A tectonic model reconciling evidence for the collisions between India, Eurasia and intra-oceanic arcs of the central-eastern Tethys
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ABSTRACT
Despite several decades of investigations, inferences on the timing and nature of collisions along the Mesozoic-Cenozoic Eurasian margin remain controversial. We assimilate geological and geophysical evidence into a plate tectonic model for the India-Eurasia collision that includes continuously-closing topological plate polygons, constructed from a time-dependent network of evolving plate boundaries, with synthetic plates constructed for now-subducted ocean floor, including back-arc basins that formed on the southern Eurasian margin. Our model is regionally-constrained and self-consistent, incorporating geophysical data from abyssal plains offshore West Australia and East Antarctica, including Jurassic age data from offshore Northwest Australia, limiting much of northern Greater India to a ~1000 km-long indenter, originally reaching to the Wallaby-Zenith Fracture Zone. Southern Eurasia and Southeast Asia are riddled with dismembered oceanic arcs indicating long-lived Tethyan intra-oceanic subduction. This intra-oceanic subduction system was well-established from Cretaceous time in the India-Eurasia convergence zone in the NeoTethys, which was consumed during Greater India’s northward trajectory towards Eurasia from the Early Cretaceous. Fragments of obducted oceanic crust within the Yarlung-Tsangpo Suture Zone, between India and Eurasia, predominantly date to the Late Jurassic or mid Cretaceous (Barremian-Aptian). The various ophiolites along strike and a hiatus in subduction-related magmatism during the
Tithonian-Aptian suggest that there was at least one generation of intra-oceanic arc formation, whose plate boundary configuration remains uncertain. Paleomagnetic and magmatic studies suggest that the intra-oceanic arc was at equatorial latitudes during the Early Cretaceous before subduction resumed further north beneath the Eurasian margin (Lhasa terrane), with another hiatus in subduction-related magmatism along southern Lhasa during ~80-65 Ma, possibly as the back-arc spreading centre approached the active Andean-style margin. In our model, Greater India collided with the Tethyan intra-oceanic arc in Paleocene-Eocene time, finally closing the Tethyan seaway from Mid-Late Eocene time, which is consistent with the age of the youngest marine deposits found between India and Eurasia. Geological evidence from the collision zone indicate an age of initial arc-continent collision by ~52 Ma, followed by the “soft” (initial) continent-continent collision between India and Eurasia by ~44 ± 2 Ma. This timing is supported by marine geophysical data, where the spreading centres in the Indian Ocean record a drastic decline in seafloor spreading rates and changes in spreading directions first at ~52 Ma, followed by another reorganization at ~43 Ma. The abandonment of spreading in the Wharton Basin and the onset of extrusion tectonics in Asia by ~36 Ma is likely an indicator of “hard” (complete) continental collision, and highlights the multi-stage collisional history of this margin. Our continuously evolving network of mid-ocean ridge and subduction zone geometries, and divergence/convergence vectors through time, provide a basis for future refinements to assimilate new data and/or test alternative tectonic scenarios.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau of Southern Eurasia represent the most studied orogenic belt on earth. Much of the continental material that accreted to Southern Eurasia rifted from the northern margin of East Gondwana, composed of Greater India, Australia, Antarctica, Madagascar, and other micro-continental fragments, such as Argoland, the Seychelles and Sri Lanka. The ensuing drift and dispersal of East Gondwana, initiating from the Late Jurassic, created the Indian Ocean (Fig. 1), and its evolution resulted in successive closure of the preceding Tethyan ocean basins (e.g. Audley-Charles et al., 1988; Metcalfe, 2006; Seton et al., 2012; Veevers et al., 1991). Yet, the exact timing of collisions along the Mesozoic-Cenozoic Eurasian margin, stretching from the Mediterranean to Southeast Asia, as well as the terranes involved, remain controversial. This is despite decades of mapping and data collection from this complex and long-lived convergence zone that is an archetypal Wilson cycle of ocean basin opening and closure.
The accretion of India to Eurasia culminated in the consumption of the Tethyan oceanic basin, raising the Tibetan Plateau (e.g. Hetzel et al., 2011, Rohrmann et al., 2012), forming the vast Alpine-Himalayan orogenic belt that has shaped the southern Eurasian margin following India's indentation into Tibet (Molnar and Tapponier, 1975), eventually leading to extrusion tectonics in SE Asia (Replumaz et al., 2004). Such a drastic change in topography has also fundamentally influenced regional and global climate, for instance in bolstering the South Asian monsoon by the blocking of a subtropical jet stream, or to cooling and the formation of ice sheets in both hemispheres, and increased chemical weathering causing reduction in atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Molnar et al., 2010; Raymo and Ruddiman, 1992). Changes in oceanic circulation contributing to Eocene/Oligocene events have also been discussed, particularly the end of circumterrestrial low-latitude circulation, which existed from Pangea breakup (Ricou et al., 1986). However, the nature and chronology of this terminal collision remain debated. The terminal continent-continent collision window is typically constrained to a range between ~65 and 55 Ma (e.g. Garzanti, 2008; Garzanti et al., 1987; Rowley, 1998; Wan et al., 2002), while other studies have proposed a “soft” initial collision between Greater India and a Tethyan intra-oceanic arc that preceded the final “hard” continent-continent collision at ~34 Ma (e.g. Aitchison et al., 2007; Aitchison et al., 2000; Aitchison et al., 2002b; Davis et al., 2002; Sharma, 1987; Soler-Gijón and López-Martínez, 1998).

Although geological observations indicate a number of major changes in the convergence characteristics between ~65 and 35 Ma, identifying one or more discrete collisions becomes problematic due to the uncertainties in the locations and geometries of the pre-collision margins and the diachronous nature of the collisions along the active southern Eurasian margin. Uncertainty around the timings and geometry of accretionary episodes along the Eurasian margin is compounded by competing alternative models proposed for the breakup of East Gondwana, which are built from syntheses of geophysical and geological data but often without regional kinematic constraints. As a result, the proposed extent of Greater India varies by up to several thousand kilometres, which is hard to constrain since its leading edge is now underthrust and crumpled in the suture zone (e.g. Ali and Aitchison, 2005; Replumaz and Tapponnier, 2003; van Hinsbergen et al., 2011a). Controversy also surrounds the extent, location and ultimate fate of Argoland, a continental terrane that rifted from East Gondwana in the Late Jurassic, leaving the Argo Abyssal Plain offshore NW Australia (e.g. Fullerton et al., 1989; Gibbons et al., 2012b; Heine and Müller, 2005b). The size and geometry of Greater India and Argoland can be better constrained using geological and geophysical data from the eastern Indian Ocean (Fig. 1), where relatively undeformed oceanic crust, punctuated by fracture
zones, volcanic edifices and submerged plateaus, was recently incorporated into a regional, self-
consistent plate kinematic model (Gibbons et al., 2012b; Gibbons et al., 2013).

Plate kinematic models based on marine geophysical data from the Indian Ocean alone cannot be
used to infer the timing and geometry of collisions along the Eurasian margin. Unravelling the
chronology of these events requires knowledge of whether, or when and where, backarc basins in the
Tethyan Ocean opened and were destroyed, as well as the nature of the colliding terranes, for
instance distinguishing between continent-continent and arc-continent collisions. Previous studies
have used seismic tomography models of the mantle to infer the location of Tethyan oceanic slabs
and compared them to regional plate kinematic models. An early study interpreted positive P-wave
seismic velocity anomalies in the upper mantle as delaminated sub-continental lithosphere, still
attached to India, while deeper (>1000 km) anomalies beneath the Indian sub-continent were
identified as sunken Tethyan oceanic basin remnants, while more southerly deep-mantle anomalies
were interpreted as remnants of intra-oceanic subduction that potentially occurred coevally with
Andean-type subduction along the Eurasian margin in the Late Cretaceous (Van der Voo et al., 1999).
More recent tectonic reconstructions, also derived from seismic tomographic methods, support the
presence of a large backarc basin extending southwards, approximately to the equator between India
and Eurasia (Hafkenscheid et al., 2006), while recent geodynamic modelling also supports Tethyan
intra-oceanic subduction (Zahirovic et al., 2012).

The aim of this paper is to review and synthesise the collisional history along the southern Eurasian
margin, including the timing and nature of magmatism, suturing, crustal deformation, onset of
extrusion tectonics, and incorporate this information into a self-consistent global plate model with
continuously-closing topological plate polygons and plate velocities through time. We incorporate
recent reinterpretations of magnetic anomalies offshore Western Australia (Gibbons et al., 2012) and
East Antarctica (Gibbons et al., 2013), that revise the earliest spreading history of the Indian Ocean
(Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous). To revise the spreading history of the preceding Tethys Ocean,
we adapt intra-oceanic subduction from regional plate models for Eurasia and Southeast Asia
(Zahirovic et al., 2012; Zahirovic et al., 2014), with refinements that account for magmatic gaps and
ridge subduction episodes on the overriding plate (namely the geological record of the Lhasa terrane,
see Table 1), and paleomagnetic constraints for the terranes, where available (see Table 2). The
model was constructed interactively using the open-source and cross-platform GPlates software
(Boyden et al., 2011).
2. EURASIAN AND SOUTHEAST ASIAN GEOLOGY

Many authors describe the growth of the Southeast Asian and Eurasian regions through accretionary episodes in great detail (e.g. Allegre et al., 1984; Chang et al., 1986; Dewey et al., 1988; Metcalfe, 2011b; Searle et al., 1987; Yin and Harrison, 2000). Generally, the suture zones presently in Eurasia, demarcating Tethyan terranes (Fig. 2), are nearly east-west trending. The terranes north of the Himalaya can be divided into east and west partitions by two main faults running southeast and southwest of the Tarim Basin (Fig. 2). The Tarim Basin is underlain by the largely undeformed Tarim craton, where deformation has been focused on its boundaries, resulting in large-scale faulting and orogenesis. The sinistral Altyn Tagh Fault (ATF) forms the boundary between the Tarim Basin and the eastern terranes (in Tibet and China), which from north to south include, Kunlun-Quaidam (Kunlun), Songpan-Ganzi-Hoh Xil (Songpan), Qiangtang, Lhasa, Himalaya (deformed India with Tethyan remnants) and India. These terranes are respectively divided from north to south by, the Anyimaqen-Kunlun-Muztagh Suture Zone (AKMSZ), Jinsha Suture Zone (JSZ), Bangong-Nujiang Suture Zone (BNSZ), Yarlung-Tsangpo Suture Zone (YTSZ), and the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT). Further west, the ATF terminates along the dextral Karakoram Fault (KF), which isolates terranes west of Tibet, including from north to south: Pamir, Rushan Pshart, Karakoram, Kohistan-Ladakh, Himalaya and India. These terranes are respectively divided from north to south by the Tanymas Suture Zone (TSZ), Rushan Pshart Suture Zone (RPSZ), Karakoram-Kohistan-Shyok Suture Zone (KKSSZ, also referred to as the Northern Suture Zone, north of Kohistan), Indus Suture Zone (ISZ), and the southern thrust systems, including the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT). A study of detrital zircons (Gehrels et al., 2011) suggests that the terranes south of the Jinsha Suture Zone (JSZ, Fig. 2), at least southern Qiangtang, Lhasa and Himalaya (formerly Greater India), were derived from the Gondwanan margin, possibly rifting as part of the Cimmerian continent (e.g. Sengor, 1987). Recent radiometric dating and geochemical analysis of the Panjal Traps of Kashmir help constrain the rifting of Cimmeria from Gondwana to the Early Permian (Shellnutt et al., 2011, 2014a). For continuity, existing knowledge about the terranes and suture zones will be outlined from north to south, west of the Karakoram Fault, then from south to north, east of the Karakoram Fault, and summarised in Tables 1 and 2.

2.1 Karakoram terrane

The northern margin of the Karakoram terrane (Figs. 2, 3 and 4) records Late Devonian-Early Carboniferous rifting, which formed before it migrated north as part of the Cimmerian continent (Heuberger, 2004; Heuberger et al., 2007) in the Early Permian (Shellnutt et al., 2011, 2014a). From south to north, the terrane can be divided into a metamorphic complex, batholith, and sediments
consisting of Carboniferous shales, Permian-Mesozoic carbonates, conglomerates and tuffs, with mid-late Cretaceous gneissic intrusions (Rex et al., 1988). The batholith, emplaced in stages during mid Cretaceous, lower Paleogene (with a large Paleocene tectonometamorphic event), and Upper Miocene (Debon et al., 1987), is a subduction-related igneous crustal body of mainly massive or weakly foliated biotite-granodiorite (Pudsey, 1986). Intrusive, continental arc rocks dated at ~130-104 Ma, and arc magmatism, dated at ~112-39 Ma, identified further south in Kohistan, can be isotopically (Hf) traced to the Karakoram terrane (Heuberger et al., 2007). Other calc-alkaline granites and granodiorites aged ~120-96 Ma suggest that subduction along the southern Karakoram margin had initiated by at least ~120 Ma, before causing continental arc magmatism (Fraser et al., 2001; Searle et al., 1990a; Thanh et al., 2010). The Karakorum Metamorphic Complex is composed of metasedimentary sequences, felsic gneisses and migmatites, and mélangé that includes ultramafic material from the KKSSZ (Rex et al., 1988). Crustal thickening from ~63 Ma formed sillimanite and kyanite-grade metamorphic rocks (Searle, 2011; Searle et al., 1999), cross-cut by leucogranite dykes dated at ~50-52 and 35 Ma (Fraser et al., 2001; Searle, 2011), and plutons dated ~36-34 Ma (Rex et al., 1988). Heuberger (2004) notes that the regional metamorphism in eastern Karakoram roughly coincided with ferriferous-alkaline intrusions and ~83-71 Ma carbonates, suggesting a shallow marine basin was present.

2.2 Kohistan-Karakoram-Shyok Suture Zone

The Kohistan-Karakoram-Shyok Suture Zone (KKSSZ, Figs. 2 and 4), also known as the Northern Suture Zone, north of Kohistan, divides Karakoram from the Kohistan (west) and Ladakh (east) terranes (Shyok Suture Zone separates Ladakh and Karakoram terranes). Southward-dipping thrusts and strike-slip faulting in the KKSSZ suggests that Kohistan has overridden the Karakoram terrane in some locations (Coward et al. 1986, 1987; Heuberger 2004). The KKSSZ was interpreted to represent the tectonised remnants of a marginal backarc basin (Thakur and Misra, 1984). From north to south, the main tectonostratigraphic units of the KKSSZ include the Shyok and Nubra ophiolitic mélangé, the Shyok and Khardung volcanics (Thakur, 1990) and the overlying Saltoro flysch, which contains upper Cretaceous to Eocene fossils (Bhutani et al., 2009). Strike-slip motion along the Karakoram Fault transported the Shyok Volcanics southward and adjacent to the rhyolitic Khardung Volcanics, which overlie the northern margin of the Ladakh Batholith and are younger, dated at ~53 and 56 Ma (Bhutani et al., 2009). This previous study (Bhutani et al., 2009) argues that a Paleocene age for the Khardung Volcanics (Dunlap and Wysoczanski, 2002) was likely derived from zircons that were inherited from continental crust or a mature-arc, thus preferring the Eocene age of at least
The Shyok volcanics were dated at ~124 Ma (Coulon et al., 1986, Dunlap and Wysoczanski, 2002).

Between the Ladakh and Karakoram terranes, the KKSSZ has been divided into a western group of basaltic olistoliths with backarc mineral chemistry and more southerly andesites with island-arc tholeiite to calc-alkaline affinities, and an eastern group of basaltic-andesitic lavas, interlayered with Albian-Cenomanian siliciclastic Ladakh Arc-derived sediments (Rolland et al., 2000). This variation was explained potentially as the west to east evolution from backarc to continental arc, possibly developing after subduction of a NeoTethyan spreading centre, following India’s rapid northward motion in the mid Cretaceous (Rolland et al., 2002). A weak zone of transitional crust between oceanic and continental lithosphere may have initiated the Karakoram Fault, responsible for juxtaposing the Khardung and Shyok volcanics (Bhutani et al., 2009).

Alternatively, the eastern KKSSZ (Shyok Suture Zone) may have had no continental arc component and instead be related to a mid-Cretaceous equatorial intra-oceanic arc, also recorded in the YTSZ of southern Tibet (Abrajevitch et al., 2005). Robertson and Collins (2002) interpret the KKSSZ rocks northeast of the Ladakh Batholith as a subduction-accretion complex of distal marginal origin. They assign the southern succession to a wider intra-oceanic basin, separating the mature arc-like rocks from Ladakh-Kohistan via the tectonic mélange, which they suggest allows correlation with a similar sequence in southern Tibet (Aitchison et al., 2000), including an Andean-type arc (southern Lhasa terrane), accreted oceanic arc (Zedong, Fig. 3) and forearc (Dazhuqu, ~150 km east of Xigaze, Fig. 3), described further in Section 2.7. The four main lithological units Robertson and Collins (2002) identify include the lower and southern-most (Askore) amphibolites, which overlie a non-terrigenous, volcano-sedimentary formation derived from the Ladakh Arc (Burje-La). The amphibolites are overlain by a volcaniclastic apron related to Ladakh backarc rifting (the Cretaceous Pakora Formation, with no terrigenous input), which may be correlated with the Yasin sediments in northern Kohistan (see Section 2.3). The volcaniclastic apron is overlain by the laterally-discontinuous Shyok tectonic mélange or by the terrigenous Ordovician-Triassic Bauma-Harel group, which borders the Karakoram margin and records its rifting from Gondwana. The Shyok Formation (Fig. 4) of deformed metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks are in contact with the southeast margin of the Karakoram Batholith but cannot be traced east of the Karakoram Fault into Tibet (Bhutani et al., 2009). Activation of the Karakoram Fault from as early as 25 Ma (Leloup et al., 2011), and granodiorite intrusions from the Ladakh Batholith, have disturbed the age spectra of the Shyok Volcanics, but these may be Albian-Santonian in age (Coulon et al., 1986), if correlated with the
Yasin Group of northern Kohistan (Pudsey, 1986) or older, as they seem continuous with ~124 Ma metasediments (Dunlap and Wysoczanski, 2002).

Further west, Robertson and Collins (2002) interpret the mixed volcanogenic and terrigenous succession between Kohistan and Karakoram as syn-deformational infill of a remnant backarc basin/foreland basin that formed prior to suturing between Kohistan and Karakoram terranes. The mélangé includes a mainly Kohistan Arc-derived olistostrome with depositional rather than tectonic contacts, suggesting that the suture zone formed during closure of a backarc basin in the mid to Late Cretaceous, given ~111-62 Ma intrusions within Albian-Aptian limestones in the mélangé (Pudsey, 1986). Burg (2011b) instead suggests that under-thrusting (parts of Karakoram beneath Kohistan-Ladakh) finally closed the backarc system (at least between the Kohistan-Ladakh and Karakoram terranes) in the middle Eocene, coinciding with dacite and granodiorite intrusions in northern Ladakh (Brookfield and Reynolds, 1981). The Cretaceous-Eocene Shyok mélange (Rai, 1982), southwest of the Karakoram Batholith, was intruded by the Tirit granite (Fig. 4) at ~68 Ma, which has Andean-type characteristics (Rao and Rai, 2009) and a similar age and modal composition to the Ladakh Batholith (Weinberg et al., 2000). Two Eocene-aged (47 and 41 Ma), post-collisional granites in northern Kohistan, with isotopic links to ancient Asian crust, may link the KKSSZ to the YTSZ, the latter was dated at 51 Ma (Khan et al., 2009b).

2.3 Kohistan-Ladakh Arc

Further south, Ladakh and Kohistan terranes (Fig. 4) are laterally equivalent, and typically correlated with each other, although they are not geologically identical and therefore may have undergone separate tectonic processes (e.g. Burg, 2011b; Pudsey, 1986; Robertson and Collins, 2002). The terranes are divided by tectonic thinning about the Nanga Parbat (Western Himalayan Syntaxis), a large-scale, antiformal structure where regional cooling occurred at 25 ± 5 Ma (Treloar et al., 2000) and present-day uplift rates can exceed ~6 mm/yr (Treloar et al., 1991). The Ladakh and Kohistan terranes have been interpreted as the remains of a single intra-oceanic arc, the Kohistan-Ladakh Arc (KLA) (e.g. Bard, 1983; Coward et al., 1987; Khan et al., 1996; Petterson, 2010), thought to have initiated in the Early Cretaceous over a Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous oceanic substratum (Honegger et al., 1982; Reuber, 1989). The KLA may have stretched along the Tethys but only ophiolites outcrop east of the Karakoram Fault, extensively in the YTSZ and BNSZ (Sections 2.7 and 2.9).

The Kohistan portion of the KLA can be divided into six mainly Cretaceous units (Fig. 4) including (north to south), the Yasin Sediments, Chalt Volcanics, Kohistan Batholith, Chilas Ultramafic
Complex, Kamila Amphibolite Belt, and Jijal Ultramafic Complex (Petterson and Windley, 1985, 1992; Pudsey, 1986). In northern Kohistan, the Albian-Aptian Yasin slates, turbidites and limestones formed in intra-arc basins. The Yasin Sediments and the Chalt Volcanic Group of pillow-bearing island arc tholeiitic lavas, calc-alkaline andesites and rhyolites, were intruded by the Kohistan Batholith, which has been divided into an early, deformed group and younger undeformed group. The older Kohistan Batholith group contains the ~154 Ma Matum Das tonalite (Schaltegger et al., 2003), and a ~102 Ma trondhjemite pluton (Petterson and Windley, 1985). Burg (2011b) describes the ~154 Ma old Matum Das tonalite as a potential proto-arc that may document changes in plate motion between Gondwana and Eurasia. At ~134 Ma, a dike swarm of backarc affinity intruded the north-central area of the batholith, the NW-SE orientation of the dike intrusions could indicate the direction of early Cretaceous spreading (Khan et al., 2007).

The Chilas Gabbronorite Complex (Fig. 4) intruded the southern part of the Kohistan Batholith, northern sediments and more southern (Kamila) amphibolites, at ~85 Ma (Dhuime et al., 2009; Schaltegger et al., 2002). The Chilas Complex was initially thought to represent the magma chamber of the arc (Rai and Pande, 1978), but has since been attributed to intra-arc rifting (Burg et al., 1998; Khan et al., 1996). Further south, the Kamila Amphibolite Belt (Fig. 4) is mainly composed of amphibolites and metasediments that underwent ductile deformation between ~107-81 Ma (Yamamoto et al., 2005), and then cooling in the early Campanian (Treloar et al., 1989). The eastern portion thrusts directly onto the Tethyan Himalaya and may represent a southerly, metamorphosed equivalent of the Kohistan Arc, or an earlier accreted arc (Searle et al., 1987). Treloar et al. (1996) ascribe the belt as the oldest unit of the arc, representing a Lower Cretaceous or older subduction basement that was intruded by arc-type gabbroic sheets and plutons.

The Jijal Complex (Fig. 4), the deepest and most southern outcrop of the Kohistan terrane, is a garnet-granulite body of ~150 km² extent, that occurs in the hanging wall of the ISZ (Jan and Howie, 1981), and formed between ~118 and 83 ± 12 Ma (Yamamoto and Nakamura, 2000). A garnet-free ultramafic body of ~40 km² area was thought to have intruded the Jijal Complex and was variously explained as a faulted slab of upper mantle/sub-oceanic crust, an orogenic diapir, or ultramafic rocks isolated from basaltic magma (Jan and Howie, 1981). The contact between the garnet-free ultramafic body and the Jijal Complex has been described as the sub-arc petrological Moho (Burg et al., 1998) or in-situ dehydration melting of plutonic rocks buried at depths exceeding 25-30 km at 900°C (Garrido et al., 2006). High Mg, low REE basal dunites, wehrlites and clinopyroxenites of the Jijal mafic–ultramafic basal section may have been created through sampling of a metasomatised mantle wedge in the initial stages of subduction before boninitic magmas left behind a lherzolite lens.
(Dhuime et al., 2007). Boninites often form in association with tholeiitic arcs and are thought to originate from a depleted/refractory, metasomatised peridotite melt, such as the residue from an earlier arc, with elevated geothermal gradient and supply of hydrous fluid (e.g. Hickey and Frey, 1982) either during plume slab-window interaction (Falloon et al., 2008), or in the early stages of subduction sampling an undisrupted mantle wedge (Dobson et al., 2006). Such settings include forearcs and primitive island arcs, either with low-angle interaction between the spreading centre and volcanic arc, or a high-angle interaction between spreading centre and young subduction zone or transform fault (Deschamps and Lallemand, 2003). Underplating and thermo-mechanical erosion may also have metamorphosed the base of the Kohistan Arc (Jijal) to granulite facies at ~105-91 Ma, possibly before intra-oceanic subduction ended, leaving a ‘cold blanket’ at ~85 Ma, coinciding with a magmatic pulse that formed the Chilas Complex (Dhuime et al., 2009).

Further east, the Sapat mafic-ultramafic layered complex (Fig. 4) was initially identified as the base of the Kohistan Arc due to its mineral chemistry (Jan et al., 1993; Searle et al., 1999). Khan et al. (2004) suggested it may have formed in a supra subduction zone setting of forearc affinity, after analysis of chrome spinel grains from its peridotite-bearing dunite. Field relations, micro-textures and geochemistry also indicate that the Sapat rocks may have formed following reactions between primitive arc-melt and metasomatised, depleted meta-harzburgites/refractory mantle (Bouilhol et al., 2009). Geochemical tracers and Ar-Ar zircon dating suggest this may have been due to slab retreat between 105-99 Ma, which caused the splitting of the Kohistan Arc at ~85 Ma and intrusion of the Chilas Complex (Bouilhol et al., 2011).

Evolution of the Kohistan portion of the KLA is still debated. A recent tectonic summary (Petterson, 2010) suggests that the bulk of the island arc formed during ~134-95 Ma, with sub-crustal mantle accretion from ~117 Ma, and crustal thickening that led to wide-spread granulite-facies metamorphism by ~95 Ma, before a collision (previously suggested with Eurasia) caused intense deformation at ~90 Ma. The Kohistan Arc was then extended and intruded by ultramafic diapirs at ~85 Ma (Chilas Complex, discussed later in this Section, Fig. 4), then by Andean-type gabbros, granites and diorites (Petterson, 2010). Accretion of Kohistan to Asia may have occurred from as early as ~100 and 75 Ma, based on relatively undeformed dykes intruding through the folded Kohistan Batholith at ~75 Ma (Petterson and Windley, 1992). The younger group (75 Ma undeformed dykes) are cross-cut by granodiorites dated ~54 Ma, and granites dated ~40 Ma (Petterson, 1985, unpublished Ph.D. Thesis). However, recent field mapping has identified a ~50 Ma old metagabbro intrusion with an intense fabric similar to that of a ‘stage 1’ (inferred as pre-collisional) pluton (Jagoutz et al., 2009). The I-type granitiods, intruding Kohistan Arc during 75-42
± 4.5 Ma, have been attributed to mantle-derived melts that evolved through amphibole fractionation with intra crustal assimilation (Jagoutz et al., 2009). Aplite-pegmatite sheets, derived from young oceanic crust and/or mantle, intruded the Kohistan Batholith 34 ± 14 Myrs ago, likely the time that oceanic subduction and calc-alkaline magmatism ended (Petterson and Windley, 1985).

Another recent review of the Kohistan portion of the KLA (Burg, 2011b) suggests an alternative model, with two parallel north-dipping subduction zones operating between ~130-90 Ma, north and south of the Kohistan Arc. This may extend to Ladakh Arc (discussed later in this Section), following evidence of supra-subduction rocks on the southern Karakoram margin, suggesting that subduction beneath the Karakoram terrane must have initiated by ~104 Ma (Thadh et al., 2012). Closure of the KKSSZ need not have occurred prior to 75 Ma, due to evidence of continued tectonic and (Karakoram-derived) magmatic activity well into Eocene time (e.g. Heuberger et al., 2007). Simultaneous north-dipping subduction along the Kohistan Arc and Eurasian continental margin is suggested to have continued until arc-normal rifting and extension formed the Chilas Complex at ~85 Ma (Burg et al., 1998; Burg et al., 2006; Jagoutz et al., 2006), and tilted and exhumed the Kamila Amphibolites at ~80 Ma (Treloar et al., 1989). This ended magmatic activity and restricted marine basins to form where intra-arc crustal thickness was reduced from ~50 to 25 km (Burg, 2011b). Burg (2011b) suggests that the (Kohistan) intra-arc rifting, attributed to trench rollback (Burg et al., 2006; Treloar et al., 1996), may have removed the need for subduction along the southern Karakoram margin (post-96 Ma) as India’s faster northward motion i.e., faster subduction of the Tethys, then formed most of the Kohistan Batholith. Continued closure between India and Eurasia resulted in locking of the intervening Kohistan Arc (or KLA) during the Eocene-Miocene (Brookfield and Reynolds, 1981; Reynolds et al., 1983), as convergent thrusting created unconformable Eocene lavas, 30 Ma old plutons, and mainly north-vergent/south-dipping structures in the KKSSZ (Burg, 2011b).

Further east, the Ladakh terrane (Figs. 2, 3 and 4) can be divided into three main belts, which from north to south include the Khardung Volcanics, Ladakh Batholith and Dras Arc (Fig. 4) - the latter is considered analogous to the Kohistan Arc (Reuber, 1989). The acidic, Early Eocene Khardung volcanics (Bhutani et al., 2009; Thakur and Misra, 1984; Virdi, 1987), resting unconformably on the northern margin of the Ladakh Batholith, are LREE enriched and Nd depleted, which suggests that they contain more continental crust contamination (45%) than the Dras volcanics (20%), south of the Ladakh Batholith (Clift et al., 2002b). Zircon analysis from the batholith indicate ages as old as ~103 Ma (Honegger et al., 1982) with other granitic intrusions dated at ~58 Ma (Singh et al., 2007) and 47 Ma (St-Onge et al., 2010). Isotopic evidence and the lack of inherited zircons suggest that the
Ladakh Batholith is mantle-derived and experienced its last major magmatic pulse at ~50 Ma (Weinberg and Dunlap, 2000). Early Eocene zircon ages suggest that magmatism continued until at least 47 Ma, with a compositional shift to adakitic rocks, derived from the lower crust, at 49.2 ±1.2 Ma, and convergence slowdown linked to an increase in magmatism (White et al., 2011; Shellnutt et al., 2014b). Apatite fission-track data indicate rapid cooling took place from 49-44 Ma (Clift et al., 2002a), which may have been related to the end of intra-oceanic subduction along the KLA.

The Dras Formation (of the Dras Arc) is composed of tholeiites, alternating with calc-alkaline dacites (Honegger et al., 1982), in three north-verging, stacked structural nappes (Fig. 4) that, from east to west, include the Nindam volcanoclastic turbidites, Naktul volcanoclastics, and Suru volcanics (Dras 1 and 2), which reach lower greenschist facies as the basal unit (Clift et al., 2000; Reuber, 1989; Robertson and Degnan, 1994). The orbitoline-dated, Albo-Cenomanian Dras 1 volcaniclastics (Fuchs, 1982) were intruded by gabbro, diorite and granite, then deformed and unconformably overlain by the poorly-dated, mainly acidic Dras 2 tuffites and southerly volcanic breccia (Reuber, 1989). The Dras 1 sub-unit is thought to represent the Chalt basalts or Jutal dikes of the Yasin Group, northern Kohistan Arc (Clift et al., 2000), or the upper crustal equivalent of lower crustal gabbros and mantle peridotites of the Kohistan Arc (Clift et al., 2002b).

Further east, serpentinised harzburgite, wehrlite, pyroxenite and dunite ultramafics outcrop within the Dras Arc and at the base of the Naktul nappe, further north, but with no metamorphic contacts, they may constitute the oceanic substratum (Reuber, 1989). The folded and imbricated volcaniclastics and reworked carbonates of the Upper Cretaceous Naktul unit (Reuber, 1989) are interpreted as the proximal forearc apron (Robertson and Degnan, 1994). Still further east, deep-water volcaniclastic turbidites, tuffaceous sediments and pelagic carbonates of the Valanginian-Cenomanian Nindam Formation are interpreted as the forearc basin (distal succession) with tectono-magmatic (Robertson and Degnan, 1994) or glacio-eustatic processes causing large-scale depositional variation. The Nindam Formation may be the extrusive reworked equivalent of the Kohistan Chalt basalts (Clift et al., 2000), if the Dras Arc correlates accordingly. While the Nindam Formation underwent no deformation until Eocene time (Robertson and Degnan, 1994), the Dras 1 unit (Fuchs, 1982) was deformed at ~79 Ma, a similar timing to the Chilas intrusion. Robertson and Collins (2002) suggest that the KLA formed in near-equatorial latitudes, far from Eurasian terrigenous material, which is not present in the Suru, Naktul and Nindam formations (Robertson and Degnan, 1994), as is also the case in the Chilas-Jijal units of Kohistan (Burg, 2011b; Petterson, 2010).
2.4 Indus Suture Zone

The Indus Suture Zone (ISZ, Figs. 2, 3 and 4) separates the KLA from the Tethyan Himalaya, further south. Robertson (2000) describes the suture zone as a composite of relatively coherent passive Indian margin thrust sheet successions (e.g. Lamayuru, Fig. 4), mid Cretaceous oceanic arc volcanics and sediments (KLA-derived), with serpentinite mélange in the north and south. In the hanging wall of the ISZ southwest of Kohistan, the Shangla Blueschists (Fig. 4) could represent thrust slices of metamorphosed volcanic or deep-sea sedimentary rocks (Robertson, 2000). The blueschists underwent peak metamorphism by ~80 Ma before being exhumed following arc-parallel extension, similar in timing to the formation of the Chilas Complex in the Kohistan Arc (Anczkiewicz et al., 2000; Maluski and Matte, 1984). Further east, the ophiolite fragments south of Ladakh (Sapi-Shergol gabbros and the Spongtang and Nidar basic rocks; Fig. 3), exhibit geochemical signatures that suggest they are co-genetic and could be relics of Ladakh’s (intra-oceanic) Dras Arc (Maheo et al., 2006). The Sapi-Shergol mélange contains mid Cretaceous blueschist-bearing blocks of alkaline affinity (Honegger et al., 1989), some with calc-alkaline protoliths suggesting melt derived from a supra subduction zone setting (Maheo et al., 2006), and Albian-aged radiolarians (Kojima et al., 2001), suggest an oceanic (backarc) basin existed during the mid Cretaceous.

The north-dipping Spongtang Ophiolite (Figs. 3 and 4) rests on an accretionary thrust sheet and the Tethyan Lamayuru Complex, overlying the Palaeocene–Eocene Zanskar Indian carbonate shelf (Clift et al., 2000; Corfield and Searle, 2000; Corfield et al., 1999; Fuchs, 1982). Mapping of the ophiolite reveals a complete upper mantle sequence of harzburgite, gabbro, sheeted dykes and pillow lavas, overlain by a volcano-sedimentary sequence with no clearly defined contact between them (Pedersen et al., 2001). There are some rare Triassic-Jurassic fossils underlying the Spongtang (Dras) unit with the sequence inverted at the root zone (Fuchs, 1981). Further east, the Nidar ophiolite complex (Fig. 3) consists of basal ultramafic rocks, overlain by gabbroic rocks and then volcano-sedimentary assemblages, attributed to an intra-oceanic setting, dated at ~140 ± 32 Ma (Ahmad et al., 2008). High Mg-olivine chromites in the ophiolite suggest boninitic parentage (Ravikant et al., 2004). Other age constrains include chert-bearing Hauterivian-Aptian radiolarian fossils (Kojima et al., 2001) and a more recent radiolarian-derived age of ~126 Ma (Zyabrev et al., 2008). The Nidar radiometric age of ~130-110 Ma is similar to that of the Spongtang Ophiolite, which share similar tholeiitic compositions so that both may have formed during backarc extension (Maheo et al., 2004).
2.5 Trans-Himalayan batholith(s) and igneous bodies

Northward subduction of Tethyan or back-arc seafloor caused Andean-type calc-alkaline magmatism during the Cretaceous and early Tertiary, forming the extensive Gangdese batholiths, a granitoid belt running along SE Asia, West Burma, and southern Lhasa, Ladakh and Kohistan terranes. Known by several names depending on location (e.g. Gangdese Plutonic Complex, Kailas Tonalite, and Kohistan and Ladakh Batholith), the 2,500 km-long, mainly uniform biotite-hornblende granodiorite bodies incorporate both mantle and lower continental crustal melts (e.g. Ji et al., 2009a; Searle et al., 1987; Wen et al., 2008). Though the batholiths are subduction-related, some formed along the southern Eurasian mainland (Karakoram, Lhasa and Qiangtang), while others (Kohistan-Ladakh) may have formed along an intra-oceanic subduction zone, possibly initiating from the Eurasian margin in Jurassic time, which may be seen in their temporal and geochemical variation, as outlined in Table 1, Sections 2 and 3.3, and below.

The axial Karakoram Batholith has been classified into Mid-Cretaceous (110-95 Ma), Paleogene (to 43 Ma), and Late Miocene calc-alkaline to sub-alkaline magmatic stages, most of which are matched by the ages of intrusives and dykes of northern Kohistan (Debon et al., 1987). The Kohistan Batholith was dated at ~102, 54 and 40 Ma, with aplite-pegmatite intrusions at ~34 and ~29 Ma (Petterson and Windley, 1985), while the Ladakh intrusives range from 74-36 Ma (Honegger et al., 1982), with ~47 Ma old leucocratic granitic dykes that intruded ~58 Ma old granodiorite in a supra-subduction zone setting (St-Onge et al., 2010). The Dras volcanics (of Ladakh) were intruded by a 103 Ma old granodiorite, with chemical compositions differing from the parental magma of ~38 Ma old intrusives, further east in Tibet (Honegger et al., 1982). Along Southern Tibet (Lhasa terrane), a magmatic gap identified in the Gangdese at ~152-103 Ma by Ji et al. (2009b) may not persist in the KLA, given intrusion by the Matum Das tonalite at ~154 Ma (Schaltegger et al., 2003), a dyke swarm at ~134 Ma (Kahn et al., 2009), Late Cretaceous sub-crustal accretion (Petterson, 2010), and the Valanginian-Cenomanian Nindam Formation and Albo-Cenomanian Dras 1 volcanics of the Ladakh Arc (Fuchs, 1982).

Isotopic analyses of the batholiths along Southern Tibet (Lhasa terrane) by Ji et al. (2009b) revealed four age-groups: ~205-152 Ma (Ji et al., 2009a), with a ~188 Ma old granite likely sourced from juvenile mantle (Chu et al., 2006); ~103-80 Ma, which ended with an adakitic intrusion (Lee et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2008); ~65-45 Ma, the most prominent stage with a peak at ~50 Ma; ~33-13 Ma (Ji et al., 2009a), and more recently, continuous magmatism from 65-35 Ma (Ji et al., 2012). Mantle-like high/positive Hf ratios in the batholith southwest of Lhasa suggest links to a juvenile/backarc crust (Ji et al., 2009a). Ji et al. (2009b) summarise the batholith formation in three main stages: 1)
Mesozoic magmatism induced by Tethyan subduction, peaking in the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous, 2) intensive Paleocene-Eocene magmatism with subduction, rollback and slab break-off, and 3) Oligocene-Miocene magmatism attributed to the convective removal of thickened lithosphere following India-Eurasia collision. Recent sampling of magmatic zircons also reveal a Paleogene departure from the depleted Mesozoic mantle-type Hf ratios, attributed to subduction of Himalayan sediment or binary mixing between it and juvenile Gangdese sediments (Chu et al., 2011).

Magmatic gaps of ~152-103 Ma and ~80-65 Ma, identified in the Gangdese by Ji et al. (2009b), may also persist at the Eastern Syntaxis (Namcha Barwa, Fig. 2), where zircon ages from the batholith highlight magmatic or anatectic (crustal melt) events at 165, 81, 61, 50 and 25 Ma (Guo et al., 2011). Geochemical analysis suggests several emplacement mechanisms and magmatic sources here, for example, Hf values for the Jurassic granitic gneiss and Cretaceous granite indicate that binary mixing took place between juvenile and old crust, possibly due to the intersection of a spreading centre with the trench resulting in the formation of a slab window (Guo et al., 2011). Negative Hf values and (adakitic) geochemical characteristics of Oligocene samples may be related to the break-off of Indian continental crust (Guo et al., 2011). A suite of nearby high-temperature charnockites, with adakitic affinities, is also attributed to the intersection of a trench and mid-oceanic ridge between 90-86 Ma (Zhang et al., 2010b). Other nearby adakites, emplaced at ~84 Ma, were attributed to Neo-Tethyan spreading ridge subduction (Guan et al., 2010). MORB, sediments and fluid, hybridised by peridotite in the mantle wedge, can form adakitic melts at temperatures above 700°C and depths greater than 70-85 km, such as the ~136 Ma old Mamen adakitic andesites (Fig. 3) (Zhu et al., 2009b). The Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis also contains marble with detrital magmatic zircons, isotopically linked to the Gangdese batholiths, dated between 167-86.3 Ma, and granulite that records high-temperature metamorphism at ~81 Ma, with geochemical evidence of melting of a 90 Myr-old basaltic protolith, formed in a continental-margin arc setting, also supporting the subduction of a backarc spreading centre (Guo et al., 2013). An amphibolite facies event at ~36-33 Ma, and granulite facies metamorphism in the High Himalaya between ~37-32 Ma, were attributed to subduction of the Indian margin (Zhang et al., 2010a).

2.6 The Himalaya

Along the northern margin of India, the Himalaya (Fig. 2) can be divided along strike into the Tethyan Himalaya, High Himalaya, and Lesser Himalaya, from north to south. The Lesser Himalaya consists of weakly metamorphosed and deformed Late Proterozoic-Paleozoic carbonates, slates, phyllites, quartzites, flysch and tillites, thrusting southwards in slices that are overridden by nappes of the High Himalaya, which have been transported to the south by up to 100 km (Fuchs, 1981;
Stocklin, 1980; Windley, 1988). Previous studies (Fuchs, 1981; Stocklin, 1980; Windley, 1988) describe the crystalline nappes of the High Himalaya as a 4-10 km-thick Precambrian-Paleozoic ‘slab’ of pelitic schists, marbles, paragneisses, orthogneisses, amphibolites and migmatites, with peraluminous leucogranite intrusions, such as the ~22-24 Ma Makalu granite, south of Mt Everest (Scharer, 1984). Age data suggest that rocks in the Pakistan Himalaya reached peak metamorphism ~47 Ma, some 20 Ma earlier than the metamorphic rocks in central and eastern Himalaya (Searle and Treloar, 2010). Lu-Hf ages (54.3 ± 0.6 Ma) of garnet from middle crustal rocks exposed in the north Himalaya, south of Tibet, were interpreted as a product of crustal thickening (Smit et al., 2014).

In the west (of the Karakoram Fault terminus), the crystalline Himalaya grade up northwards into Campanian-Maastrichtian flysch and shelf limestones, which continued to form locally until the Lower Eocene, such as in Zanskar (south of Ladakh, Fig. 4), where Maastrichtian carbonates evolve into shales and siltstones (Thakur and Misra 1984). These are overthrust to the north by the Lamayuru unit (Fig. 4), comprised of turbidites, shales and deep-water radiolarian cherts (Windley, 1988), which record the early Jurassic subsidence of the Zanskar Platform, before mid-late Jurassic extensional collapse and related alkaline volcanism, overlain by Cretaceous carbonates, volcanioclastics, and the Spongtag Ophiolite (Robertson and Degnan, 1993). The Lamayuru (passive margin of India), Jurutze (forearc basin to the Eurasian active margin), and Khalsi (Eurasian forearc sequence, recording the collapse of Indian continental margin and ophiolite obduction) units are unconformably overlain by the fluvial sandstones of the Ypresian Chogdo Formation (Clift et al., 2002a). This Zanskar Valley formation (Searle et al., 1990b) has been classified as mostly Asian-derived, and is thought to contain the first evidence of mixed Indian and Asian provenance (Clift et al., 2002a), matching cessation of marine facies at 50.5 Ma (Green et al., 2008), though sedimentary contact or input from the underlying Indian plate here is debated (Henderson et al., 2011). The crystalline Himalaya grade up into the Cambro-Ordovician to Aptian marine sediments of the Tibetan succession, further north (Fuchs, 1981; Stocklin, 1980).

The Tibetan sequence is exposed between the hanging wall of the South Tibet detachment system, a network of low-angle faults and shear zones that formed coeval to and parallel with north-dipping thrusts during lithospheric shortening (Leloup et al., 2010), and the south-dipping Great Counter/Renbu-Zedong thrust (e.g. Yin et al., 1999), further north, merging with the Karakoram Fault termination. The Tibetan Himalaya have been described as three regions, from north to south (Liu and Einsele, 1994): the Cretaceous Xigaze forearc (southern Lhasa terrane), the Tethyan sedimentary zone (between Lhasa terrane and Himalaya), and the Tethyan Himalaya (the continental shelf-rise). The southern Tethyan depositional zone, overlying Precambrian basement of the High
Himalaya, is comprised of 13 km of Cambrian-Eocene mostly marine deposits (Willems et al., 1996). The older formations record transition from pelagic to upper slope to delta-plain deposition, while a Palaeocene–lower Eocene carbonate ramp, overlain by deep-water sediments, records shallowing into outer shelf environment, and finally fluvial deposits (Hu et al., 2012).

2.7 Yarlung-Tsangpo Suture Zone

The Yarlung-Tsangpo Suture Zone (YTSZ, Fig. 3), between the High Himalaya and Lhasa terrane, has been described as a collage of pre- and syn-collisional geological objects that formed at different latitudes across oceanic basins up to ~4000 km wide, now squeezed into a narrow belt of a few hundred meters to 25 km width (Hébert et al., 2012). The suture zone incorporates what is left of the NeoTethys Ocean and backarc basins, and is traditionally linked with the more westerly ISZ, though there are differences along strike (e.g. Burg and Chen, 1984; Murphy et al., 2000). South of the southward-dipping Great Counter Thrust, which marks the southern margin of the Lhasa terrane and associated Lower Miocene Gangrinboche conglomerates (Aitchison et al., 2002b), three sub-units can be defined in the Tethyan Himalaya (of the YTSZ). From north to south they include (Liuqu) conglomerate and Cretaceous (Xigaze) flysch, a discontinuous (Dazhuqu) ophiolitic belt, associated tectonic (Yamdrok) mélange, and flysch from India’s leading margin (e.g. Aitchison et al., 2011).

Outcropping along the southern YTSZ, the Yamdrok mélange (Searle et al., 1987), also referred to as ‘wildflysch with exotic blocks’ (Tapponnier et al., 1981) or Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous red radiolarites (Girardeau et al., 1984b), contains huge sediment rafts floating in a mud-matrix, which formed in an intra-oceanic subduction system that overrode India’s water-saturated leading edge sediments (Aitchison et al., 2000). The sediment rafts include Permian-Cretaceous limestones, Campanian-Maastrichtian micrites and Aalenian-Aptian cherts (e.g. Dupuis et al., 2005b; Matsuoka et al., 2002), Maastrichtian-Paleocene fossils (Burg and Chen, 1984; Liu and Aitchison, 2002), and radiolarian stratigraphy that suggests accretion during late Aptian to late Cretaceous time (Ziabrev et al., 2004). Further north, the Yamdrok mud-matrix is truncated by the Liuqu Conglomerates.

The Liuqu conglomerates outcrop in a series of oblique-slip basins within Late Jurassic-Cretaceous intra-oceanic arc terranes (Davis et al., 1999). The different deformation styles (strike-slip versus thrusting along the massifs) might be explained by paleo-ridge-transform intersections (Guilmette, 2005). The conglomerates may have formed penecontemporaneously with the Yamdrok mélange (Aitchison et al., 2000) and are not considered to have derived from terranes north of the YTSZ, but rather from between an intra-oceanic arc and India’s leading margin, given coarse-grained, immature clast textures and stratigraphic relationships (Davis et al., 2002; Davis et al., 1999). Provenance
studies of the Liuqu conglomerate clasts, with both Indian and Asian sources, identify an erosional record from the Middle Eocene for the Asian sources (Wang et al., 2010). Another recent palynological study (Wei et al., 2011), suggests that the Liuqu conglomerates were actually deposited during Oligocene time, above sea-level and within warm-temperate climates.

East of Xigaze (Fig. 3), the Dazhuqu terrane (Abrajevitch et al., 2005; Ziabrev et al., 2003) contains ultramafic blocks that can be divided into transitional harzburgites (depleted/supra subduction zone peridotites), harzburgites and dunites (mantle-residue interactions), and lherzolites and cpx-harzburgites (fertile abyssal peridotites), as part of an ophiolitic belt (Dupuis et al., 2005a). The ophiolites (Fig. 3), occur as either non-dismembered, tectonically reworked sections, such as at Spongtang and segments between Dazhuqu and Xigaze, or as dismembered sections, such as at Nidar, Kiogar, Jungbwa, Saga, Sangsang, Xiugugabu, and Luobusa (Hébert et al., 2012). Hébert et al. (2012) suggest that the ophiolites formed close to the Eurasian margin within short-lived (30 Myr old) basins, though some might have evolved for over 70 Myr. They invoke a scenario where interaction between MORB (mid-ocean ridge), OIB (ocean island) and arc-type basalts, generated Jurassic ophiolites in a nascent arc along the eastern and western segments. These were then incorporated into a mid to Late Cretaceous arc running along the entire subduction zone until major arc splitting created a remanent and active arc, as suggested for KLA (e.g. Burg, 2011b). Andean-type subduction resumed beneath the Lhasa terrane, causing the back-arc to stop spreading and arc to recede towards Eurasia, with obduction of the YTSZ ophiolites occurring during ~90-70 Ma, roughly 30 Myr after most had formed.

The ophiolites generally coalesce into Middle Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous age groups (Fig. 3), plus those older around the Eastern Syntaxis at ~200 Ma (Geng et al., 2006), Naga, dated as Upper Jurassic (Baxter et al., 2011), Chin Hills, dated at ~160 Ma (Mitchell, 1981), Zedong and Loubusa, dated at ~161 Ma (McDermid et al., 2002) and ~177 Ma (Mo et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2004), respectively, though zircons from gabbros at Loubusa recently gave U-Pb ages of ~150 Ma (Chan et al., 2013). Further west, ophiolites at Kiogar (Fig. 3) and Jungbwa date at ~160 and ~123 Ma, respectively (Chan et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2007b; Xia et al., 2011), though the latter was also dated at 152 Ma (Miller et al., 2003). Even older ages have been reported, such as at Najiu (~100 km east of Zhongba, Fig. 3), where a typical OIB gabbro with little or no continental affinity was dated at ~364 Ma, and explained as a PaleoTethys embayment (Dai et al., 2011a). However, this gabbro occurs as a tectonic block within melange matrix (Zhu et al., 2013). Similar ages were reported for gabbros at Dangqiong, near Xiugugabu (Fig. 3) (Zhou et al., 2010b), but this is at odds with previous studies dating the ophiolitic material at at ~126-123 Ma for Dangqiong (Chan et al., 2013; Chan et
al., 2007a; Mo et al., 2008) and Xiugugabu (Wei et al., 2006). A gabbroic dyke from the latter was also dated to ~173 Ma (Mo et al., 2008).

Cretaceous ophiolites are also abundant around Xigaze (Fig. 3), where a pegmatitic gabbro was dated at 131.8 Ma (Chan et al., 2013). Nearby are the Barremian-Aptian Dazhuqu forearc terrane (Dai et al., 2013; Ziabrev et al., 2003), the Triassic-Aptian Bainang intra-oceanic island arc subduction complex (Ziabrev et al., 2004), and the Buma ophiolitic mélange, with amphibolites dated at 130-125 Ma (Guilmette et al., 2009). The Saga mélange (Fig. 3), yields a metamorphic sole that reached peak conditions between 132-127 Ma (Guilmette et al., 2011). Further west, the Zhongba ophiolite (Fig. 3) is dated at ~126 Ma (Dai et al., 2012; Dai et al., 2013) while the Bainang amphibolites, initially dated at ~88 Ma (Malpas et al., 2003) were revised to ~123-128 Ma, which overlaps with the magmatic and sedimentary ages of the overlying ophiolite (Guilmette et al., 2009). These ages also correspond with the Albian Sapi-Shergol mélange (Kojima et al., 2001) and ~126 Ma old Nidar ophiolite (Zyabrev et al., 2008), further west near Ladakh (Fig. 3).

Recent geochemical analysis suggests that a variety of tectonic environments, including intra-oceanic arc, backarc basin, forearc basin, oceanic island, and mid-ocean-ridge fragments, became trapped within the YTSZ (Mo et al., 2008). For example, the Early Cretaceous Saga and Sangsang massifs (Fig. 3) are incomplete sequences of prominent ophiolitic mélange overlain by a thin mantle section (Bedard et al., 2009). Given their along-strike correlation and age relationships, both could belong to the same ophiolitic backarc segment but their geochemistry differs such that the Saga peridotites are more enriched/primitive (lherzolitic) than the Sangsang (harzburgitic) peridotites, so that the Saga peridotites represent the abyssal backarc end-member while the Sangsang peridotites, and other massifs further east, are closer to the forearc subduction-related end-member (Bedard et al., 2009).

Upper and lower sections of a metamorphic sole can have peak metamorphic age differences of up to 50 Ma, which might not convey the age of final obduction but rather the initiation of subduction, which the inverted ocean-floor sequences suggest may have been initiated by an overturned fold in young oceanic crust (e.g. Guilmette, 2005; Malpas, 1979; Wakabayashi and Dilek, 2003). The Saga sole’s metamorphic peak at ~127-132 Ma may have followed an Early Cretaceous tectonic event, such as India’s early drift from Gondwana, possibly transforming the backarc spreading ridge into a subduction zone (Guilmette et al., 2011). Geodynamical modelling (Bedard et al., 2009), suggests that the back arc and island arc rocks at Saga formed in a supra subduction zone setting between 155-130 Ma, before a new subduction trench initiated at ~127 Ma and buried the rocks, scraping off the upper crust, as was proposed for the Xigaze ophiolites (Guilmette et al., 2009).
The Early Cretaceous Xigaze ophiolitic massifs form a nearly continuous belt over 175 km long and 25 km wide, where sections are mostly north-side up and repeated across dextral strike-slip faults (Ziabrev et al., 2003). Mineral chemistry suggests that the ophiolitic massifs originated in a supra-subduction environment with superimposed backarc and arc signatures that were overprinted by complex magmatic processes, such as melt-mantle interaction (Hebert et al., 2003). The ophiolitic sequence from base to top consists of mantle peridotite, cumulates, sheeted sill dike swarms (with boninitic affinity), and basic lavas with radiolarian chert dated to Late Jurassic-Cretaceous time (Bao et al., 2013). Bao et al. (2013) also report an age of 81 Ma for amphibole in garnet amphibolite in the mélangé, suggesting this as the time of tectonic emplacement/obduction, and surmise that the Xigaze ophiolites formed in a mid-ocean ridge before initiation of forearc extension and subduction-related melting intruded the oceanic crust as dykes, resulting in a close association of mid-ocean ridge basalt and boninitic rock. Another recent study of the dolerite and quartz diorite intrusions, dated between 127-124 Ma, suggests that the forearc-type ophiolites crystallised in a forearc setting via rapid slab rollback, which followed subduction initiation during 130-120 Ma (Dai et al., 2013). Paleomagnetic studies of dolerite dykes, which have undergone anticlockwise rotation to trend 85°N, indicate that the original spreading centre may have been located ~10-20° north of the equator (Pozzi et al., 1984).

Further east, the Loubusa ophiolite (Fig. 3) contains a well-preserved mantle section and transition zone sequence above a tectonic mélangé, containing these blocks as well as amphibolite, basaltic-andesite, andesite and siltstone blocks in a serpentinite matrix, thrust northwards onto the Lhasa terrane’s batholith (Aitchison et al., 2000). The chromite nodules in the mantle residue may have formed after interaction between old-MORB and new boninitic magmas, during a second stage of melting above a subduction zone (Zhou et al., 1996). Geodynamic modelling of the ophiolite suggests that the backarc spreading centre was subducting beneath the Lhasa terrane at ~120 Ma such that the Loubusa forearc formed in the wedge overlying a second north-dipping subduction zone (Hebert et al., 2003). Analysis of the Loubusa chromite reveals the presence of reduced deep mantle (>300 km) UHP rocks (Dobrzhinetskaya et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2007), while mineral and petrological evidence suggests that other YTSZ massifs underwent polybaric exhumation from at least 50 km depth (Hebert et al., 2003).

A terrane-based nomenclature has also been proposed for the YTSZ (e.g. Aitchison et al., 2002a; Aitchison et al., 2003; Ziabrev et al., 2003), though it has not been adopted by all studies because of laterally divergent ages and geochemistry. Yet, the consistent occurrence and north–south distribution of the broadly coeval, Late Jurassic Zedong Arc (McDermid et al., 2002), Aptian-Barremian Dazhuqu ophiolitic belt (Abrajevitch et al., 2005; Ziabrev et al., 2003), and the overturned
mid-Aptian Bainang accretionary wedge (Ziabrev et al., 2004), supports the interpretation for a north-dipping intra-oceanic subduction system, operating by mid Cretaceous time (Aitchison et al., 2000). The hemi-pelagic, siliceous mudstone and fine-grained clastics of the Bainang terrane, overlying the Indian plate (Aitchison et al., 2002a), are in fault contact with the southern margin of the Dazhuqu terrane (Aitchison et al., 2000), which paleomagnetic studies suggest formed at sub-equatorial latitudes and underwent counter-clockwise rotation (Abrajevitch et al., 2005). These are in tectonic contact with the Zedong terrane, interpreted as the overturned remnant of an intra-oceanic arc, active from at least Late Jurassic time, given a dacite breccia dated at ~161 Ma (McDermid et al., 2002), as well as Lhasa terrane volcanics and batholith, to the north (Aitchison et al., 2000). Further north, the Xigaze volcaniclastic turbidites overlie and truncate the highly fragmented Dazhuqu ophiolitic sequence, though their contact is faulted or not exposed (Aitchison et al., 2002a; Aitchison et al., 2000).

The Xigaze terrane (Fig. 3) is considered a prime example of an exposed forearc basin associated with a northward-dipping subduction zone, comprised of mid-late Cretaceous siliciclastic turbidites with plutonic and volcanic pebbles, thought to derive from the more northerly Gangdese Belt (Windley, 1988) and Lhasa terrane, from as early as Aptian-Albian time (e.g. Durr, 1996; Einsele et al., 1994; Wu et al., 2010). Facies architecture of the Xigaze Basin suggests that an accretionary wedge slowly grew during the Albian-Cenomanian stages of initial forearc basin infill (Einsele et al., 1994), which may have arisen from a high degree of plate coupling (Schneider et al., 2003). The Xigaze forearc facies were recently divided into the flysch-dominated Xigaze Group and a shallow marine group, the latter containing andesitic debris sourced from volcanics of the southern Lhasa terrane during ~112-49 Ma (Wang et al., 2012). The Xigaze Group, deposited between ~116-65 Ma, or to Ypresian time (Wang et al., 2012), had a main stage of deep marine deposition between ~107-84 Ma, and is comprised of several formations with isotopic values similar to those of the Gangdese Batholith, their likely source (Wu et al., 2010). Provenance studies suggest other sources that include the Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous Yeba and Sangri formations in the Lhasa terrane (Wang et al., 2012). Younger formations contain pre-Mesozoic zircons and negative Hf values, implying the addition of older (continental) crust from ~91 Ma (Wu et al., 2010). While younger sediments have been eroded (Aitchison et al., 2000), those remaining record basin inversion into the Eocene, with detrital zircon peaks at ~120 and 170 Ma that could not be traced to the Lhasa terrane, possibly due to lateral translation (Aitchison et al., 2011).

The transition from Upper Cretaceous Xigaze marine flysch to Eocene Qiuwa conglomerates, the latter originating from the Gangdese batholith, was interpreted as a product of the India-Eurasia
collision (Searle et al., 1987). The Quiwa conglomerates may be counterparts to the coeval Kargil conglomerates, overlying the southern margin of the Ladakh pluton (Virdi, 1987). The Kailas, Qiuwu, Dazhuqu and Loubusa formations, which outcrop unconformably along the southern Lhasa basement for 1500 km and are collectively termed the Gangrinboche conglomerates, contain Early Miocene felsic tuffs and older and younger sediments, respectively sourced from the Lhasa then more southern terranes, after India-Eurasia collision (Aitchison et al., 2002a; Aitchison et al., 2009; Aitchison et al., 2002b). Detrital zircons as young as 37 Ma, sampled from the conglomerates near Xigaze, suggest that subduction-related convergent magmatism continued until Late Eocene time, though the zircons may have been sourced from younger Linzizong volcanics in the southern Lhasa terrane (Aitchison et al., 2011).

2.8 Lhasa terrane (South Tibet)

The Great Counter Thrust marks the boundary where the Lhasa terrane rocks dip southward beneath the Tethyan Himalaya (Figs. 2 and 3), including the Xigaze, Dazhuqu and Bainang terranes (Aitchison et al., 2002a). The Lhasa terrane may have separated from Gondwana during Triassic-Jurassic (Metcalfe, 2011a; Metcalfe, 2011b), or Early Permian time (Shellnutt et al., 2011, 2014a), as part of the Cimmerian continent (Allegre et al., 1984; Metcalfe, 1988). The rocks of the Lhasa terrane include Precambrian gneisses, overlain by Carboniferous glacio-marine shelf deposits, Triassic breakup volcanics, shallow marine shelf carbonates, the Late Cretaceous shallow marine-fluvial Takena Formation, and the Early Tertiary Linzizong (and older) volcanics to the south, considered the extrusive component to the batholith (Chang et al., 1986).

The subaerial andesitic Linzizong volcanics erupted between ~69-43 Ma as subduction-related magmatism reached its climax at 50 Ma (Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2004). These volcanics, as the extrusive component of the Gangdese Batholith, may correlate with the Late Cretaceous-Eocene Khardung Volcanics at the northern margin of the Ladakh Batholith (Virdi, 1987). The Linzizong Formation is located in the footwall of a north-dipping thrust system with Triassic-Jurassic strata in the hanging wall that contain a 52 Ma old granite intrusion that underwent accelerated cooling at ~42 Ma, coincident with cessation of voluminous arc magmatism, attributed to slab break-off, tertiary thrusting or crustal thickening (He et al., 2007). Sequence distribution of the basal Linzizong member reveals that the eastern portion ceased volcanism earlier; andesites east of ~87°E were dated at ~75-59 Ma while the more westerly acidic tuffs continued to form during ~60-51 Ma (Zhou et al., 2010a). Several lines of evidence also suggest that the upper Linzizong member experienced a ‘flare-up’ at ~50 Ma, with geochemical
variations that include melts from several sources, attributed to subduction rollback at ~60 Ma then slab break-off at ~50 Ma (Lee et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2009).

The 190-174 Ma old Yeba mafic-felsic rocks, outcropping among the Linzizong volcanics and Gangdese Batholith, are interpreted as an arc built on thin, immature continental crust since Jurassic time (Dong et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2008b). The broadly coeval Sangri Group, outcropping 200 km east of Xigaze, is dominated by adakite-like andesitic lavas (from ‘hot’ subduction zones), derived from volcanic island arc rocks (Zhu et al., 2009b). Provenance studies suggest that the Yeba and Sangri volcanics, the latter erupted during 112-71 Ma (Lee et al., 2009), may correlate with the earlier magmatic activity identified from detrital zircons in andesitic debris of the Xigaze Group, peaking between 190-150 Ma, and more so at 130-80 Ma (Wu et al., 2010).

The Cretaceous Takena Formation, with major and minor detrital zircon peaks at 160-100 Ma and 220-180 Ma, respectively (Leier et al., 2007b), consist of Aptian-Albian orbitolinid limestones, overlain by clastic red bed, fluvial lithic and volcanic fragments, likely derived from the Gangdese volcanic arc (Leier et al., 2007a) and/or the unconformably overlying Linzizong volcanics (Pan and Kidd, 1999). The Takena Formation was attributed to a north-verging fold and thrust belt, with the passage of a flexural fore-bulge causing deposition in a retro-arc foreland basin (Leier, 2005; Leier et al., 2007a). Andesitic dykes intruded the formation at ~90 Ma, coinciding with volcanism in the northern Lhasa terrane between ~110-80 Ma (Coulon et al., 1986). Deposition of the Takena Formation may have continued until ~69 ± 2.4 Ma, given the oldest zircon age for the overlying Linzizong Formation (He et al., 2003). While the regional unconformity between the folded and eroded Takena Formation and the gently-dipping Linzizong volcanics (e.g. Allegre et al., 1984; Coulon et al., 1986; Maluski et al., 1982) suggests that the majority of crustal thickening and shortening may have occurred before final India-Eurasia collision (e.g. Burg and Chen, 1984; Leier et al., 2007a), detailed mapping shows that the Linzizong volcanics are folded to sub-vertical in places and may have accommodated more shortening (Pan and Kidd, 1999). Other ‘post-collisional’ deformation is indicated by a folded ~52 Ma old dyke (He et al., 2003).

Yang et al. (2009) divide the Lhasa terrane into north and south segments based on a narrow HP (high pressure) metamorphic belt, dated at ~292-242 Ma, with an oceanic basaltic protolith proposed for the eclogites and associated outcrops, identified as dismembered ophiolite units. Ophiolites obducted onto the Lhasa terrane’s northern margin have been interpreted to mark the end of north-dipping subduction and accretion (e.g. Zhou et al., 1997). Ophiolites trending northwest to southeast through the terrane (Matte et al., 1996), such near Xainxa (Fig. 3), could represent remnants from one giant ophiolite nappe originating from over a hundred km further north at the Bangong-Nujiang
Suture Zone, rather than from another suture zone, e.g. YTSZ (Girardeau et al., 1984a). The dichotomous crustal thickness of the Lhasa terrane, increasing from ~65 to 80 km from west to east across the dextral Jiali Fault (Fig. 3), may also support the presence of two blocks but this observation has been linked to the ongoing convergence between India and Eurasia, and extrusion of the Qiangtang terrane (Zhang and Klemperer, 2005).

The Lhasa terrane has also been divided into three northwest-trending ‘ribbons’ according to different sedimentary cover rocks (Zhu et al., 2011). The southern portion contains limited sedimentary cover, including the Sangri and Yeba formations (Dong et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2008b; Zhu et al., 2009b), interspersed by plutonic rocks. The central portion contains Permo-Carboniferous metasediments, with minor pre-Jurassic limestones and Jurassic-Cretaceous volcanics (Zhu et al., 2011). The northern area contains limited Jurassic-Cretaceous cover rocks, including exposed plutonic and volcanic rocks, respectively emplaced at ~80 Ma (Zhao et al., 2008) and between ~143-102 Ma, with a magmatic flare-up at ~110 Ma (Zhu et al., 2009a).

A recent review of the Tibetan Plateau (Zhang et al., 2012a) describes two coeval, north-directed subduction zones, the northern one produced a Jurassic–Middle Cretaceous magmatic arc along the southern Qiangtang margin, which may have remained open (marine) until Late Cretaceous time, given the occurrence of 132–108 Ma old ophiolites with mid Cretaceous radiolarians (Baxter et al., 2010). The southern subduction zone produced a belt of Early Jurassic adakitic rocks along the southern Lhasa terrane until Jurassic–Early Cretaceous uplift and denudation formed up to 15 km of Jurassic turbidites and molasse-type sediments on the northern Lhasa terrane (Zhang et al., 2012a). The previous authors (Zhang et al., 2012a) suggest that the orogen ended with lithospheric delamination and asthenospheric upwelling, causing widespread magmatism during 135–100 Ma, extensional deformation and marine transgression, before subduction resumed in the Late Cretaceous (90–78 Ma) producing more adakitic rocks further south. Fission track ages from Cretaceous granitoids and Jurassic metasediments in the Tibetan peneplain indicate that cooling and exhumation occurred between 70 and ~55 Ma before the plateau stabilised at ~48 Ma (Hetzel et al., 2011). Another recent thermochronological study also found that the Tibetan plateau grew locally from the Late Cretaceous, spanning the region by 45 Ma (Rohrmann et al., 2012).

In the eastern Lhasa terrane, S-type granitoids correlate better with the northern plutonic belt rather than the I-type Gangdese Batholith, and show two periods of magmatic arc emplacement, at ~133-110 Ma and ~66-57 Ma, after an earlier granitic intrusion at ~198 Ma, with Hf values that suggest they were derived from Lhasa and Qiangtang collision (Chiu et al., 2009). Compositions of granitoids and volcanics from similar locations indicate that the eastern batholiths are not the eastern
equivalent to those of the Gangdese but rather the northern Lhasa Plutonic Belt, which may also extend further southeast to the Cretaceous-Paleocene western Yunnan and Jurassic-Paleocene Burmese batholiths (Lin et al., 2012).

2.9 Bangong-Nujiang Suture Zone

The Bangong-Nujiang Suture Zone (BNSZ, Figs. 2 and 3) bisects the Lhasa and Qiangtang terranes. It contains mainly Mesozoic shallow to deep marine sedimentary sequences, overlain by younger terrestrial and volcanic rocks and mélangé (e.g. Kapp et al., 2005; Schneider et al., 2003). Several ophiolites were obducted onto the Lhasa terrane’s northern margin in the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous (e.g. Dewey et al., 1988; Girardeau et al., 1984a; Pearce and Deng, 1988). In the west, Mid-Cretaceous strata unconformably overlie ophiolitic mélangé and Jurassic flysch, which was interpreted as the remnants of a forearc basin and subduction-accretion complex (Kapp et al., 2003). The more central ophiolites exhibit a southward-trending regional chemical zonation, which Pearce and Deng (1988) attribute to backarc, island arc and forearc rocks. The ophiolitic belt is anomalously wide further east near Xainxa (Girardeau et al., 1985a) and Donqiao (Girardeau et al., 1984a), the latter has a metamorphic aureole dated at ~180-175 Ma (Zhou et al., 1997).

Also along the eastern BNSZ, the Precambrian Amdo crystalline basement (Fig. 3), exhumed in the hanging wall of an Early Cretaceous south-directed thrust system, incorporates high-grade metamorphic and magmatic rocks. It has been identified as an isolated microcontinent that underwent deep subduction before its collision with either Qiangtang or the Lhasa terrane (Zhang et al., 2012b), or as the remnants of a continental arc, which rifted south from the Qiangtang terrane (Guynn et al., 2006). The latter thermochronological study dated the granitoids at ~185-170 Ma and noted that their exhumation was coeval with (or just prior to) the formation of the Qiangtang Anticline, where mid-Cretaceous volcanic rocks unconformably overlie Carboniferous strata (Kapp et al., 2005). Guynn et al., (2006) suggest that the arc was present all along the the margin but was either buried or underthrust with the Lhasa terrane (beneath Qiantang terrane).

A review of extensive mapping in Tibet incorporates an extended BNSZ where unconformities in age between the underlying strata and BNSZ ophiolitic mélangé, from Upper Triassic in the east to Upper Cretaceous in the west, suggest that the Bangong–Nujiang Ocean may have existed from Carboniferous to Early Cretaceous time and closed from east to west (Pan et al., 2012, and references therein). This indicates that there may have been large intra-oceanic backarc system(s) in the preceding Tethys ocean, also supported by the Shan-Thai Sukhothai zone in SE Asia (Metcalf, 2011b; Sone et al., 2012). The Triassic-Jurassic bivalves in the Yeba volcanic sediments,
northeastern Lhasa terrane, also show that marine conditions were extant between the Lhasa and Qiangtang terranes ~180 Ma (Yin and Grant-Mackie, 2005). Radiolarian fossil assemblages indicate that deep marine conditions prevailed until Early Aptian time (Baxter et al., 2009).

2.10 Qiangtang terrane (North Tibet)

The Qiangtang terrane (Fig. 2) lies north of the Lhasa terrane. Stratigraphic succession suggests that both terranes drifted from Gondwana by Early Permian time (Sciunnach and Garzanti, 2012), and that Lhasa and southern Qiangtang terranes were a continuous platform until Late Triassic time (Schneider et al., 2003). South Qiangtang contains Permian fusilinids, Jurassic limestones and shales, interbedded with lava flows (Matte et al., 1996). These are unconformably overlain by Cretaceous-Tertiary red sandstones and conglomerates containing Tertiary porphyries (Norin, 1946). Volcanic tuffs and granitic intrusions in South Qiangtang, respectively yielding Ar-Ar ages of ~145 Ma and ~111 Ma, intrude or unconformably overlie folded Triassic-Jurassic turbiditic sandstone and limestone deposits, indicating that Central Tibet was already above sea level and deformed by ~111 Ma (Kapp et al., 2005).

The Qiangtang terrane has been divided into south(west) and north(east) sections according to an east-plunging anticlinorium with blueschist-bearing mélange, Permo-Carboniferous strata outcropping at its core, and Mesozoic strata along its limbs (Kapp et al., 2005; Yin et al., 1998). Glaucophane schists (Hennig, 1915), with volcanics and Mesozoic granite running through central Qiangtang, were also attributed to a Mid Triassic to Early Jurassic collision between North and South Qiangtang, from west to east (Zhang and Tang, 2009). Eclogites dated at 230-237 Ma were also interpreted as a Triassic suture zone, exhumed at ~220 Ma (Zhai et al., 2011). Zircon affinities for the high-pressure rocks suggest that the Qiangtang Metamorphic Belt (Central Uplift Zone) could also be attributed to an arc terrane (Pullen et al., 2008).

Late Carboniferous glacio-marine sequences (e.g. Metcalfe, 1988) and Gondwanan facies (Jin, 2002; Norin, 1946; Sun, 1993) in South Qiangtang also support a distinction between it and North Qiangtang, as suggested by deep seismic profiles, which show that the northern and southern parts of the terrane have different upper-crustal structures (Lu et al., 2009). The presence of Carboniferous dykes, gabbros, pillow basalts and radiolarian-bearing rocks with warm water fauna in North Qiangtang suggest that it was Cathaysian (e.g. Kidd et al., 1988), and part of an island arc-basin tectonic composite, whose basins continued to subduct until Late Triassic collision with the Kunlun composite terrane, further north (Pan et al., 2012).
2.11 West Burma (Myanmar)

West Burma (Fig. 5) was separated from the West Sumatra block, further south, by the Andaman Sea from Miocene time (Barber and Crow, 2009). Fan and Ko (1994) define West Burma as Arakan Yoma and Central Burma (Fig. 5), the latter consists of Upper Triassic flysch basement, unconformably overlain by Cretaceous limestone and over 10 km of Late Oligocene to Quaternary sediments. Volcanic materials in the Lower Eocene deposits come from the Central Burmese volcanic arc (Fig. 5), a Mid Cretaceous granodioritic pluton running from north to south (Mitchell, 1981). Fan and Ko (1994) attribute Arakan Yoma, consisting of Mesozoic-Early Cretaceous flysch, to an Oligocene-uplifted, eastward-dipping subduction system, which led to the formation of the Arakan Yoma Ranges and Central Burmese volcanic arc. The plutonic (Mergui) arc extending north from SW Thailand, was attributed to the Cretaceous-Paleocene allochthonous collision between Arakan Yoma and Central Burma (Fan and Ko, 1994). Arakan Yoma was then transported ~450 km north along the dextral Sagaing fault (Mitchell, 1981) as Lower Cretaceous I- and S-type granites intruded the marine clastic rocks and the eastward-dipping subduction zone migrated west (Fan and Ko, 1994).

2.12 Southeast Asia

Southeast Asia (Fig. 5) is a collage of continental, exotic and intra-oceanic terranes that have accreted or grown during continuous subduction (e.g. Hall, 2012; Metcalfe, 2011a; Şengör et al., 1988). Mapping, biostratigraphy and petrology indicates strong evidence of a mid-Late Cretaceous continental collision with the SE Asian margin, then called Sundaland (Wakita, 2000), while zircon ages also suggest collision of a Gondwana-derived microcontinent along Java by ~80 Ma (Clements and Hall, 2011). Sibumasu (Fig. 5) composed of the western Malay peninsula and East Sumatra, contains Late Carboniferous–Early Permian glaciogenic diamictites, and can be traced into southern China (Barber and Crow, 2009). West Sumatra has Cathaysian fauna and flora, and was emplaced against western Sibumasu via dextral faulting along a Triassic-Jurassic tectonic zone (Barber and Crow, 2009). It was overthrust in the mid Cretaceous by the Woyla nappe (Woyla Arc, Fig. 5), an intra-oceanic arc that can be correlated with the Mawgyi nappe (Fig. 5), west of Burma (Barber and Crow, 2009). The Woyla Group includes fragments of volcanic arc and imbricated oceanic crust, attributed to a marginal basin formed by subduction (Cameron et al., 1980) and collision of an allochthonous terrane during Albian-Aptian time (Barber, 2000; Barber and Crow, 2009). The Woyla Group was intruded at ~97.7 Ma by the Sikuleh Batholith (Fig. 5), a complex of homogenous, unfoliated, biotite-hornblende granodiorite (Bennett et al., 1981).
3. METHODOLOGY, DATA AND KINEMATIC CONSTRAINTS

We construct a plate tectonic model encompassing the evolution of the Tethyan and Indian Oceans from 200 Ma to the present day (Fig. 6). We construct the plate model interactively using the open-source and cross-platform plate reconstruction software, GPlates (Boyden et al., 2011), to create a regionally-constrained global plate model based on combining the seafloor-spreading histories from ocean basins (Seton et al., 2012) and our extensive review of the regional onshore geology, outlined in the previous section. The global plate model uses a hybrid absolute reference frame, combining a moving (Indo-Atlantic) hotspot model until 100 Ma and a true-polar wander-corrected paleomagnetic model from 200 to 100 Ma. We have incorporated more recent relevant regional reconstructions into the global plate model, updating basins around the western and northwestern Australian shelf (Gibbons et al., 2012) and East Antarctica (Gibbons et al., 2013), and incorporate a revised model for Australian-Antarctic separation (Whittaker et al., 2013).

The main tectonic elements used in our reconstructions are Argoland, Greater India, a Tethyan intra-oceanic arc (TIA), comprised of the KLA, ophiolitic fragments from the YTSZ, and WA, and the post-Triassic Eurasian margin, comprised of the Karakoram, southern Qiangtang and Lhasa terranes. All but the intra-oceanic arc originated from East Gondwana, with Karakoram, Qiangtang and Lhasa drifting earlier, as part of the Cimmerian continent that accreted to Eurasia around Late Triassic-Jurassic time (e.g. Sengor, 1987). The extents of the continental terranes are based on available potential field data and tectonic constraints. Subduction polarities are inferred from subduction-related volcanism on the overriding plate, as discussed in Section 2. We use the combined timescales of Cande and Kent (1995) and Gradstein et al. (1994) for Cenozoic and Mesozoic times, respectively. For more information regarding competing GPTS models, please refer to Section 2.3 of Seton et al. (2012), our preferred global plate reconstruction model.

Time-dependent, intersecting topological features (e.g. mid-ocean ridges, subduction zones, transforms) have been created in order to model the continuous evolution of the plate boundary system, forming continuously closing plates (CCP), which enables global sampling of plate velocities, using previously established methodology (Gurnis et al., 2012). The CCP functionality, built into the plate reconstruction software GPlates (Boyden et al., 2011), assigns an Euler rotation to each boundary of a dynamic plate polygon, derived from a series of intersecting plate boundaries that is user-defined, to ensure global coverage of plates and conservation of surface area through time. Each plate boundary feature is attributed with metadata, for example, the life-span of a mid-ocean ridge, the duration of a subduction zone, including its polarity and dip angle (if known), or the sense of motion on transforms. The plate boundaries of our base model (Seton et al., 2012) were based on
present day plate boundaries (Bird, 2003), geological evidence for island and magmatic arcs, suture zones and major faults through time, as discussed above in Section 2. Plate motion vectors were derived from a global set of finite rotations for relative motions. Subducted oceanic crust was restored by assuming seafloor spreading symmetry where only one flank of the spreading system was preserved. In addition, evidence from subduction, slab windows and anomalous volcanism from onshore geology, were incorporated into the model within established plate kinematic constraints (Müller et al., 2008). The resolved topological plate boundaries, block outlines and model rotation files are included in the Supplementary Material. Quality-checked magnetic anomaly identifications (age picks) from all ocean basins were taken from a recently published, open-source, community-driven online repository (Seton et al., 2014).

3.1 Argoland and Greater India

Argoland was juxtaposed with East Gondwana’s northern margin during Jurassic time – we suggest that it stretched from NE Arabia to New Guinea, where the pre-existing strike-slip boundary (Owen Transform, Fig. 1) accommodated northward motion of India relative to Africa, towards Eurasia from the Late Cretaceous. The evolution of Argoland-Australia-India breakup and early seafloor spreading was recently revised when a Jurassic gabbro, dredged ~1000 km off West Australia (Gibbons et al., 2012b), necessitated an extended Argoland (reaching further southwest, almost to the Zenith Plateau off western Australia, Fig. 1) as well as a reduced northern extent for Greater India, including the Gascoyne Block (GB, Fig. 6), which was a strip of continental crust originally conjugate to the Exmouth Plateau, offshore NW Australia (Fig. 1). The geometry of the southern margin of eastern Argoland mirrors the NW Australian continent-ocean boundary (Müller et al., 2005). The remaining extent of Greater India (conjugate to the southern half of the Australian margin), reaching ~600 km and 1000 km in western and central regions, respectively, is a reasonable match to mass-balanced cross-sections that give crustal shortening estimates of ~670 km (DeCelles et al., 2002) and 1,100 km (Guillot et al., 2003), respectively for western and central Himalaya. The timing of collisions between India and an intra-oceanic arc and Eurasia are constrained by the outlines of these blocks, the position of the Eurasian margin, including any intra-oceanic terranes, and their relative motion through time.

Early motion for Argoland is based on the magnetic anomaly identifications in the Argo Abyssal Plain, which encompass ~155-136 Ma, coinciding with a 136 Ma ridge jump (Gibbons et al., 2012b), before the seafloor is truncated by the Sunda-Java trench. The onset of seafloor spreading separating Greater India from East Gondwana began at 136 Ma. Our previous study implies a transient triple junction between Argoland, Greater India, and Australia-Antarctica, allowing Argoland to continue
along its original trajectory during India’s initial ‘unzipping’ from Australia-Antarctica, from north to south from ~136-120 Ma (Gibbons et al., 2012b). The ‘unzipping’ motion is invoked because Greater India initially drifted within a giant vice, whose ‘jaws’ (constraining boundaries) consisted of the eastern edge of Madagascar and the Wallaby-Zenith Fracture Zone (WZFZ, Fig. 1), a prominent linear bathymetric feature, perpendicular to the western Australian passive margin (Gibbons et al., 2013). During the Cretaceous Normal Superchron (CNS), a period of no magnetic reversals from ~120-83 Ma, we fix Argoland to Greater India and maintain a similar speed for India at ~70 mm/yr for both flanks (Australia and India) along a trajectory that can recreate major fracture zones, including those offshore NW Australia (Wharton Basin) and East Antarctica (Kerguelen Fracture Zone, Fig. 1), which prominently bend towards north (Gibbons et al., 2012b; Gibbons et al., 2013; Matthews et al., 2011). This bend signifies the onset of India’s dextral motion from Madagascar from ~100 Ma, before India’s northward motion resulted in their separation from south to north from 94-83 Ma (Gibbons et al., 2013). The latter timing is similar to the onset of seafloor spreading offshore east Madagascar, in the Mascarene Basin (Fig. 1), with oldest magnetic anomaly picks of 34ny (Dyment, 1991; Bernard and Munschy, 2000; Eagles and Wibisono, 2013) dated at 83 Ma, but possible initiation of seafloor spreading at ~86.5 Ma (Yatheesh et al., 2006). From Paleocene time, Greater India (and Argoland) motion is constrained by the magnetic anomaly picks surrounding the Southwest Indian ridge (Central Indian Basin/Capricorn-Somalia) until Early Eocene time (Cande et al., 2010), then by a statistical best fit between magnetic anomalies and fracture zone traces from the Southeast, Southwest, Central Indian and the Carlsberg ridges, also considering the Neogene deformation of the Indo-Australian (Capricorn) plate (Royer and Chang, 1991).

3.2 The Eurasian margin

The geometry of the southern Eurasian continental margin is taken from the retro-deformed model proposed by van Hinsbergen et al. (2011b). Several lines of evidence indicate that the post-Triassic Eurasian margin likely consisted of the Karakoram, Qiangtang and Lhasa terranes (Fig. 6a), as discussed in Section 2. The Karakoram terrane can be linked to Gondwana based on its fossil assemblages (Sharma et al., 1980; Srivastava and Agnihotri, 2010; Xingxue and Xiuyuan, 1994). The latter authors identify Karakoram sediment source links to the Qiangtang terrane but it is also proposed as a counterpart to the dextrally-offset Lhasa block (Rolland, 2002). Correlating the Triassic-Jurassic Aghil carbonates, north and east of the Karakoram terrane, suggests an offset of ~149-167 km along the Karakoram fault, with slip-rates reaching 11 (or 7) mm/yr and fault initiation at ~15 (or 23) Ma, implying that Karakoram and Qiangtang had been one continuous terrane.
(Robinson, 2009). Exhumed, dextrally sheared gneisses, intruded by syn-kinematic leucogranites with U/Pb on zircon ages of ~23 Ma, suggest that activation of the Karakoram fault may have occurred by the Early Miocene (Lacassin et al., 2004; Leloup et al., 2011). However, only the Karakoram terrane shows high uplift-exhumation of deep crustal rocks after crustal thickening from latest Cretaceous time (Searle, 2011). The Karakoram fault, with up to ~150 km offset between exhumed granites (Searle et al., 1998) and regional rock sequences (Weinberg et al., 2000), also suggest that the Karakoram and southern Qiangtang terranes are lateral equivalents (Robinson, 2009).

We support the distinction between South Qiangtang, with Gondwanan facies (Jin, 2002; Norin, 1946; Sun, 1993), and North Qiangtang, with Cathaysian facies (Kidd et al., 1988), and attribute the latter to a preceding accretion.

Stratigraphic studies indicate that the Lhasa and South Qiangtang terranes were a continuous platform until the Late Triassic (Schneider et al., 2003) but Triassic-Jurassic bivalves in the Yeba volcanic sediments of the NE Lhasa terrane show that marine conditions prevailed by ~180 Ma (Yin and Grant-Mackie, 2005). The intervening Bangong-Nujiang Suture Zone (BNS) is dated as Late Jurassic (Dewey et al., 1988; Metcalfe, 2006) and Early Cretaceous, based on clastic strata in the north Lhasa terrane (Zhang, 2004), coeval deformation forming the Qiangtang anticline (Kapp et al., 2003) and ~120 Ma plutonism (Xu et al., 1985). Field mapping and geochronological studies near Shiquanhe (Fig. 3), far-west Lhasa terrane, uncovered the remnants of a subduction-accretion complex and forearc basin, attributed to the closure of the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous ocean (Kapp et al., 2003), or the BNS, while up to 500 km of lateral translation has been suggested by a detrital zircons provenance study from Xigaze forearc basin sediments (Aitchison et al., 2011). Given that marine deposition in the BNS (Fig. 6c) has been reported for Late Triassic-Jurassic (Yin and Grant-Mackie, 2005) and Aptian times (Baxter et al., 2009), we incorporate minor, oblique seafloor spreading between South Qiangtang and Lhasa terranes (QT and LT, Fig. 6b-e) forming the BNS from Late Jurassic to Aptian time, with subduction/closure of the BNS, and collision by Late Cretaceous time.

In the southern Lhasa terrane, uniform magnetisation across the red bed Takena Formation yielded paleolatitudes of ~12.5°N during Upper Cretaceous and Paleocene time, with a ~41.5° counterclockwise rotation, potentially hinting at an oblique margin to the northwest (Achache et al., 1984). Achache et al. (1984) suggests that the Takena Formation was located at ~13.5°N when the Linzizong Volcanics were extruded over them during 69-43 Ma, peaking at ~50 Ma (Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2004). Similar latitudes of ~14.4 ± 5.8°N were reported from 53 Ma-old mafic dykes, intruding the volcanics (Liebke et al., 2010). Another recent
paleomagnetic study for the Linzizong Group (sediments overlying the Linzizong volcanics) reported paleolatitudes of ~10°N, without rotation or latitudinal variation during their extrusion (Chen et al., 2010). However, (volcano-) sedimentary facies can be affected by inclination shallowing, leading to overestimates of crustal shortening, recent tilt-corrected paleolatitudes for the Linzizong Volcanics include 21-27°N (Tan et al., 2010), 22.8 ± 4.2°N (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2010) and 20 ± 4°N (Huang et al., 2013), with similar paleolatitude reported by further work (Huang et al., in review). Lower paleolatitudes of 13.8 ± 7.3°N were recorded for 55 Ma-old rhyolitic tuffs in the northern Lhasa terrane (Sun et al., 2010). In the central Lhasa terrane, the ~130-110 Ma-old volcanic-sedimentary Zenong Group (Zhu et al., 2008a) yield a paleolatitude of ~19.8 ± 4.6°N (Chen et al., 2012). A recent re-evaluation of published paleomagnetic data suggest that between ~110 to 50 Ma, the Lhasa terrane was located at ~20±4°N, before drifting to 29°N, its latitude since early Eocene time (Lippert et al., 2014), which fits with our modelled location for the Lhasa terrane.

Paleomagnetic data compiled from volcanic rocks in the South Qiangtang terrane suggest that it was located at ~28.7 ± 3.7°N at ~40 Ma, so that the southern margin of the Lhasa terrane may have been located as far south as ~20°N throughout Eocene time (Lippert et al., 2011). Accordingly, we locate the BNSZ at a paleolatitude of ~28°N at ~40 Ma, but position it further south at ~55 Ma, so that the mid-southern Lhasa terrane margin is coevally located at ~20°N. Northward subduction beneath the Lhasa terrane is documented by magmatism along the Trans-Himalaya Batholith, dated at ~205–152, ~109–80, ~65–41 and ~33–13 Ma (Ji et al., 2009a). The gap between 152-109 Ma could coincide with the formation of an intra-oceanic arc, discussed below.

3.3 Intra-oceanic arc(s)

The KLA has been identified as part of a Tethyan-wide intra-oceanic arc (TIA) (e.g. Bouilhol et al., 2013; Burg, 2011b; Khan et al., 2009b), which may have stretched further east along the Eurasian margin but does not outcrop as definitively beyond the Karakoram Fault (Pudsey, 1986), except for the ophiolitic fragments in the YTSZ. The intra-oceanic arc may also have reached further west, into the Mediterranean Tethysides, or alternatively initiated along a transform (Reuber, 1986), which must have existed offshore NE Africa, allowing the northward passage of India (relative to a relatively stationary Africa) from mid-Cretaceous time. Jurassic oceanic substratum (or earliest backarc seafloor) along Kohistan-Ladakh (Honegger et al., 1982; Reuber, 1989) and at Spongtang (Fuchs, 1981; Pedersen et al., 2001), also outcrops further east along the YTSZ, at the Eastern Syntaxis (Geng et al., 2006), Naga (Baxter et al., 2011), Chin Hills, near Burma (Mitchell, 1981), and Zedong and Loubusa ophiolites (McDermid et al., 2002; Mo et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2004). The earliest formation of the intra-oceanic arc, which we suggest consisted of both the KLA and
YTSZ, may have occurred at ~154 Ma based on the Matum Dass tonalite (Schaltegger et al., 2004), which is either related to an earlier arc or the onset of trench roll-back from the Karakoram margin. Early Cretaceous radiolaria near the Spongtag ophiolitic massif, south of Ladakh (Baxter et al., 2010) may also record the initial stages of KLA formation. Here, gabbros, dated at ~177 Ma, are overlain by andesitic arc rocks dated at ~88 Ma, which may indicate Turonian-Maastrichtian obduction (Pedersen et al., 2001). Two Valanginian-Aptian radiolarian faunal assemblages associated with the Spongtag ophiolite suggest that this was a long-lived (Jurassic-Cretaceous) island arc system, which sutured at post-Aptian times (Baxter et al., 2010), possibly forming in a transform setting, given relationships between two mylonite shear zones in the upper mantle sequence (Reuber, 1986).

Further evidence for the intra-oceanic arc system along the YTSZ includes the overturned Late Jurassic arc volcanic rocks named the Zedong terrane (McDermid et al., 2002), the Mid Cretaceous Bainang accretionary wedge (Ziabrev et al., 2004), and the Barremian Dazhuqu ophiolite, generated at near-equatorial latitudes (Abrajevitch et al., 2005; Ziabrev et al., 2003). The obduction of the Barremian-Aptian Dazhuqu (Ziabrev et al., 2003), Bainang (Ziabrev et al., 2004), Xigaze (Guilmette et al., 2009), Buma (Guilmette et al., 2009), Saga and Sangsang (Guilmette et al., 2011), Zhongba (Dai et al., 2011b), and Xiugugabu (Wei et al., 2006) ophiolites was suggested to have occurred due to changes in motion between Africa and India, causing intra-oceanic thrusting/fracture zone imbrication at ~110-85 Ma (Girardeau et al., 1985b), the timing of which may be related to the 105-100 Ma global plate reorganisation (Matthews et al., 2012).

If the intra-oceanic arc formed via rollback during Late Jurassic to Barremian time, northwards subduction beneath the Lhasa terrane is likely to have ceased. This is supported by the magmatic gap in the southern Lhasa terrane between 150-109 Ma (Ji et al., 2009a), when the intra-oceanic arc reached the equator (and in our model was soon to collide with Argoland). Northwards subduction beneath Eurasia (Lhasa and Karakoram) then resumed until there was a second magmatic gap at 80-65 Ma (Ji et al., 2009a), which coincides with a second phase of KLA growth i.e., the arc-normal rifting and extension that formed the Chilas Complex of Kohistan at ~85 Ma (Burg et al., 1998; Burg et al., 2006; Jagoutz et al., 2006). The subduction of young and buoyant crust at the intersection of the backarc mid-oceanic ridge and southeast Lhasa terrane (i.e. Andean-style margin along southern Eurasia/Lhasa) at ~81 Ma, may have impeded further subduction of the backarc for a time (Guo et al., 2013), with convergence between India and Eurasia mostly accommodated by subduction and rollback at the intra-oceanic arc. Subduction beneath Eurasia again resumed from ~65 Ma, forming the Linzizong Volcanics (Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2004), when
our modelled Greater India and the intra-oceanic arc are within 500 km of each other but over 1,500 km from the Eurasian continental margin (Figure 6h and i).

The timing of collision between Eurasia (Karakoram) and the intra-oceanic arc (including KLA), forming the KKSSZ, has been controversial because the suture zone was initially dated as Late Cretaceous based on 111-62 Ma intrusions within Albian-Aptian limestones (Pudsey, 1986). Two magmatic stages identified for the Kohistan Batholith, where a ~104 Ma-old deformed group was intruded by an undeformed group at ~75 Ma, also initially suggest that the KLA accreted to Eurasia between ~100 and 75 Ma (Petterson and Windley, 1985). The first evidence of obduction may be the appearance of ophiolitic detritus in sedimentary units, including the Palaeocene Chogdo Formation in Ladakh’s Zanskar Valley (Searle et al., 1990b), though this formation has since been classified as mostly Asian-derived and therefore not related to India-Eurasia collision (Henderson et al., 2010a; Wu et al., 2007). Khan et al. (2009b) instead date the KKSSZ to ~47-41 Ma, linking it to the YTSZ, which they dated at ~51 Ma. Other recent in situ geochronological and isotopic studies of zircons in the Kohistan granitoids indicate that the KLA collided with Greater India at ~50 Ma, then with Eurasia at ~40 Ma, forming the KKSSZ (Bouilhol et al., 2013). Field observations of foliated rocks within older non-foliated rocks in the batholith (Jagoutz et al., 2009), as well as evidence of continued tectonic and magmatic activity well into Eocene time (e.g. Heuberger et al., 2007), may also preclude a simple one-phase collision model.

With this evidence in mind, we initiate the intra-oceanic arc (encompassing both the KLA and YTS), from 155 Ma with rollback to the equator until ~125 Ma to match the estimated paleolatitude of the Barremian Dazhuqu ophiolite (Abrajevitch et al., 2005; Ziabrev et al., 2003) and the Trans-Himalayan Batholith magmatic gap, when there was no subduction beneath the southern Lhasa margin between ~152-109 Ma (Ji et al., 2009a). The intra-oceanic arc then gradually receded north from the equator as subduction reinitiated beneath the Eurasia mainland (coinciding with our collision between the intra-oceanic arc and Argoland, from ~100 Ma). Subduction beneath Eurasia continued until the 80-65 Ma magmatic gap (Ji et al., 2009a), when a second phase of intra-oceanic arc growth formed the Chilas Complex at ~85 Ma (Burg et al., 1998; Burg et al., 2006; Jagoutz et al., 2006). Subduction reinitiated beneath the Lhasa terrane forming the Linzizong Volcanics from ~65 Ma with a climax at ~50 Ma (Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2004). Our model features coeval, parallel northward subduction beneath both Eurasia mainland (Karakoram-Lhasa) and the intra-oceanic arc from 103-85 Ma, and 65-42 Ma.

Our model features a diachronous collision between Greater India and the intra-oceanic arc (starting near the KLA portion) by ~54 Ma thereby matching isotopic changes in the source rocks of the KLA
granitoids at ~50 Ma (Bouilhol et al., 2013), the cessation of arc volcanism in the KLA at 61 Ma (Khan et al., 2009b), and the significant drop in India-Eurasia convergence rates by 58 Ma, an indicator of initial collision and the arrival of buoyant continental crust at the intra-oceanic arc to impede subduction (Zahirovic et al., 2012). The ~50 Ma slab break-off (Lee et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2009) marginally postdates our timing for collision between India and the intra-oceanic arc (~60 Ma). The ~42 Ma cooling of a granite, intruding the southern Lhasa terrane’s Linzizong Volcanics at 52 Ma, is attributed to the end of arc magmatism, slab break-off, thrusting or thickening (He et al., 2007), and is also a good match for our modelled onset of collision between Eurasia and Greater India (~44 Ma) and a significant drop in convergence rates at ~43 Ma (Fig. 7b), accompanied by the onset of high P-T metamorphism (Zahirovic et al., 2012, and referenced therein), initiating the KKSSZ (Bouilhol et al., 2013). The second-stage collision propagated eastward, with abandonment of the Wharton Ridge, final suturing, and the end of marine sedimentation in the Indus-Tsangpo Suture Zone occurring by 34 Ma further east (Aitchison et al., 2007).

A remagnetisation event in northern Kohistan plutonic and volcanic rocks during 50-35 Ma, yielding a paleolatitude of 25 ± 6°N (Ahmad et al., 2001), which is within the limits of our location of the KLA at that time. However, equatorial paleolatitudes of 1-2° N (and considerable counter-clockwise rotation) reported for Mid-Late Cretaceous red bed formations along the KKSSZ (Zaman and Torii, 1999), can only match our position for the KLA, not Karakoram. Geological evidence suggests that Karakoram and Qiangtang, were likely continuous terranes, and the latter was likely located at ~20°N in Early Cretaceous time, as discussed in Section 3.2. South of the Kohistan Batholith, paleolatitudes of 9-13 ± 4°N were acquired from the 55-45 Ma-old Utror Volcanic Formation (Ahmad et al., 2000). Our reconstruction can only match the lower age and northern paleolatitude, unless the KLA was already accreted to Eurasia at that time.

The geometry and motion of the intra-oceanic arc, matching Early Cretaceous equatorial paleolatitudes (Abrajевич et al., 2005), combined with our motion and extent for Argoland (Gibbons et al., 2012b), suggests that Argoland and the intra-oceanic arc may have had an oblique collision, initiating in the west (KLA) from ~100 Ma (black cross, Fig. 6e), which did not begin in the east (around Timor) until ~20 Myr later.

4. TECTONIC MODEL AND DISCUSSION

Our tectonic model aims to satisfy the key geological events (tectonic, magmatic, metamorphic and sedimentary) of Southern Eurasia and the Indian Ocean, as summarised in Figs. 6, 7 and 8, and Table 1. In our preferred model, the Karakoram, South Qiangtang and Lhasa terranes rifted from
Gondwana during the Triassic or earlier, as part of Cimmeria, and accreted to Eurasia by Jurassic time, followed by initiation of northward-directed subduction beneath these newly accreted terranes (Fig. 6a). Shortly before ~155 Ma, possibly due to the subduction of a mid-oceanic ridge, slab rollback along Eurasia (possibly due to subduction of a mid-oceanic ridge) may have generated a forearc at the southern Lhasa margin, which may have incorporated the embryonic KLA, further west (Fig. 6b). The KLA does not have continental basement, so a forearc origin is likely with progressive backarc spreading separating it from the Eurasian continental margin, following the model of Stern (2010). The Matum Das (northern Kohistan) tonalite age of 154 ± 0.6 Ma (Burg, 2011a) may represent the inception of KLA. We interpret that the KLA, Dazhuqu and other Cretaceous arc remnants formed a continuous intra-oceanic subduction system approaching near-equatorial latitudes (via trench roll-back) by the mid-Cretaceous (Abrajevitch et al., 2005), which marks the maximum north-south extent of the Tethyan backarc sea (Fig. 6c-d). Supra subduction zone ophiolites and volcanic arcs obducted onto Sumatra also indicate that intra-oceanic subduction was established in the eastern Tethys during the mid Cretaceous, and we interpret a largely continuous intra-oceanic subduction zone extending across the Tethys, as per the recent study of Zahirovic et al. (2014). To avoid an intra-oceanic arc being larger than most observed today, we infer that there was one intra-oceanic subduction zone composed of two arcs originating respectively from SE Asia (Woyla) and Eurasia (KLA and YTSZ ophiolitic remnants). Their inherent arcuate geometry would imply a northward indentor at their nexus, which we locate east of the Lhasa terrane (roughly half-way between their distant terminals at KLA and Java). The indentation of India within Asia is supported by analogue and numerical models (e.g. Peltzer and tapponnier, 1988; Bajolet et al., 2013; Capitanio and Replumaz, 2013), and tomography (e.g. Richards et al., 2007; Replumaz et al., 2014). The nexus between the Woyla and YTSZ intraoceanic arcs is not necessarily a precursor to that indentation, our model suggests that NE Greater India initially docked further east, at roughly where Burma was located, prior to its northward relocation (as the Andaman Sea formed).

In the south, Jurassic continental rifting along northern Gondwana progressed to seafloor spreading via a southward jump that began to open the Argo Abyssal Plain, detaching Argoland (Fig. 6b), as well as fragments of Java, eastern Borneo and West Sulawesi, and blocks potentially belonging to Sumatra (Zahirovic et al., 2014). The oldest seafloor in the Argo Abyssal Plain, sampled by ODP Leg 123, Site 765, was dated at 155 ± 3.4 Ma (Gradstein and Ludden, 1992). Argoland drifted north and was likely offset from the western Tethys (north of Africa) via a north-trending transform fault (the potential precursor to the Owen Transform), offshore eastern Arabia. East Gondwana continued to disperse in the Early Cretaceous when Greater India, including the Gascoyne Block (GB, Fig. 6,
originally conjugate to the Exmouth Plateau, Fig. 1) began to move northward. This led to the formation of a triple junction offshore NW Australia between Australia, India and Argoland (Fig. 6c), until mid-Cretaceous time, when the arm between India and Argoland was abandoned (Gibbons et al., 2012b). Seafloor spreading between Australia and Antarctica slowly initiated from 83 Ma (chron 34) (Mutter et al., 1985), following rifting that probably initiated as early as 160 Ma (Totterdell et al., 2000) and experienced two significant changes in direction at 100 Ma and 50 Ma (Veevers, 2000; Whittaker et al., 2007; Whittaker et al., 2013). Greater India also began to separate from Madagascar from Albian-Cenomanian time (Fig. 6f), as it slowly changed motion from west to north (Gibbons et al., 2013).

Meanwhile along the Eurasian margin, the Lhasa terrane rifted from the southern Qiangtang terrane during the Jurassic (Fig. 6b) to form the Bangong-Nujiang Ocean (a backarc sea) but resumed its northward motion during the Early Cretaceous until it (re-) collided with the Qiangtang terrane (Fig. 6e), forming the BNS in the Albian (Baxter et al., 2009). Andean-style northward subduction of backarc oceanic crust, beneath the Lhasa terrane, initiated sometime in the mid-Cretaceous (110-95 Ma), marked by the onset of emplacement of Karakoram Batholith (Debon et al., 1987) and Gangdese Batholith from 103 to 80 Ma (Wen et al., 2008). We interpret that Andean-style subduction was initiated at least by 103 Ma (based on the age of pluton emplacement on the overriding plate), and that there were two north-dipping subduction zones in the Central Tethys, south of the Lhasa terrane and intra-oceanic arc (Fig. 6e). This is comparable to the present-day simultaneous subduction polarities observed along the Philippine and Izu-Bonin-Mariana trenches (Bird, 2003). Andean-style subduction along Eurasia (Lhasa and Karakoram terranes) continued until ~80 Ma, then became inactive until 65 Ma (Fig. 6g-h), possibly due to the approach of warmer, buoyant crust from the backarc mid-ocean ridge, resulting in the Gangdese magmatic gap (Chung et al., 2005; Ji et al., 2009a; Lee et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2008) (Fig. 6f-g). High-temperature metamorphism at ~81 Ma of a 90 Ma-old basaltic protolith, in the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis, supports this subducting ridge scenario (Guo et al., 2013).

If Argoland extended across the Tethys to East Arabia, the (re-) initiation of northward subduction beneath the Lhasa terrane’s southern margin coincides with the onset of diachronous collision between West Argoland and the KLA part of the intra-oceanic subduction zone, that likely spanned the entire Tethys (Fig. 6e-f). Further east, geological evidence suggests that Java, easternmost Borneo and West Sulawesi had collided with the intra-oceanic arc by 115 Ma, while collisions between Argoland fragments and the Woyla Arc (Figs. 5 and 6g) portion of the Tethyan intra-oceanic arc, likely followed in the Late Cretaceous (Zahirovic et al., 2014). Having accreted to the
intra-oceanic arc, Argoland fragments may have finally reached Burma during Campanian-Maastrichtian time (Fig. 6h). The westward extent of Argoland may have been subducted or accreted in a region that is presently geologically obscure (such as between the Himalaya and KLA, southern Lhasa terrane or West Burma), or perhaps did not extend this far west. Evidence for the accretion of Argoland is also controversial along West Burma, Sumatra, East Java, and Borneo, as the blocks have both intra-oceanic and continental origin signatures, with inherited zircons and alluvial diamonds provenances that may be attributed to northwestern Australia (Hall, 2012; Metcalfe, 2011b; Smyth et al., 2007).

The fastest convergence recorded between India and Eurasia is a full rate of up to 20 cm/yr at ~70-60 Ma (Fig. 7b) (Acton, 1999; Lee and Lawver, 1995). Paleomagnetic constraints and changes in arc volcanism suggest that contact between the KLA and Greater India occurred by at least ~50 Ma (Bouilhol et al., 2013). This coincides with the significant drop in India-Eurasia convergence from 60-50 Ma (India-Kohistan/KLA, Fig. 7b) (Zahirovic et al., 2012) and was likely due to the buoyancy of Indian continental crust impeding subduction at the intra-oceanic arc. Andean-style subduction re-initiated along southern Lhasa by 65 Ma (Fig. 6i), resulting in the onset of plutonism in the Gangdese and eruption of the Linzizong Volcanics, between 69-43 Ma, peaking at around 50 Ma (Ji et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2008). Lu-Hf ages (54.3 ± 0.6 Ma) of garnet formed during thickening of middle crustal rocks in the north-central Himalaya (Smit et al., 2014), coincide with our modeled collision between Greater India and the intra-oceanic arc (Fig. 6j). Northern Greater India (Gascoyne Block) accreted to the intra-oceanic arc near West Burma during Ypresian time (Fig. 6i). Slab break-off likely occurred at ~50 Ma (Lee et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2009), with the remnant backarc oceanic basin becoming a narrow seaway separating Greater India and Eurasia (Fig. 6j). At this time, significant changes in seafloor spreading rates and directions (Fig. 7c) occurred in the Indian Ocean (Cande et al., 2010), while the chemistry of magmatism on KLA indicates the arrival of magma contaminated with a continental source (Bouilhol et al., 2013). The ISZ formed when the KLA was obducted onto the leading edge of Greater India at ~55 Ma (Fig 6i-j).

In our model, continent-continent collision between Eurasia and Greater India (bearing the intra-oceanic arc) began in the Middle Eocene (Fig. 6k), and coincides with a second significant drop in India-Eurasia convergence rates at ~43 Ma (Fig. 7b) and reorganization of seafloor spreading in the Indian Ocean (Lee and Lawver, 1995; Zahirovic et al., 2012), coupling Indian and Australian plates (Liu et al., 1983), which began to move to north-eastward. The youngest marine sediments in the YTSZ with an age of ~34 Ma (Aitchison et al., 2007), suggest that suturing was complete and the YTSZ, with the KKSSZ as its western continuation, was raised above sea-level after Eocene time.
Greater Indian mantle lithosphere may have continued to subduct and delaminate (Capitanio et al., 2010) or breakoff beneath Eurasia at ~15 Ma (Capitanio and Replumaz, 2013; Replumaz et al., 2010). Oligocene-Miocene metamorphic rocks of the Mogok metamorphic belt, exposed along the Sagaing Fault, where Burma travelled dextrally from the Early Miocene at present-day slip-rates of 18 mm/yr (Maurin et al., 2010), suggest that the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis migrated northward (Bertrand et al., 1999), forming the pull-apart central Burmese basins within a transtensional shear zone.

4.1 Argoland

The Argo Abyssal Plain (Fig. 1) is Jurassic-Early Cretaceous seafloor, situated adjacent the passive margin of NW Australia, as well as the volcanic Joey and Roo Rises (e.g. Fullerton et al., 1989; Gibbons et al., 2012b; Heine and Müller, 2005b). There is no evidence for Late Jurassic south-dipping subduction along northern Gondwana, or that this oceanic crust formed as a backarc basin - the two drillsites in the Argo Abyssal Plain (ODP 261 and 765) reach a Jurassic tholeiitic basalt sill, overlying basement, and pillow basalt (Gradstein, 1992; Veevers et al., 1974). Two-dimensional numerical stochastic basin modeling suggests that a yield-strength minimum, thermally enhanced by heating from a mantle plume (of which Joey and Roo Rises could be a potential remnant), may cause a passive-margin segment to become isolated as a spreading ridge relocates to a zone of weakness along the landward edge of a rifted margin, thereby isolating another microcontinental terrane (Müller et al., 2002), in this case Argoland.

Argoland has been considered to form part of the Sikuleh (Fig. 5), West Burma and West Sulawesi continental fragments, which supplied sediments to northwest Timor during the Triassic and Jurassic until it separated from NW Australia (Metcalfe, 1996). Geochronological studies show that the Malay Peninsula, Thailand and Indochina have remained uplifted throughout the Cenozoic following a thermo-tectonic event at ~90 Ma (Hall, 2002), which precedes our modelled accretion of East Argoland there by ~10 million years. Also, at ~87 Ma, the volcanic and imbricated oceanic Woyla Group was intruded by the Manunggal Batholith, a composite of leucogranodiorite, granodiorite, granite and pyroxene-quartz diorite, at Natal in southwest-central Sumatra (Kanao, 1971, unpublished but quoted in Barber 2000). This may correspond to our modelled accretion of eastern Argoland, as the fragment became trapped in the eastern part of the SE Asian intra-oceanic subduction trench from ~85 Ma. A Late Cretaceous oblique collision between the intra-oceanic arc and west-central Argoland may also account for the Dazhuqu metamorphic soles, dated at ~90-80 Ma (Malpas et al., 2003), as our model show Greater India almost 3000 km south of the equator at that time.
The accretion history along West Burma and Sumatra are poorly constrained and controversial, and West Burma has been referred to as Argoland (Metcalfe, 2006) and previously considered to have accreted to Burma in the Late Cretaceous (Heine and Müller, 2005b). However, a more recent study (Metcalfe, 2011b) suggests that West Burma had already accreted by Triassic times and therefore cannot be Argoland. The Mesozoic Gondwanan origin for West Burma is disputed by recently identified Permian Cathaysian facies in northwest Burma, resulting in an alternative kinematic reconstruction that places Argoland’s final destination along Java and east Borneo (Hall, 2012; Metcalfe, 2011b). However, these models require the initiation of a 1,500 km transform fault across pre-existing Tethyan seafloor, and several closely-spaced parallel subduction zones with alternating polarities through time. We do not adopt this model since such a configuration of plate boundaries is arguably overly complex and unlikely, as such large areas of oceanic crust are too rheologically robust to spontaneously develop into a large transform cross-cutting pre-existing tectonic fabrics.

The Late Jurassic uplift erosional unconformity shared between the Indo-Burmese Ranges (Mitchell, 1993) and NW Australian shelf (Gradstein, 1992; von Rad et al., 1992), and Triassic Halobia bivalve affinities between Timor and NW Australia (Charlton et al., 2009), may yet support a link to Argoland. We propose that Argoland was probably a thinned, continental fragment, that became obliquely embedded in the intra-oceanic arc trench from ~100-85 Ma which, if it existed further west, collided with Greater India from the Mid-Paleocene, then with Eurasia during the Mid-Eocene, possibly destroying any remaining direct evidence of the continental fragment.

4.2 Implications of the 100 Ma global plate reorganization on Tethyan evolution

Fracture zone bends in the Wharton Basin (Fig. 1) indicate a significant change in seafloor spreading directions and up to 50° clockwise rotation of the spreading system between India and Australia in the mid Cretaceous (Müller et al., 1998). The age of the resulting fracture zone bends in the Wharton Basin has been constrained by assuming constant seafloor spreading rates during the Cretaceous Normal Superchron, and interpolating the ages along the fracture zones to obtain an estimate of ~97 Ma (Müller et al., 1998), while microfossil evidence at nearby DSDP Site 256 indicates a minimum uppermost Albian age, representing basement age of 101 ± 1 Ma (Davie et al., 1974). The fracture zone bends in the Wharton Basin have been constrained to ~102 to 97 Ma, a time that is consistent with other globally-contemporaneous fracture zone bends at ~100 Ma (Matthews et al., 2011; Matthews et al., 2012). Similarly, by assuming constant seafloor spreading rates during the Cretaceous Normal Superchron whilst incorporating geological and geophysical data from the western Indian Ocean (Fig. 1) to model the breakup between India and Madagascar, the bend can be
modelled at 100 Ma via an anticlockwise component to India’s rotation, which continued until their final breakup at ~83 Ma (Gibbons et al., 2013).

The tectonic driving mechanism for the significant change in seafloor spreading direction at ~100 Ma in the Indian Ocean has been poorly explored. In the context of our plate motion model, we suggest that the change in India’s trajectory was likely a result of changing plate boundary configurations along southern Eurasia in the mid Cretaceous. Based on geological evidence, outlined in Sections 2.5, 2.8 and 2.9, we model intra-oceanic subduction of the MesoTethys in the Early Cretaceous, and invoke the inception of an additional subduction zone along southern Lhasa from ~103 Ma (Fig. 6e), increasing northward slab pull and suction forces on the Indian Plate due to the descending Bangong-Nujiang slab in the upper mantle. The renewed subduction along southern Lhasa at this time resulted in two contemporaneous north-dipping subduction zones in the NeoTethys, much like the present-day Pacific-Eurasia convergence across the Philippine Sea Plate in Southeast Asia. The two north-dipping subduction zones in the central Tethys may have generated an increased northward slab pull on the Indian Plate during mid Cretaceous time to result in a change of seafloor spreading orientation from NW-SE to dominantly N-S, observable in the bending motion path between India and Eurasia (Fig. 7a), as well as in the fracture zone bends of the Wharton Basin (Matthews et al., 2012).

Further east in the Woyla segment along the West Burma and Sumatra blocks, we propose a similar scenario for two contemporaneous north-dipping subduction zones. The renewal of Andean-style subduction and forearc formation along West Burma and Sumatra is interpreted to have occurred soon after onset of subduction along southern Lhasa, and is constrained by the 95 ± 2 Ma supra-subduction zone Andaman ophiolites (Pedersen et al., 2010). We invoke the collision of Gondwana-derived continents (corresponding in our model to Argoland) along the Woyla Arc from ~100 Ma, which may have propagated compressive stresses northward to drive Woyla back-arc closure from this time. Hence we interpret that the global plate reorganization event at ~100 Ma manifests itself most strongly in the Indian Ocean, as a major reorganization of seafloor spreading resulting from increased slab pull driven by contemporaneous double north-dipping subduction zones along southern Eurasia.

4.3 Greater India and its collision(s)

A recent review paper (Chatterjee et al., 2013) summarising the evolution of India since it was part of Gondwana favoured collision with the KLA at ~85 Ma, closing the ‘IndoTethys’ (which we interpret as the Tethyan backarc sea) and forming the ISZ, before a final collision with the Eurasian
mainland at ~50 Ma, inline with a drastic slowdown of India around the Early Eocene. The ~85 Ma suturing between KLA and India would be contemporaneous with the mid Cretaceous obduction of the Semail ophiolite in Oman (Smewing et al., 1991), supporting an east-west continuous intraoceanic subduction zone during Cretaceous time (Chatterjee et al., 2013). The mid Cretaceous obduction of the Semail ophiolite may instead be related to the change in plate motion of Greater India as it rifted away from Madagascar (from south to north) and began migrating north towards Eurasia. The Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous ages for the Masirah ophiolite ages (Smewing et al., 1991) generally match the breakup between India and Australia-Antarctica. Another rationale for the ~85 Ma KLA-India collision model (Chatterjee et al., 2013) was that it may have formed a land bridge for the migration of several groups of Maastrichtian dinosaurs between India and Africa (Chatterjee and Scotese, 2010). Our geometric configuration of the KLA allows it to come within 500 km of the Arabian COB at ~80 Ma, but only Western Argoland (if it extended that far west) was close enough to collide with KLA, as India was nearly 2000 km further south at this time, though only within ~700 km of the Somali plate (the closes part of Africa at that time, Fig. 6g-h) and may have come within ~300 km of Arabia at ~58 Ma (Fig. 6i-j). Though we support the notion of a continuous eastwest-trending Cretaceous intraoceanic subduction zone, other recent studies of the KLA magmatism suggest that collision between Greater India and KLA took place by either ~61 Ma (Khan et al., 2009a) or at ~50 Ma (Bouilhol et al., 2013). Our model, which is also based on the paleolatitudes and geometric/tectonic of Greater India and KLA (described in this section, and 2.3 and 3.3, Fig. 8 and Tables 1 and 2), accordingly features a diffuse collision between 61 and 50 Ma (more certainly by ~54 Ma).

Many studies date the onset of India-Eurasia continent-continent collision to ~55-50 Ma (Garzanti et al., 1987; Leech et al., 2005; Sciuunnach and Garzanti, 2012; e.g. Searle et al., 1987). An abrupt change from Upper Cretaceous Xigaze marine flysch to Eocene Qiuwa conglomerates, the latter originating from the Gangdese Batholith, was interpreted as a product of the India-Eurasia collision (Searle et al., 1987). However, stratigraphic relationships indicate an Upper Oligocene-Lower Miocene age for the conglomerates (Aitchison et al., 2002b). Early evidence of India-Eurasia collision could be the appearance of ophiolitic detritus in sedimentary units, including the Palaeocene Chogdo Formation in the Zanskar Valley (Searle et al., 1990b), yet this formation has been classified as mostly Asian-derived and therefore not related to Indian collision (Henderson et al., 2010a; Wu et al., 2007). Aitchison et al. (2007) suggested a 34 Ma India-Eurasia collision with the identification of Lower Eocene marine sediments in the Pengqu Formation, central YTSZ (Wang et
al., 2002). The lack of Indian-plate input in the ~50 Ma-old Indus Basin sedimentary rocks, between India and KLA (Henderson et al., 2010b), may also support a younger collision.

We suggest that the initial continent-continent collision, based on the paleolatitudes and configurations of Greater India and the Eurasia mainland (described in this section, sections 3.1 and 3.2, Fig. 8 and Tables 1 and 2), began by 44 ± 2 Ma, as supported by several lines of evidence. Constraints from marine geophysical data shed light on the collision, with significant slowdown in seafloor spreading from ~52 to 43 Ma on the Central and Southeast Indian Ridge and a significant change in spreading direction from ~43 Ma (Cande et al., 2010), which may be linked to continent-continent collision impeding subduction and changing the stress field acting on the Indian plate. Earlier magnetic investigations (Krishna et al., 1995; Liu et al., 1983) in the Wharton Basin inferred that the seafloor spreading in the Wharton Basin ceased shortly after Anomaly 20 (~42 Ma) and the spreading centre jumped south between Australia (Broken Ridge) and Antarctica (Kerguelen Plateau), creating a single Indo-Australian Plate. Recent magnetic investigation in the Australian Southern Ocean (Whittaker et al., 2013) revealed an acceleration in the spreading rate of Australia-Antarctica seafloor spreading system at ~43 Ma, suggesting extinction of Wharton spreading centre at this time so that the northward slab pull from subduction along the Java-Sunda trench was transferred across the entire Indo-Australian Plate to drive faster Australia-Antarctica seafloor spreading. These events of extinction in the Wharton Basin and acceleration of Australia-Antarctica spreading rate, which occurred during ~42-44 Ma, were generally considered as a response of continent-continent collision between India and Eurasia.

Two recent publications (Jacob et al., 2014; Krishna et al., 2012) used detailed and improved magnetic anomaly identifications of the Wharton Basin from an updated compilation of magnetic profiles. Both these studies confirm that Anomaly 20n (42.5 - 43.8 Ma) is the youngest identifiable magnetic anomaly in the Wharton Basin, but they differ in the interpreted timing of spreading cessation in the Wharton Basin. While Krishna et al. (2012) inferred the timing of extinction of Wharton Basin spreading as ‘soon after middle Eocene anomaly 19’, Jacob et al. (2014) inferred the timing of extinction specifically at 36.5 Ma. Jacob et al. (2014) used the analytic signal technique and plate reconstructions to determine precise locations of younger and older boundaries of each normal-polarity blocks, and therefore provided an age map with higher resolution. Their seafloor age map clearly shows that Anomaly 20ny (42.5 Ma) is the youngest magnetic anomaly which can be identified and delineated in the Wharton Basin but that a considerable area of crust exists between the younger bound of anomaly 20n in the southern flank of the Wharton Basin (Australian Plate) and its conjugate in the northern flank of the Wharton Basin (Indian Plate). Due to the decreasing
spreading rate and increasing tectonic and magmatic complexity, it is difficult to decipher magnetic anomalies at the fossil spreading centre. Consequently, they interpreted the extinct axis as Anomaly 18ny (38 Ma), although it is possible to consider a reduced spreading activity up to Anomaly 15 (35 Ma).

Though the exact timing of spreading cessation proposed by Jacob et al. (2014) is not very well constrained, Wharton Basin spreading appears to have continued for a few million years after Anomaly 20ny (42.5 Ma), which is younger than our proposed continent-continent collision timing of 44 ± 2 Ma, derived from several lines of geological evidence from the collision zone, and marine geophysical evidence. This observation necessitates a reassessment of the relationship between the Wharton Basin spreading extinction (considerably younger than 42.5 Ma), accelerated motion between Australia and Antarctica (43 Ma) (Whittaker et al., 2013) and our proposed onset of India-Eurasia continent-continent collision at 44 ± 2 Ma. All these pieces of information are compatible when we consider a two-stage continent-continent collision between India and Eurasia, i.e., ‘soft (initial continent-continent) collision’ by 44 ± 2 Ma and ‘hard (complete continent-continent) collision’ by ~38-35 Ma, due to the increasing presence of buoyant cratonic crust in the collision zone (and/or a slab detachment event that caused a slowdown in India’s northward motion).

Our study differs from the more conventional concept of a single India-Eurasia collision, in favour of a multistage collision (e.g. Zahirovic et al., 2012; Hafkenschied et al., 2006; Guillot et al., 2003), where the arc-continent and continent-continent collisions both need to be considered as gradual processes, composed of different stages. Greater India-Eurasia collision is likely to have had two (or more) stages, starting when only the west-central part of northern Greater India collided with continental Eurasia at 44 ± 2 Ma, resulting a slowing down of spreading in the central Indian and southeast Indian ridges (and perhaps the Wharton Basin spreading centre), and accelerating spreading centre in the Antarctica-Australia spreading system. During this time, part of the NeoTethys Ocean still existed, leaving a gap in the east between Greater India and southeastern part of Eurasia (roughly where Burma or the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis is today). The northeastward movement of Greater India might have continued after 44 ± 2 Ma in the same direction and arrived at a stage of final continent-continent collision, completing the continental contact between Greater India and Eurasia. This final stage of collision and the end of marine sedimentation in the Indus-Tsangpo Suture Zone might have occurred by 34 Ma in the east (Aitchison et al., 2007). The inferred timing of extinction for Wharton Basin spreading (Jacob et al., 2014) is several million years younger than Anomaly 20ny (42.5 Ma) and therefore, the cessation of spreading in the Wharton Basin likely occurred during ‘hard’ (near complete) continent-continent collision stage sometime
between 44 ± 2 Ma and 34 Ma. This timeframe may also represent an episode of continental lithosphere subduction as proposed by Capitanio and Replumaz (2013).

Independent of the marine geophysical observations, the history of deformation on the continents also supports a continental collision underway by 44 ± 2 Ma. The majority of crustal thickening in the Tethyan Himalaya occurred by 44.1 ± 1.2 Ma based on the age of younger undeformed granitoid bodies constrained by U–Pb zircon dating (Aikman et al., 2008). We postulate the same for the Tibetan Plateau, where exhumation and cooling of Tibetan peneplain granitoids occurred between 70 and ~55 Ma (Hetzel et al., 2011), and the plateau likely spanned the entire margin by 45 Ma (Rohrmann et al., 2012). The Bengal fan system became active (albeit with lesser channel activity) as early as Eocene time (Bastia et al., 2010), and the influx of clastic sediment from the Himalayas and Indo-Burman Ranges, signalling a major switch in sedimentation pattern over the Bengal Basin during the Mid Eocene, may also be a result of that final collision (Alam et al., 2003). Late Eocene (~38 Ma) Himalayan-derived sediments found in the Bengal Basin (onset of vigorous Himalayan erosion), makes a diachronous collision seem less likely, unless older Himalayan-derived sediments were deposited earlier in the west, and the authors point out that older material may have deposited elsewhere (Aikman et al., 2008). The Late Eocene Himalayan-derived sediments, being the oldest identified, may preclude a younger India-Eurasia hard collision, as does the ~35 Ma onset of extrusion tectonics (Leloup et al., 2001; Leloup et al., 2007), with activation of the NW–SE trending Red River–Ailao Shan shear zone between Indochina and South China, accommodating several hundred kilometers of sinistral motion (Lee and Lawver, 1995; Tapponnier et al., 1990). In addition, the oldest eclogites reported in the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis bear ages of ~38 Ma, which likely indicate that continental collision was well under way by the Late Eocene (Kellett et al., 2014).

Further west, Petterson and Windley (1985) dated the ISZ as forming in the Eocene, based on Eocene fossils, sediments and calc-alkaline lavas overlying the Kohistan Batholith, but they also dated layered aplite-pegmatite sheets intruding the batholith at ~34 and 29 Ma, suggesting they were post-collisional. In our model, their Eocene ISZ coincides with the collision between India and the intra-oceanic arc, and then their final collision with Eurasia. The ~54-40 Ma calc-alkaline plutons forming two thirds of the Kohistan Batholith (Petterson and Windley, 1985) suggests that oceanic subduction continued here until 40 Ma, which post-dates our modelled Early Eocene KLA-India collision, unless there was southward subduction of the backarc basin beneath Kohistan (the plutons were described in northern Kohistan). The cessation of Linzizong volcanic and granitoid emplacement by ~43 Ma (Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2004) supports the end of Andean-style volcanism along southern Lhasa and the onset of continent-
continent collision by ~44 ± 2 Ma. If the calc-alkaline Linzizong volcanics represent Andean-style subduction of oceanic lithosphere (Coulon et al., 1986; Zhou et al., 2001), then the cessation of magmatism by ~43 Ma would indicate the completion of oceanic subduction and initiation of continental lithosphere subduction and underthrusting of Indian crust. Treloar et al. (1996) also reported that plutons intruded the KLA (extruding basaltic through to rhyolitic volcanic rocks) until 40 Ma, before the Indus confluence granite sheets were emplaced at ~34 ±14 Ma. Our model features a two-stage collision between Greater India and intra-oceanic arc by ~54 Ma, though it may have been ongoing until the initial continental collision between Greater India and Eurasia at ~44 Ma, which respectively coincide with a spreading rate decrease and minima in the convergence rates (Figs. 7b and c), as recorded in the seafloor spreading histories (e.g. Cande et al. 2010). The convergence rate decrease, starting ~52 Ma and resulting in the ~43 Ma spreading rate minima, is a good match to our collision between Greater India and the KLA, and then Eurasia (Fig. 6i-j). We interpret that collision between Greater India (bearing KLA) and Eurasia formed the ISZ and the second phase of the YTSZ, the latter having already partly formed in the west, following Early Eocene collision between Greater India and the intra-oceanic arc.

Notwithstanding the KLA, India’s northern margin (Zanskar Himalaya, Fig. 4), has no stratigraphic record of ophiolite detritus before Eocene time (Garzanti et al., 1987), but ~500 m uplift is recorded in the distal Tertiary succession preserved beneath the Spongtag Ophiolite in the Early Eocene (Sciumnach and Garzanti, 2012). Our model also features an Early Eocene collision between NE Greater India’s promontory, called the Gascoyne Block (Gibbons et al., 2012a), and West Burma, which matches the emplacement of a ~50 Ma granitic batholith (Fan and Ko, 1994), though the Gascoyne Block may have accreted to the intra-oceanic arc instead. The Gascoyne Block would likely have been consumed by Greater India’s collision with Burma, featured in our model at ~43 Ma, and now may underlie the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis. The considerable reduction in India’s northward motion at ~52 Ma (Cande and Stegmaier, 2011) corresponds in our model not only to collision between the KLA and Greater India but also collision between Burma (or the intra-oceanic arc) and the Gascoyne Block (Fig. 6i-j).

The reduced extent of Greater India and the paleolatitudinal difference between it and the Eurasian margin through time, as explained in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, can also constrain the timing of final collision. Indian northern margin sediments, dated at Late Maastrichtian (~66 Ma) and mid-late Paleocene (~60 Ma), give paleolatitudes between 5.7°S and 4°N (Patzelt et al., 1996), which were recalculated to 4.9 ± 2.8°S (van Hinsbergen et al., 2012). Paleocene marine sediment paleopoles imply that the Tethyan Himalaya were located around ~4.7 ± 4.4 °S between ~62–56 Ma (Yi et al.,
which were recalculated to 8.7 ± 1.7°N (van Hinsbergen et al., 2012). Latest Paleocene limestones in the Tethyan Himalaya (near Tingri, Mt Everest), record paleolatitudes between ~5-10°N (Besse et al., 1984). These are all considerably further south than the majority of paleolatitudes reported for the Eurasian margin for the same time period, indicating that India and Eurasia did not collide in pre-Eocene times. For example, the Linzizong Volcanics along the southern Lhasa terrane record paleolatitudes of 21-27°N (Tan et al., 2010), 22.8 ± 4.2°N (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2010) and 20 ± 4°N (Huang et al., 2013), or even ~12.5°N (Achache et al., 1984) and ~14.4 ± 5.8°N (Liebke et al., 2010). These results suggest that the Lhasa terrane was further north than the Tethyan Himalaya during the Paleocene-Eocene. The most recent re-evaluation of published paleomagnetic data suggest that the Lhasa terrane was located at ~20 ± 4°N between ~110 to 50 Ma (Lippert et al., 2014). This matches our motion for the Lhasa terrane and a collision with Greater India from 44 Ma ± 1 Ma. Complete closure of the Tethys at the Eocene-Oligocene boundary (~34 Ma) would coincide with several significant climatic events, including abrupt cooling and glaciation in Antarctica (DeConto and Pollard, 2003; Zachos and Kump, 2005), the disappearance of playa lake deposits in the northeastern Tibetan plateau (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2007), and cooling and aridification in Asia (Ivany et al., 2000). Tibetan uplift was previously invoked to explain these events but was likely already concluded by 38 Ma (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2008), or over 10 million years earlier (Hetzel et al., 2011). Amphibole data from the western Nanga Parbat (Western Himalayan Syntaxis) indicate regional cooling through 500ºC at 25 ± 5 Ma (Treloar et al., 2000). This coincides with a peak in metamorphism at the Namche Barwa (Eastern Syntaxis), though the age range for the metamorphic zircons is 30-8 Ma, followed by retrograde metamorphism at ~18 Ma (Su et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012c). Though not obvious in our model, this age range may suggest a diachronous collision between India and Eurasia, progressing from west to east over 8 Myr, and coincides with an anti-clockwise component to India’s motion as well as a 40% Indian plate deceleration between 20 and 10 Ma (Molnar and Stock, 2009), and initiation of Burma’s northward transfer. There may have been a north-bound transform fault offsetting/dividing the intra-oceanic arc between the Central Tethys and SE Asian portions, so that the Gascoyne Block (NE Greater India), then Greater India’s eastern edge were subducted (eastward) somewhere near West Burma.

4.4 Implications of India-Eurasia convergence for mantle structure

The long-term India-Eurasia convergence consumed the equatorial Meso- and Neo- Tethyan ocean basins, leaving slab remnants in the upper- and mid-mantle that can be imaged using mantle seismic tomography (Hafkenscheid et al., 2006; Replumaz et al., 2004; Van der Voo et al., 1999). The first-order interpretations aim to characterise the active Eurasian margin as a purely Andean-style
convergent margin with a single subduction zone consuming Tethyan lithosphere, or alternatively as one requiring an additional intra-oceanic subduction zone. Based on the number of interpreted discrete slab volumes in P-wave seismic tomography (Bijwaard et al., 1998), and the large latitudinal spread of interpreted slabs ranging from equatorial latitudes to the present-day suture zone at ~40°N, led Van der Voo et al. (1999) to infer intra-oceanic subduction of the NeoTethys with a two-stage collision between Greater India and an island arc, followed by the terminal continent-continent collision. The numerical analysis of slab volumes by Hafkenscheid et al. (2006) also supported an intra-oceanic subduction scenario, and suggested an average slab sinking rate of 3 cm/yr in the upper mantle, and 2 cm/yr in the lower mantle. The reconstructed positions of Eurasia and Southeast Asia superimposed on P-wave tomography depth slices by Replumaz et al. (2004) suggested a sinking rate of 5 and 2 cm/yr in the upper and lower mantle, respectively. Global mantle flow models, with a kinematic surface boundary condition, were used by Zahirovic et al. (2012) to test the two competing hypotheses of long-term Andean subduction consuming Tethyan lithosphere as opposed to a scenario that invokes both intra-oceanic and Andean-style subduction in the NeoTethys. The results of the numerical modelling indicated that the large latitudinal spread of slab material in the mid-mantle can better be accounted for by intra-oceanic subduction, as well as reconciling geological evidence of island arc volcanism (Bouilhol et al., 2013; Burg, 2011), intra-oceanic supra-subduction zone ophiolites (Aitchison et al., 2007; Aitchison et al., 2000; McDermid et al., 2002) and a two-stage slowdown in India-Eurasia convergence.

Age-coding of horizontal tomography slices using average vertical sinking rates is presented in Figure 9 using end-member sinking rate estimates of 3 and 1.2 cm/yr in the upper and lower mantle, respectively, following Zahirovic et al. (2012), and 5 and 2 cm/yr for the upper and lower mantle, respectively, following Replumaz et al. (2004). The slab material (blue regions) in the P-wave seismic tomography model (Li et al., 2008) highlights the Eurasian slab burial grounds from long-term subduction of Tethyan and (proto-) Pacific lithosphere that is largely beneath the reconstructed position of east and southeast Asia when applying the faster sinking rates (right column, Fig. 9). Geological evidence for established intra-oceanic arc volcanism in the Cretaceous, as well as a larger latitudinal range of slab material at mid-mantle depths, is compatible with intra-oceanic subduction in the NeoTethys and a two-stage India-Eurasia collision. However, the remaining controversy revolves around the paleo-latitudinal motion of the intra-oceanic subduction zone, as well as the eastward extent into the YTSZ. Addressing these issues will require progress in tomographic techniques to image the mantle beneath oceanic regions with improved resolution, in addition to
more geological data collection and geodynamic modelling to test a wider range of possible convergence scenarios.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A new, regional tectonic model, incorporating a revision of potential field data in all the abyssal plains off West Australia and Antarctica (Fig. 1) was used to constrain the motion of the continental blocks that formed the NeoTethys, helping recreate the morphology of this ocean basin including features such as fracture zones and submerged plateaus (Fig. 1), in a self-consistent way (Gibbons et al., 2012b; Gibbons et al., 2013). Dredged and dated Jurassic gabbro offshore NW Australia (Fig. 1) led to redefining the northern limits of Greater India to include a narrow indenter (Gascoyne Block) which was originally juxtaposed to the Exmouth Plateau (Fig. 1), off NW Australia, and an extended continental sliver (Argoland), originally located adjacent to the northern margins of both Greater India and Australia. Constrained by the geological and geophysical data described in this paper, these geometries have implications for the timing of collision between Argoland, Greater India, the Eurasian (Figs. 2, 3 and 4) and SE Asian margins (Fig. 5), and any associated oceanic arcs, of which there are outcrops in the southern/youngest suture zones surrounding India’s northern margin. Our model (Fig. 6) also reconciles magmatic gaps along southern Tibet between ~150-100 Ma (rollback of the intra-oceanic arc) and ~80-65 Ma (approach of the intra-oceanic arc mid-oceanic ridge).

We argue that the lack of direct evidence for collision between Eurasia and Argoland is because Argoland first collided with a Tethyan intra-oceanic arc, which originated from the Eurasia margin (Lhasa and Karakoram) from Late Jurassic time. In our model, the intra-oceanic arc includes the KLA and YTSZ supra-subduction zone ophiolites. Paleomagnetic studies indicate that the intra-oceanic arc reached equatorial latitudes in the mid-Early Cretaceous where we model that the western portion of Argoland first began to collide obliquely from ~100 Ma, roughly 20 Myr earlier than the collision between eastern Argoland and the Southeast Asian intra-oceanic arc (Woyla). Further south, Greater India and the Gascoyne Block (northeast Greater India), having separated from Gondwana from ~136 Ma, migrated northwest until a spreading reorganization in the Albian caused India’s separation from Madagascar and northward motion. India collided with the intra-oceanic arc at ~54 Ma, likely destroying evidence of Argoland. The Gascoyne Block either reached the intra-oceanic arc or West Burma at ~50 Ma. Greater India, bearing the intra-oceanic arc (including the KLA), accreted to the Eurasian margin from ~44 Ma, possibly diachronously from west to east, and continued to deform/subduct beneath Eurasia.
We present this self-consistent, plate kinematic model as a best-fit scenario to a large number of (sometimes contradictory) Eurasian, Southeast Asian, Tethyan, and Indian Ocean constraints (Fig. 8, Tables 1 and 2), in the hope that it may be utilised and improved by future studies.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Map featuring the present-day age grid of the Indian Ocean (see key), including the main tectonic boundaries (thick black lines) based on Bird (2003), and geophysical data used to constrain the relative motion between the continental components of East Gondwana. These include magnetic anomaly picks (blue triangles), subduction zones (teethed pink lines), thrust faults (theethed black lines), and fracture zones (thin black lines, digisited from Matthews et al., 2011) offshore western Australia and East Antarctica, created by the motion between Argoland, Greater India, Australia and Antarctica. AP is Argos Abyssal Plain (labelled to the left), EP is Exmouth Plateau, KF is Kerguelen Fracture Zone, M is Madagascar, MB is Mascarene Basin, OT is Owen Transform (thick black line, labelled to the left), WB is Wharton Basin, the Wallaby-Zenith Fracture Zone (WZFZ) runs from SW Australia along the southern margins of the Wallaby (W) and Zenith (Z) plateaus. The blue star off western Australia (west of Wharton Basin) indicates the location of the Jurassic gabbro sample dredged during the CHIRIS research cruise (Gibbons et al., 2012), providing a kinematic constraint on the extent of Greater India, as described in the text.

Figure 2. Regional tectonic map of Southern Eurasia, including fracture zones (brown, Matthews et al., 2011), ophiolites (magenta, Hutchison, 1975; Zahirovic et al., 2014) and continental faults (thin grey, Hearn et al., 2003), plotted on a topography-bathymetry basemap (Amante et al., 2009). Also showing the sinistral Altyn Tagh Fault (ATF), Anyimaqen-Kunlun-Muztagh Suture Zone (AKMSZ), Bangong-Nujiang Suture Zone (BNSZ), Indus Suture Zone (ISZ), Jinsha Suture Zone (JSZ), dextral Karakoram Fault (KF), Karakoram-Kohistan-Shyok Suture Zone (KKSSZ), Main Boundary Thrust (MBT), Nanga Parbat/ West Himalaya Syntaxis (NP), Namche Barwa/ East Himalaya Syntaxis (NB), Rushan Pshart Suture Zone (RPSZ), Sri Lanka (SL), Tanyamas Suture Zone (TSZ), West Burma (WB), and Yarlung-Tsangpo Suture Zone (YTSZ).

Figure 3. Main tectonic and magmatic features of Southern Eurasia, with approximate locations of ophiolites, age color-coded, left. Other details are as in Figure 2.

Figure 4. Main geological units of the Kohistan-Ladakh Arc (KLA) with approximate locations of volcanic and sedimentary formations, and metamorphic features on a free-air gravity anomaly basemap (Sandwell and Smith, 2009). Ophiolites are adapted from Hébert et al. (2012) and fault geometries are from Styron et al. (2010). ISZ is the Indus Suture Zone, KKSSZ is the Karakoram-Kohistan-Shyok Suture Zone.

Figure 5. Regional tectonic map of Southeast Asia, including West Burma (WB) and Sri Lanka (SL). Plate boundaries and subduction zones (black and red, respectively, Bird, 2003). Other details are as in Figure 2. Woyla, Natal, Sikuleh batholith, and West Burma may be the best candidates for remnants of Argoland.

Figure 6. Plate reconstructions (orthographic projection on centre co-ordinate 15°S, 90°E) showing oceanic lithosphere age, transforms/MORs (black), subduction zones (magenta), continental extents (grey) and reconstructed present-day coastlines (khaki). Blue triangles in oceanic crust indicate magnetic picks, outlining preserved seafloor. A = Argoland, ANT = Antarctic Plate, AFR = Africa, AUS = Australian Plate, BNO = Bangong-Nujiang Ocean, EG = East Gondwana, EUR = Eurasian Plate, GB = Gascoyne Block (north Greater India), GI = Greater India, IND = Indian Plate, I-A =
a) 160 Ma: continental rifting along northern Gondwana margin coincided with Tethyan subduction along southern Eurasia (including Lhasa terrane) via a north-dipping subduction zone. Soon after, PaleoTethys seafloor spreading ceased, which lead to increased slab-pull along the northern Gondwana margin, promoting the onset of slab rollback.

b) 154 Ma: continued slab-rollback generated a forearc at the southern Lhasa margin, the embryonic Kohistan-Ladakh Arc (KLA) and its eastern continuation, the Tethyan intra-oceanic arc (TIA). Continental rifting along northern Gondwana and a southward jump in seafloor spreading detached a number of continental fragments, including Argoland. The Lhasa terrane also begins to drift obliquely from the Eurasian margin (Qiangtang terrane), forming a narrow seaway (Bangong-Nujiang Ocean, BNO).

c-d) 130-112 Ma: KLA, Dazhuqu and other Cretaceous arc remnants from the TIA currently situated along Southeast Asia, formed a continuous intra-oceanic subduction system, which approached its maximum north-south extent of near-equatorial latitudes by mid-Cretaceous time. Seafloor spreading in the Tethys was synchronous to rifting between India and Australia-Antarctica, resulting in a triple junction forming off the NW Australian shelf during Early Cretaceous time. The Lhasa terrane (re)accretes to southern Qiangtang terrane, closing the Bangong-Nujiang Ocean (BNO).

e) 103 Ma: north-dipping Andean-style subduction initiated south of the Lhasa terrane, in parallel with the TIA. The (re)initation of subduction beneath the Lhasa terrane coincides with the onset of diachronous collision between western Argoland and the KLA part of the TIA (black star), the latter may have already collided with SE Asia, further east.

f-g) 90-84 Ma: Andean-style subduction along southern Lhasa became inactive between ~85 and 65 Ma, possibly due to the TIA spreading axis resisting subduction.

h) 75 Ma: Argoland sutured to Sumatra by ~70 Ma though its westward extent was likely subducted (or accreted in presently-obscure geology) earlier along the TIA, if the continental sliver extended that far west.

i) 61 Ma: Andean-style subduction re-initiated along southern Lhasa by 65 Ma, forming the batholith and Linzizong Volcanics. The Indus suture zone (ISZ) formed as the KLA obducted onto the leading edge of Greater India.

j) 52 Ma: buoyant Indian continental crust stalls intra-oceanic subduction after 52 Ma, the remnant backarc oceanic basin now a narrow seaway between Greater India and Eurasia.

k) 44 Ma: continent-continent collision at ~44 Ma has begun forming the Karakoram-Kohistan-Shyok suture zone (KKSSZ) and Yarlung-Tsangpo suture zone (YTSZ), resulting in a second significant drop in India-Eurasia convergence rates and a reorganization of seafloor spreading in the Indian Ocean, followed in a few million years by the cessation of spreading in the Wharton Basin off NW Australia, combining Indian and Australian plate motion.
l) 34 Ma: coincides with the youngest marine sediments found in the YTSZ, as Greater Indian lithosphere continued to subduct, delaminate or break off beneath Eurasia.

**Figure 7a.** Map showing the motion paths shown for two present-day reference points India-Eurasia (red), India-Lhasa (blue), India-Kohistan (green), with present-day plate boundaries (same symbology as in Fig. 1, except fracture zones as thin brown lines), coastlines filled in apricot, continental crust filled in light grey, and LIPS filled in dark grey.

**Figure 7b.** Relative convergence rates and direction calculated between India and stable Eurasia, India and Lhasa, and India relative to Kohistan (intra-oceanic arc) and plotted in 5 Myr intervals, using points in the Western and Eastern Syntaxis (white stars). Eurasia, Lhasa and Kohistan were taken as the fixed plate. Full lines on the graphs represent convergence rates derived from the western point, and dashed lines represents those from the eastern point (only the western point was plotted for Kohistan as the eastern portion of the arc is entirely synthetic). Notably, the acceleration related to Reunion eruption is only observable in the India-Kohistan convergence rates for 65 to 60 Ma. The initial arc-continent collision reduces convergence rates between India and Kohistan significantly, but only slightly for India-Eurasia (60 to 55 Ma). The continent-continent collision likely occurs sometime between 45 and 40 Ma, which is consistent with also the Wharton Ridge spreading cessation and the changes in the spreading rates and directions of the Indian Ocean (see text).

**Figure 7c.** Comparison of seafloor spreading rates plotted in 5 Myr intervals for 1) India-Antarctica from 125 Ma to present, with Antarctica anchored and seed point on the Southeast Indian Ridge (33.1783 °S, 76.6478 °E), 2) India-Madagascar (Africa) from 90 to 0 Ma, with Madagascar fixed and seed point at the Carlsberg Ridge (7.8820 °S, 67.7773 °E), 3) Australia-India (Central Indian Basin) from 130 to 45 Ma, with Australia fixed and seed point at the extinct Wharton Ridge (1.1747 °N, 99.6213 °E), note that the northern (Indian) flank has been mostly subducted and is therefore omitted.

**Figure 8.** Summary of first-order tectonic events related to the latest Jurassic evolution of the Tethys and Indian oceans. Showing magmatic (left column), volcanic or sedimentary (middle column), and metamorphic (right column) events documented for each region and suture zone, as per the key. For more details and references please see Table 1 (abbreviations and locations are as per Fig. 2).

**Figure 9.** Plate reconstructions superimposed on age-coded depth slices from P-wave seismic tomography (Li et al., 2008) using first-order assumptions of near-vertical slab sinking, with A) 3.0 and 1.2 cm/yr constant sinking rates in the upper and lower mantle, respectively, following Zahirovic et al. (2012), and B) 5.0 and 2.0 cm/yr upper and lower mantle sinking rates, respectively, following Replumaz et al. (2004). Both end-member sinking rates indicate bands of slab material (blue, S1-S2) offset southward from the Andean-style subduction zone along southern Lhasa, consistent with the interpretations of Tethyan subducted slabs by Hafkenscheid et al. (2006). However, although the P-wave tomography provides higher resolution than S-wave tomography, the amplitude of the velocity perturbation is significantly lower in oceanic regions (e.g., S2) and the southern hemisphere due to continental sampling biases. Orthographic projection centred on 0°N, 90°E.

**Table 1.** Summary of geological events for each terrane.

**Table 2.** Summary of paleomagnetic studies.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terranes/ Suture zones</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Age of event</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Karakoram Terrane</strong></td>
<td>Three stages of batholith emplacement and a Paleogene tectonometamorphic event</td>
<td>mid Cretaceous (110-95 Ma), Paleogene (up to 43 Ma), Upper Miocene</td>
<td>(Debon et al., 1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continental arc rocks emplaced</td>
<td>~130-104 Ma</td>
<td>(Heuberger et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc-alkaline granites and granodiorites emplaced</td>
<td>~120-96 Ma</td>
<td>(Fraser et al., 2001; Searle et al., 1990a; Thanh et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metamorphic rocks (sillimanite and kyanite-grade), cross cut by leucogranite dykes, and then plutons</td>
<td>63-4 Ma, ~50-52 and 35 Ma, ~36-34 Ma</td>
<td>(Searle, 2011; Searle et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karakoram Fault gneisses intruded by leucogranites</td>
<td>~23 Ma</td>
<td>(Lacassin et al., 2004; Leloup et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Karakoram-Kohistan-Shyok Suture Zone</strong></td>
<td>Age of Shyok Volcanics, north of Ladakh, if correlated west</td>
<td>Albian-Santonian or ~124 Ma</td>
<td>(Coulon et al., 1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age of Shyok mélange diorite</td>
<td>145-130 Ma</td>
<td>(Reynolds et al., 1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORB-like basalts exhumed and thrust onto Karakoram margin near Ladakh</td>
<td>104 Ma (i.e., initiation of northward subduction beneath Karakoram)</td>
<td>(Thanh et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intrusions in Albian-Aptian limestones of Shyok mélange</td>
<td>~111-62 Ma</td>
<td>(Pudsey, 1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shyok mélange Tirit granite</td>
<td>~68 Ma (Andean-type)</td>
<td>(Rao and Rai, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohistan-Ladakh Arc</td>
<td>(similar age and composition to Ladakh Batholith)</td>
<td>characteristics</td>
<td>(Weinberg et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-collisional granites (isotopic links to ancient Asian crust)</td>
<td>47 and 41 Ma (intruding north Kohistan)</td>
<td>(Khan et al., 2009b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dacite and granodiorite intrusions in North Ladakh</td>
<td>Middle Eocene</td>
<td>(Brookfield and Reynolds, 1981)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk of the KLA formed, Sub-crustal mantle accretion, Thickening and metamorphism, intense (collisional) deformation</td>
<td>~134-95 Ma, ~117 Ma ~95 Ma ~90 Ma</td>
<td>(Petterson, 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohistan intruded by Matum Das tonalite, (backarc) dyke swarm, trondhjemite pluton</td>
<td>~154 Ma (proto-arc?) ~134 Ma ~102 Ma</td>
<td>(Schaltegger et al., 2003) (Khan et al., 2007) (Pettersson and Windley, 1985)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dykes intrude Kohistan Kohistan Batholith, cross-cut by granodiorites, then granites</td>
<td>~75 Ma ~54 Ma ~40 Ma</td>
<td>(Pettersson and Windley, 1992) (Pettersson, 1985, unpublished Ph.D. Thesis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohistan Arc I-type granitoids (mantle-derived)</td>
<td>75-42 ± 4.5 Ma (from amphibole fractionation)</td>
<td>(Jagoutz et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohistan Batholith intruded by Aplitic-pegmatite sheets</td>
<td>34 ± 14 Ma (end of oceanic subduction)</td>
<td>(Pettersson and Windley, 1985)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohistan Batholith intruded by Chilas complex, following intra-arc rifting and extension</td>
<td>~85 Ma</td>
<td>(Burg et al., 1998; Khan et al., 1996), (Dhuime et al., 2009; Schaltegger et al., 2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamila Amphibolite Belt, tilted, exhumed and deformed</td>
<td>~107-81 Ma (then cooled in the early Campanian)</td>
<td>(Yamamoto et al., 2005) (Treloar et al., 1989).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Age (Ma)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jijal Complex metamorphosed to granulite facies</td>
<td>~118 and 83 ± 12 Ma, ~105-91 Ma</td>
<td>(Yamamoto and Nakamura, 2000), (Dhuime et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLA slab retreat</td>
<td>105-99 Ma</td>
<td>(Bouilhol et al., 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dras/Ladakh Arc granodiorite</td>
<td>~103 Ma</td>
<td>(Honegger et al., 1982).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladakh Arc experienced two granitic intrusion events, then its last major magmatic pulse, and rapid cooling</td>
<td>~58 Ma and ~47 Ma, ~50 Ma, 49-44 Ma</td>
<td>(Singh et al., 2007), (St-Onge et al., 2010), (Weinberg and Dunlap, 2000), (Clift et al., 2002a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladakh Nindam Formation</td>
<td>Valanginian-Cenomanian</td>
<td>(Robertson and Degnan, 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladakh Nindam Formation</td>
<td>Albo-Cenomanian, ~79 Ma</td>
<td>(Fuchs, 1982)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladakh Dras 1 volcanics, which deformed</td>
<td>~53 and 56 Ma</td>
<td>(Bhutani et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indus Suture Zone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shangla blueschists peak metamorphism</td>
<td>~80 Ma</td>
<td>(Anevskiewicz et al., 2000; Maluski and Matte, 1984)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapi-Shergol mélangé contains Albian radiolarians</td>
<td>mid Cretaceous blueshist-bearing blocks</td>
<td>(Kojima et al., 2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spongtang Arc gabbros, overlain by andesitic arc rocks,</td>
<td>~177 Ma (gabbros), ~88 Ma (andesites)</td>
<td>(Pedersen et al., 2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radiolarians, plus older basal fossils</td>
<td>Valanginian-Aptian, Triassic-Jurassic</td>
<td>(Baxter et al., 2010), (Fuchs, 1981)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nidar ophiolite (eastern ISZ) dated by radiolarian fossils as well as radiometrically</td>
<td>Haurerivian-Aptian, ~126 Ma, ~130-110 Ma</td>
<td>(Kojima et al., 2001), (Zyabrev et al., 2008), (Maheo et al., 2004).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Himalaya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Himalaya Syntaxis granulite metamorphism (of 89 Myr-old basaltic protolith)</td>
<td>~81 Ma, plus 167-86.3 Ma detrital magmatic zircons (from forearc)</td>
<td>(Guo et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan Himalaya Tertiary peak metamorphism</td>
<td>~47 Ma (20 Ma earlier than in East Himalaya)</td>
<td>(Searle and Treloar, 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Period</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Reference(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~36-33 Ma</td>
<td>Himalayan amphibolite and granulite metamorphism</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2010a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Various ophiolites generally coalesce into two age groups</td>
<td>See section 2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Yarlung-Tsangpo Suture Zone Various ophiolites generally coalesce into two age groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~36-33 Ma</td>
<td>Contains the Zedong Arc, Dazhuqu ophiolitic belt, and Bainang accretionary wedge</td>
<td>(McDermid et al., 2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>(Aptian-Barremian mid-Aptian)</td>
<td>(Abrajevitch et al., 2005; Ziabrev et al., 2003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Andesitic detrital zircons in debris of the Xigaze Group peak at 190-150 Ma, and more so at 130-80 Ma</td>
<td>(Wu et al., 2010).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Xigaze (exposed forearc) dolerite and quartz diorite intrusions</td>
<td>(Dai et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Xigaze Group, with a main stage of deep sea deposition, addition of continental crust</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Xigaze mélange age of amphibole in garnet amphibolite</td>
<td>(Wu et al., 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Detrital zircons sampled near Xigaze suggest convergent magmatism until Late Eocene ~35 Ma (possibly sourced from the Linzizong volcanics)</td>
<td>(Aitchison et al., 2011).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Lhasa batholiths four age-groups, inc. juvenile mantle-derived granite, an adakitic intrusion at ~80 Ma, peaking at ~50 Ma</td>
<td>(Ji et al., 2009a), (Chu et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>Eastern syntaxis magmatic events (may match gaps in the Gangdese batholiths)</td>
<td>(Lee et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2008), (Ji et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~37-32 Ma</td>
<td>SE Lhasa Terrane charnockites 90-86 Ma (trench and)</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2010b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Date/Ranges</td>
<td>Reference(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with adakitic affinities, other adakites emplaced nearby</td>
<td>~84 Ma (spreading ridge subduction)</td>
<td>Guan et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linzizong volcanics erupted as subaerial andesitic subduction-related magmatism</td>
<td>between ~69-43 Ma, with a climax ~50 Ma</td>
<td>Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linzizong granite intrusion then accelerated cooling, then end of arc magmatism</td>
<td>~52 Ma (flare-up at 50 Ma ~42 Ma (slab break-off)</td>
<td>He et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linzizong andesites formed earlier east of ~87°E</td>
<td>~75-59 Ma (east) ~60-51 Ma (west)</td>
<td>Zhou et al., 2010a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolved rhyolitic flows and ignimbrites (continental melt)</td>
<td>~60 Ma (slab rollback) ~50 Ma (slab break-off)</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeba mafic-felsic rocks erupted</td>
<td>190-174 Ma (arc on thin/new continental crust)</td>
<td>Dong et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2008b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalves in Yeba volcanics</td>
<td>~180 Ma</td>
<td>Yin and Grant-Mackie, 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangri volcanics erupted</td>
<td>112-71 Ma</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanism in Northern Lhasa coeval with Takena Formation andesitic dyke intrusion</td>
<td>~110-80 Ma ~90 Ma (plus a ~52 Ma folded dyke)</td>
<td>Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP metamorphic belt dividing the Lhasa Terrane east to west</td>
<td>~292-242 Ma</td>
<td>Yang et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic and plutonic rocks respectively emplaced in Northern Lhasa</td>
<td>~143-102 Ma, ~80 Ma, with a magmatic flare-up at ~110 Ma</td>
<td>Zhao et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2009a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Lhasa magmatism (from lithospheric delamination), then subduction-related</td>
<td>135–100 Ma (upwelling asthenosphere) 90–78 Ma</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2012a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region/Formation</td>
<td>Event/Interpretation</td>
<td>Age/Details</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibetan Plateau</td>
<td>Exhumed and cooled adakites</td>
<td>~70-55 Ma, stabilised at ~48 Ma (or ~45 Ma)</td>
<td>Hetzel et al., 2011 (Rohrmann et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granitoids in eastern Lhasa, and earlier granitic intrusion</td>
<td></td>
<td>~133-110, ~66-57 Ma, ~198 Ma</td>
<td>Chiu et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiolarians (N-central)</td>
<td>Early Aptian</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baxter et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Several ophiolites obducted onto the Lhasa Terrane’s northern margin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous</td>
<td>e.g. Dewey et al., 1988; Girardeau et al., 1984a; Pearce and Deng, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The west has remnants of a subduction-accretion complex</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jurassic-Mid-Cretaceous</td>
<td>Kapp et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ophiolitic belt widens further east e.g., at Donqiao</td>
<td></td>
<td>~180-175 Ma (metamorphic aureole)</td>
<td>Zhou et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precambrian Amdo granitoids, likely exhumed coeval/prior to the Qiangtang anticline</td>
<td></td>
<td>~185-170 Ma by the mid-Cretaceous</td>
<td>Guynn et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Qiangtang and Lhasa terranes drifted from Gondwana</td>
<td>Early Permian to Late Triassic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schneider et al., 2003 (Sciunnach and Garzanti, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central eclogites interpreted as a Triassic suture zone (inc. intra-oceanic arc)</td>
<td>230-237 Ma (exhumed at ~220 Ma)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zhai et al., 2011 (Pullen et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of South Qiangtang granites and volcanic tuffs</td>
<td>~145 Ma and ~111 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kapp et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argoland rifted from Australia, likely accreted to SE Asia from Late Cretaceous time</td>
<td>Late Jurassic (155 Ma)</td>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. Acharyya, 1998; Hall, 2011; Heine and Müller, 2005a; Metcalfe, 1996, 2011b,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental collision with</td>
<td>mid-Late Cretaceous</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wakita, 2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sundaland and Java  c. 80 Ma  (Clements and Hall, 2011)

Woyla Arc attributed to an allochthonous terrane collision  Albian-Aptian  (Barber, 2000; Barber and Crow, 2009).

Woyla Group intruded by the Sikuleh granodiorite batholith  ~97.7 Ma  (Bennett et al., 1981)

UHP metamorphic rocks (highly aluminous protolith) intrude southwestern Sulawesi/Celebes  115-110 Ma (though not clear from which side of the trench they came)  (Wakita, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrane</th>
<th>Volcanics</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Paleolatitude</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lhasa terrane</td>
<td>Southern Lhasa red bed</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous and Paleocene, 69-43 Ma, with peak at ~50 Ma</td>
<td>~12.5° (with ~41.5° a/c rotation) 13.5°N</td>
<td>(Achache et al., 1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Takena Formation, the Linzizong Volcanics were deposited above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Coulon et al., 1986; He et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linzizong Volcanics (Lhasa) mafic dykes</td>
<td>~53 Ma</td>
<td>~14.4 ± 5.8°N</td>
<td>(Liebke et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linzizong Group (above the volcanics)</td>
<td>Lower Paleogene</td>
<td>~10°N</td>
<td>(Chen et al., 2010).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linzizong Volcanics inclination-corrected paleolatitudes</td>
<td>Lower Paleogene</td>
<td>21-27°N 22.8 ± 4.2°N 20 ± 4°N</td>
<td>(Tan et al., 2010) (Dupont-Nivet et al., 2010) (Huang et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northern Lhasa Terrane’s rhyolitic tuffs</td>
<td>55 Ma</td>
<td>13.8 ± 7.3°N</td>
<td>(Sun et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Age/Temperature</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Lhasa Terrane</td>
<td>Zenong Group</td>
<td>~130-110 Ma, ~19.8 ± 4.6°N</td>
<td>(Chen et al., 2012) (Zhu et al., 2008a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiangtang terrane</td>
<td>Volcanic rocks in South Qiangtang</td>
<td>~40 Ma, ~28.7 ± 3.7°N, or ~20°N in Eocene</td>
<td>(Lippert et al., 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohistan-Karakoram</td>
<td>Remagnetisation in northern Kohistan</td>
<td>50-35 Ma, 25 ± 6°N</td>
<td>(Ahmad et al., 2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Red bed formations along the SSZ</td>
<td>Mid-Late Cretaceous, 1-2° N</td>
<td>(Zaman and Torii, 1999),</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utror Volcanics, south of Kohistan Batholith</td>
<td>55-45 My-old, 9-13 ± 4°N</td>
<td>(Ahmad et al., 2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himalaya-India</td>
<td>Tethyan Himalaya marine sediments</td>
<td>~62–56 Ma, ~4.7 ± 4.4°S Recalculated to 8.7 ± 1.7°N</td>
<td>(Yi et al., 2011) (van Hinsbergen et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tethyan Himalaya limestones</td>
<td>Latest Paleocene, ~5-10°N</td>
<td>(Besse et al., 1984)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Indian sediments</td>
<td>~66 Ma, ~60 Ma 71–65 Ma, 5.7°S and 4°N Recalculated to 4.9 ± 2.8°S</td>
<td>(Patzelt et al., 1996) (van Hinsbergen et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>