS}$ Conditions X Incubation time X Depths18 $2.73$ $0.15$ -	Conditions X Incubation time	6	7.17	1.19	7.89*****
Incubation time X Depths91.420.161.02Conditions X Incubation time X Depths182.730.15-	Conditions X Depths	6	1.47	0.25	1.62 ^{NS}
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths71.420.101.042.730.15-	Incubation time X Denths	õ	1 42	0.16	1.02
	Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	2.73	0.15	-

MANOVA for the temporal variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora coffeaeformis* (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll a (d) in the high tide incubated cores of case II at different incubation conditions

 $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.025; ***p \le 0.01; ****p \le 0.005; *****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(p = 0.05, p = 0.025, p = 0.01,	p = 0.005, p =	0.001,110 1101	Significant)	
(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	2	0.58	0.29	-
Incubation time	3	13.69	4.56	-
Depths	3	6.89	2.30	-
Conditions X Incubation time	6	0.51	0.08	2.23 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	6	0.18	0.03	0.82 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	14.75	1.64	43.49*****
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	0.68	0.04	-
(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	2	0.81	0.4	-
Incubation time	3	20.15	6.72	-
Depths	3	6.92	2.30	-
Conditions X Incubation time	6	0.99	0.17	0.73 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	6	1.21	0.2	$0.88^{NS}$
Incubation time X Depths	9	8.86	0.98	4.31****
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	4.11	0.23	-
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	2	0.43	0.22	-
Incubation time	3	30.45	10.15	-
Depths	3	11.85	3.95	-
Conditions X Incubation time	6	0.68	0.11	1.44 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	6	0.35	0.06	$0.75^{NS}$
Incubation time X Depths	9	19.73	2.19	28****
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	1.41	0.078	-
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	2	0.43	0.22	-
Incubation time	3	30.45	10.15	-
Depths	3	11.85	3.95	-
Conditions X Incubation time	6	0.68	0.11	1.44 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	6	0.35	0.06	$0.75^{NS}$
Incubation time X Depths	9	19.73	2.19	28****
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	1.41	0.078	-
(e) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Conditions	2	0.65	0.32	-
Incubation time	3	9.43	3.14	-
Depths	3	12.30	4.00	-
Conditions X Incubation time	6	1.4	0.23	1.4 ^{NS}
Conditions X Depths	6	0.67	0.11	$0.67^{NS}$
Incubation time X Depths	9	10.95	1.22	7.28****
Conditions X Incubation time X Depths	18	3	0.17	-

### **Chapter 2B**

Appendix 7 MANOVA for the temporal and depthwise variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora coffeaeformis* (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll *a* (d) of case II in 12 h light: 12 h dark incubation for low and high tide incubated cores

 $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.025; ***p \le 0.01; ****p \le 0.005; *****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.37	0.37	
Incubation time	3	3	1	
Depths	3	1.80	0.60	
Tides X Incubation time	3	2.9	0.97	3.8 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	0.99	0.33	1.3 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	2.25	0.25	0.98 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	2.29	0.25	
(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.017	0.017	-
Incubation time	3	2.4	0.8	-
Depths	3	6.07	2.02	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	1.66	0.55	1.68 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	0.27	0.092	0.28 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	4.18	0.46	1.4 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	2.97	0.33	-
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.26	0.26	-
Incubation time	3	2.58	0.86	-
Depths	3	5.31	1.77	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	6.19	2.06	3.43 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	1.16	0.39	0.64 ^{NS}
Incubation timeXDepths	9	4.48	0.5	0.83 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	5.42	0.6	-
(d) Source of variation	df	22	MS	F
Tides		1.62	1.62	<u> </u>
Incubation time	3	8 34	2 78	
Depths	3	23.58	7.86	
Tides X Incubation time	3	8.29	2.76	3.1 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	4.38	1.46	1.64 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	23.42	2.6	2.92 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	8	0.89	
(e) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.14	0.14	-
Incubation time	3	3.68	1.23	-
Depths	3	2.09	0.70	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	0.15	0.05	$0.2^{NS}$
Tides X Depths	3	1.05	0.35	1.37 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	3.92	0.44	$1.7^{NS}$
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	2.3	0.26	-

## Chapter 2B

Appendix 8 MANOVA for the temporal and depthwise variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora coffeaeformis* (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll *a* (d) of case II in total dark incubation for low and high tide incubated cores

 $(*n < 0.05 \cdot **n < 0.025 \cdot ***n < 0.01 \cdot ****n < 0.005 \cdot ****n < 0.001 \cdot NS - not significant)$ 

(p = 0.05, p = 0.025, p = 0.01, p = 0.005)	, p_v		ignineant)	
(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.09	0.09	
Incubation time	3	2.47	0.82	
Depths	3	2.00	0.67	
Tides X Incubation time	3	3.83	1.28	2.99 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	1.26	0.42	0.98 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	3.4	0.38	0.89 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	3.84	0.43	
(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	1.36	1.36	-
Incubation time	3	3.82	1.27	-
Depths	3	1.87	0.62	-
Tides X neubation time	3	6.17	2.05	7.3***
Tides X Depths	3	0.50	0.17	0.59 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	1.96	0.22	$0.78^{NS}$
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	2.53	0.28	-
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.64	0.64	-
Incubation time	3	4.35	1.45	-
Depths	3	3.58	1.19	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	7.26	2.42	6.99**
Tides X Depths	3	0.85	0.28	0.82 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	2.31	0.26	$0.74^{NS}$
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	3.12	0.35	-
(d) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.82	0.83	
Incubation time	3	2.57	0.86	
Depths	3	9.41	3.14	
Tides X Incubation time	3	17.00	5.7	3.88*
Tides X Depths	3	2.28	0.76	0.52 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	7.33	0.82	$0.56^{NS}$
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	13.15	1.46	
(e) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.006	0.006	_
Incubation time	3	4.07	1.36	-
Depths	3	8.66	2.89	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	9.08	3.02	6.36**
Tides X Depths	3	0.23	0.076	0.16 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	2.16	0.24	$0.5^{NS}$
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	4.28	0.47	-

### **Chapter 2B**

Appendix 9 MANOVA for the temporal and depthwise variation in total diatom abundance (a); *Amphora coffeaeformis* (b); *Navicula delicatula* (c); Chlorophyll *a* (d) of case II in total light incubation for low and high tide incubated cores

 $(*p \le 0.05; **p \le 0.025; ***p \le 0.01; ****p \le 0.005; *****p \le 0.001; NS - not significant)$ 

(a) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.04	0.04	
Incubation time	3	3.14	1.04	
Depths	3	3.98	1.33	
Tides X Incubation time	3	2.68	0.89	3.45 ^{NS}
Tides X Depths	3	0.81	0.27	1.05 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	3.33	0.37	1.43 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	2.33	0.26	
(b) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.23	0.23	-
Incubation time	3	4.03	1.34	-
Depths	3	3.57	1.19	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	4.92	1.64	9.81****
Tides X Depths	3	0.23	0.07	$0.45^{NS}$
Incubation time X Depths	9	3.36	0.37	2.23NS
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	1.5	0.17	-
(c) Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Tides	1	0.68	0.68	-
Incubation time	3	4.74	1.58	-
Depths	3	3.82	1.27	-
Tides X Incubation time	3	7.7	2.57	5.26**
Tides X Depths	3	1.07	0.36	$0.73^{NS}$
Incubation time X Depths	9	3.89	0.43	0.89 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	4.39	0.49	-
(d) Source of variation	dt	22	MS	F
Tides		1.58	1.58	1
Incubation time	3	7.58	2 52	
Depths	3	5 59	1.86	
Tides X Incubation time	3	12.20	4.05	9.17****
Tides X Depths	3	4.27	1.42	3.22 ^{NS}
Incubation time X Depths	9	8.08	0.89	2.03 ^{NS}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	3.97	0.44	
(a) Source of variation	14	55	MS	Б
(e) Source of variation	<i>uj</i>	0.22	0.22	Г
Indes	1	0.33	0.33	-
Denthe	3	1.91	1.04	-
Tidas V In substian time	3	5.58	0.52	- 2 40 ^{NS}
Tidas V Dontha	3	1.33	0.52	2.48
Trues A Depuis	3	1.55	0.52	2.48 ^{1.0}
Tides X Incubation time X Depths	9	5.42 1.87	0.38	-
	/	1.07	0.21	





Appendix 7. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the one day old, case I periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-d) and ES (e-h) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 8. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the two day old, case I periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-f) and ES (g–l) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 9. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the three day old, case I periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-f) and ES (g–l) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Day12

Appendix 10. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the four day old, case I periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-d) and ES (e-h) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 11. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the one day old, case II periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-d) and ES (e-h) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 12. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the two day old, case II periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-f) and ES (g–l) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 13. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the three day old, case II periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-f) and ES (g–l) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 14. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the four day old, case II periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-d) and ES (e-h) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 15. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the one day old, case III periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-d) and ES (e-h) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 16. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the two day old, case III periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-f) and ES (g–l) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 17. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the three day old, case III periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-f) and ES (g–l) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.



Appendix 18. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations for the four day old, case III periphytic diatom community incubated in AS (a-d) and ES (e-h) based on Bray-Curtis similarities. Increasing size of circles indicate increasing abundance of a species (Stress = 0). Hatched lines indicate groups of species.