# Growth of fouling diatoms from the Zuari estuary, Goa (West coast of India) under different salinities in the laboratory

P.D. REDEKAR<sup>1</sup> AND A.B. WAGH<sup>2</sup> MCMRD, National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa - 403 004, India

### ABSTRACT

Diatoms take part in the initial process of fouling i.e. primary film formation. In order to understand the growth of the diatoms under different salinity conditions in the laboratory, this work was undertaken. Fouling diatoms on glass slides were incubated under three different salinities i.e. 15‰, 25‰ and 35‰ Totally 21 species of diatoms were incubated, and the following 12 species were found to show better growth : *Amphora turgida*, *Biddulphia obtusa*, *Bacillaria paradoxa*, *Cocconeis littoris*, *Cymbella gastroides*, *Gramatophora marina*, *Licmophora gracilis*, *Navicula inflexa*, *N. oblonga*, *Nitzschia longissima*, *N. longissima var. closterium and N. sigma var. regidula*. A maximum growth rate of 6105 cells / day was recorded in *Navicula inflexa* in 15‰ salinity. The species such as *Navicula*, *Amphora* and *Biddulphia* grew well in all the above salinities while *Cymbella* and *Bacillaria* preferred 15‰. Generally the diatoms on the glass slide, which were not healthy, did not grow after incubation. The growth of the diatoms was also found to be satisfactory at higher salinities of 25‰ and 35‰. Interestingly, diatoms which were not incubated also developed on the glass slide and this would possibly be due to the spores of such diatoms.

## Introduction

Fouling is an integral process in any coastal ecosystem wherein, there is a colonisation of organisms on man made structures. Initially, there is a development of primary film followed by settlement and growth of macrofoulers. The diatoms take part in the initial process of primary film formation. Fouling is a complex process involving both biotic and abiotic factors. Of these parameters, salinity plays an important role

<sup>1</sup> College of Fisheries, Shirgaon, Ratnagiri - 415 629, Maharashtra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Flat No. D-9, Kanchanganga Housing Society, Behind Mahatma Society, Kothrud, Pune -411 029, Maharashtra

in a fluctuating environment like estuary. Studies on incubation of diatoms have not been reported in literature from Indian waters. An attempt was therefore made to study the growth response of fouling diatoms from Zuari estuary, Goa to varying salinities.

# Material and Methods

A study of fouling diatoms on glass slide panels was carried out for a. period of 14 months from August 1993 to September, 1994 at 1 m depth in Zuari estuary. For the present study the methods of Redekar and Wagh (1999) were followed. Three slides were removed on the 3rd day from the frame for subjecting to incubation study.

Three different experimental salinities viz. 15%, 25% and 35% were used to simulate estuarine salinity levels. Although, Subrahmanyan (1958) has suggested the optimum temperature for phytoplankton as 25°C for west coast of India, 20°C was observed to give better results in the present study. Keeping this in view, all the experiments were conducted at 20°C.

Three sets of 250 ml beakers were used for the experiment and 200 ml of the F/2medium (Guillard and Ryther, 1962) of respective salinity was added to each beaker. After enumeration of the total diatoms settled on the slides, irrespective of species or genus, the slides were placed in the respective beakers. These beakers were covered and placed in an incubator for 12:12 (light: dark) cycle. The source of light was three tubes of 20 watts each. After completion of 7 days experimental period, the slides were used for counting diatoms. The sediment portion of each beaker was taken for counting the diatoms. Growth rate in terms of average cell number / day was calculated by dividing the final cell number by initial number of cell and number of days.

# Results

In total 21 species of diatoms were incubated under three different salinities viz. 15 ‰, 25‰ and 35‰. They are: Achnanthes longipes, Amphora turgida, Biddulphia obtusa, Bacillaria paradoxa, Coscinosira polychorda, C. conscinnus, Cocconeis littoris, Cymbella gastroides, Fragillaria oceanica, Gramatophora marina, Licmophora gracilis, Navicula Melosira borreri. inflexa, oblonga, Nitzschia longissima,  $N_{\cdot}$ N. longissima var. closterum, N sigma var. regidula, Pleurosigma angulatum, Raphoneis amphiceros, Synedra ulna var. longissima and Thalassiothrix nitzscheoides. Out of these, only 12 species of diatoms could develop viz. Amphora turgida, *Biddulphia* obtusa, Bacillaria paradoxa. Cocconeis littoris. Cymbella gastroides, Gramatophora marina, Licmophora gracilis, Navicula inflexa, oblonga, longissima, N. Nitzschia N. longissima var. closterium, N sigma var. regidula were found to develop. The maximum growth rate (in terms of number of cells / dm<sup>2</sup>) was recorded in Navicula inflexa (6105 cells / dm<sup>2</sup>) in 15% salinity during September, 94. On the other hand, Navicula, Amphora, Biddulphia grew well in all the salinities (15%0, 25%0 and 35%0). Cymbella and Bacillaria however preferred lower salinity (15%). The growth of diatoms in general was found satisfactory at higher salinities i.e. 25‰ and 35‰. Generally, the diatoms on the glass slide which were not healthy, could not develop after incubation. An interesting observation is the appearance of forms which were not incubated. The spores of these diatoms might have been present on the glass slides which might have developed after incubation. The present results however can not be compared with others, since no information on similar work is available.

Month	Navicula inflexa				Navicula oblonga			Nitzschia longissima			Nitzschia longissima var. closterium			Nitzschia sigma var. regidula			Licmophora gracilis		
	15%02	25%0	35%0	15%	25‰	35‰	15‰	25%0	3 5 ‰	15‰	25‰	35%0	15‰	25‰	35‰	15%0	25%0	35%0	
Aug., 1993	1587	2785	2216												NG			NG	
Sept	361	446	404	-	NG	826	NG	NG	NG	-	-	-	NG	-	425	-	-	-	
Oct	26	37	35	-	NG	-	-	NG	-	NG	-	-	-	-	-	-	NG	NG	
Nov.	142	44	43	-	NG	548	NG	-	-	NG	-	NG	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dec.	NG	702	754	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	1374	1248	1393	NG	NG	199	-	NG	39	
Jan., 1994	569	618	888	NG	NG	-	NG	NG	NG	-	922	2590	1224	-	78	NG	-	NG	
Feb.	550	633	1078	NG	NG	-	NG	64	31	-	-	-	NG	-	94	NG	NG	NG	
Mar.	434	422	1269	-	-	NG	-	-	-	-	409	-	-	19	31	-	-	NG	
April.	341	788	3613	NG	72	114	-	-	NG	-	2265	-	NG	NG	2340	NG	-	-	
May	520	475	710								NG	216	NG	125	31	-	-	-	
June	70	103																	
July	1	1	1																
Aug.	4713	9022	4081																
Sept	6105	2651	1205											NG	-	105	74	54	
Month	Gramatophor th marina			a Cymbella gastroides			Cocconeis littoris			Bacillaria paradoxa			Biddulphia obtusa			Amphora turgida			
	15%02	25%0	35%0	15%	025‰	35‰	15‰	25‰	35%0	15‰	25%0	35‰	15%0	25%0	035%0	15%c	25%0	35‰	
Aug., 1993													142	134	223	-	-	-	

TT 1 1 1	$\alpha$ $\alpha$	C	c 1.	1. ,	1	1.00	1
Lable I	(Trowth	of 1	nilling	diatoms	under	different	salinities
1 4010 1.	0101111	$v_{j}$	oung	araroms	unaci	aijjereni	Sammes

Jan., 1994 - - NG NG 319 - NG NG - NG - NG - NG 946 8   Feb. 12 33 14 670 489 395 NG NG - NG - NG - NG - 117 385 385   Mar. NG NG 6 515 94 78 NG - NG - - - - 117 385 385   April. - - 64 - 655 NG NG - NG - NG - 24 37 37	Month		татта		gu	isiroitu	23		mons	•	P	ii uuon	л		oonusu	ı	l	urgiui	ı
Sept Oct - <th></th> <th>15%0</th> <th>025%0</th> <th>35%c</th> <th>0 1 5 ‰</th> <th>25‰</th> <th>35‰</th> <th>15‰</th> <th>25‰</th> <th>35%0</th> <th>15‰</th> <th>25%0</th> <th>35‰</th> <th>15%0</th> <th>25%c</th> <th>o 35%c</th> <th>15%0</th> <th>25%0</th> <th>35‰</th>		15%0	025%0	35%c	0 1 5 ‰	25‰	35‰	15‰	25‰	35%0	15‰	25%0	35‰	15%0	25%c	o 35%c	15%0	25%0	35‰
Oct -	Aug., 1993													142	134	223	-	-	-
Nov. - - 216 52 NG NG NG NG S <th< td=""><td>Sept</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Sept																		
Dec430366NGNG566645240Jan., 1994NGNGNGNG-NGNG-NG-NG-NG-NG9468Feb.123314670489395NGNGNG-NG1173854Mar.NGNG665159478NG-NG-NG297384April6665159478NGNG297384May64-655NGNG10737516374June64-655NGNGNG10715116June657NGNGNGNGNG54NGNG-NGNG-NGNG-NGNGNGNGNGNGNGNGNGNGNGNG <td>Oct</td> <td>-</td> <td>169</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Oct	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169	-	-
Jan., 1994 - - NG NG 319 - NG NG - NG - NG - NG - NG 946 8   Feb. 12 33 14 670 489 395 NG NG - NG - - - - 117 385 385   Mar. NG NG 6 515 94 78 NG - NG - NG - NG - 207 38 385   April. - - 64 - 655 NG NG - - - NG 207 38 38   May - - 64 - 655 NG NG - - - NG NG 54 37 38 39   June - - NG NG 587 NG NG NG NG 54 - - NG NG 54 - - - NG <	Nov.	-	-	-	216	52	NG	NG	NG	NG							24	34	-
Feb. 12 33 14 670 489 395 NG NG - NG - - - 117 385   Mar. NG NG 6 515 94 78 NG - NG NG - - NG - NG NG - - NG - NG NG 10	Dec.	-	-	-	-	430	366	NG	NG	5	-	-	666	-	-	-	452	40	23
Mar. NG NG 6 515 94 78 NG - 297 38 38   April. - - - 64 - 655 NG NG - - NG 24 37 38 32   May - - - NG NG - - NG NG 54 0 24 37 38 32   June - - NG NG 54 - - - NG NG 54 - - - NG NG 54 - - - NG NG 16 - - - - NG NG NG NG NG	Jan., 1994	-	-	-	NG	NG	319	-	NG	NG	70	-	NG	-	NG	-	NG	946	885
April. - - 64 - 655 NG NG 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 37 24 36 <	Feb.	12	33	14	670	489	395	NG	NG	NG	-	NG	-	-	-	-	117	385	54
May - - NG NG 587 NG 2   June - - - - NG NG 54 - - -   July - - - - NG NG 1 - - -   Aug. - - - - - 117 15 116 - -	Mar.	NG	NG	6	515	94	78	NG	-	NG	-	NG	-	-	NG	-	297	38	179
June NG NG 54 -   July NG NG 1 -   Aug. - - - 117 15 116 -	April.	-	-	-	64	-	655	NG	NG								24	37	144
July NG NG 1 Aug 117 15 116	May	-	-	-	NG	NG	587	NG	NG	NG							NG	NG	216
Aug 117 15 116	June													NG	NG	54	-	-	-
	July													NG	NG	1	-	-	-
Sept	Aug.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	117	15	116	-	-	-
	Sept	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	3	5	302	-	-	-

NG - No growth; - Diatoms absent on the slide incubated

#### Discussion

Regarding the response of fouling in the present study to incubation, it can be observed that growth of *Navicula* was maximum and consistent throughout the study period of 14 months followed by *Amphora* though for less period. Incidentally, *Navicula* was most dominant in fouling. Although *Nitzschia* was next in dominance to *Navicula* its response to incubation was comparatively much less.

Generally, the diatoms on the glass slide, which were not healthy, could not develop after incubation. Apparently, growth was satisfactory in higher salinities i.e. 25‰ and 35‰. An interesting observation is the appearance of forms which were not incubated and this could be due to the presence of the spores of such diatoms on the glass slides which might have developed after incubation.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Director, NIO, Goa for the facilities and the staff of the

MCMR, Division for their help. The author PDR is thankful to Dr. S.B. Kadrekar, Ex.Vice-Chancellor, Konkan Agricultural University, Dapoli and Dr. P.C. Raje, Associate Dean, College of Fisheries, Ratnagiri for deputing him to work at NIO, Goa. He is also grateful to Dr. Shukuntala Shenoy, Senior Scientific Officer and Dr. K.N. Sankolli, former Associate Dean, College of Fisheries, Ratnagiri for critically going through the manuscript.

### Literature cited

- Guillard, R. R. L. and J. H.Ryther 1962. Studies on marine planktonic diatoms. I. Cyclotella nana Hustedt. and Detonula confervacea (Cleve) Gran. Can. J. Microbiol., 8 : 229-239.
- Redekar, P. D. and A.B. Wagh 2000. Studies on fouling diatoms from the Zuari estuary (West coast of India) Goa. *Seaweed Res. Utiln.*, 22 (1 & 2): 113-199.
- Subrahmanyan, R. (1958). Ecological studies on marine phytoplankton on the west coast of India. *Mem. Indian Bot. Soc,* 1 : 145-151.